

## List of Figures

	Page No
Fig. 1.1. Classification of armour materials.	2
Fig. 1.2: 7.62mm small arms ammunitions (a) ball ammunition and (b) AP ammunition with its hard steel core.	4
Fig. 1.3. Relationship between hardness and ballistic performance.	5
Fig. 1.4. Shape of the projectiles upon stopped by the armour plate; (a) deformed mild steel projectiles, (b) deformed lead projectiles and (c) broken high hardness projectiles	6
Fig. 1.5. Schematic of ductile hole formation	7
Fig. 1.6. Schematic of plugging process	7
Fig. 1.7. Schematic of discing process	8
Fig. 1.8 Schematic of spalling process.	8
Fig. 1.9 (a) Deformed ASB (b) Transformed ASB (c) ASB lading to crack in AA 2024 aluminium alloy.	9
Fig. 1.10. Survivability as a function of: (a) mobility and (b) weight	10
Fig. 1.11. Milne-de-Marre graph	12
Fig. 1.12. A Comparison between steel and aluminium armour: (a) Protection against 155mm H.E. fragments and (b) Protection against armour piercing rounds.	13
Fig. 1.13. Image of armoured vehicles with aluminium armour: (a) US M113 (b) US Bradley (c) UK Warrior (d) AAV combat vehicles.	14
Fig. 1.14. (a) Schematic of the aluminium rich section of the Al-Cu alloy equilibrium phase diagram illustrating the microstructural relationship of the precipitation hardening heat treatment process; (b) Graphical representation showing the relationship between the precipitation hardening heat treatment time-temperature and	18

	tensile strength for an Al-Cu alloy.	
Fig. 1.15.	The exposed hull of Warrior tracked vehicle showing the use of AA 7017 plates in its hull portion	22
Fig. 1.16.	Schematic representation of the three principal directions in the AA-7017 plate.	23
Fig. 2.1.	Schematic of the texture measurement samples taken from three directions.	30
Fig. 2.2.	Schematic of tensile sample preparation in as received AA 7017 plates; (a) along L, LT and ST direction, (b) from surface and centre.	32
Fig. 2.3.	A schematic diagram of tensile specimen (dimensions are in mm) (ASTM E8-2009).	33
Fig. 2.4.	A schematic diagram of impact specimen (dimensions are in mm), (b) Enlarged view of notch for test piece (c) A charpy impact sample before testing (ASTM E23-02a).	33
Fig. 2.5.	Schematic representation of the sectioning of the 70 mm thick AA 7017 plate. The plates were sliced along the dotted lines in the centre into two halves.	34
Fig. 2.6.	Schematic of ballistic testing sample preparation to establish correlation between ballistic behaviour of the material with grain orientation.	35
Fig. 2.7.	Schematic of ballistic testing set up .	37
Fig. 2.8.	Different calibre projectiles used for ballistic experiments.	37
Fig. 2.9.	Schematic of DOP measurement method.	39
Fig. 3.1.	Optical microstructures of the normal direction planes taken from (a) surface and (b) centre of the 70 mm thick plate.	41
Fig. 3.2.	BSE microstructure taken from the (a) surface, (b) centre of plate and (c) BSE microstructure showing white ( $Al_6FeMn$ ) and dark contrast ( $Mg_2Si$ ) precipitates.	42
Fig. 3.3.	XRD patterns taken from the surface and centre of the 70 mm thick plate..	43
Fig. 3.4.	Textures of the 70 mm thick plate (a) surface and (b) centre.	45

Fig. 3.5.	Typical $\beta$ fibers of surface and centre of the plate.	46
Fig. 3.6.	Tensile properties evaluated from the surface and centre of plates: (a) Engineering stress-engineering strain curve, (b) True stress-true strain curve, (c) YS and UTS at surface and centre and (d) CVN and %RA at surface and centre.	47
Fig. 3.7.	Hardness distribution of the plate along the cross section.	48
Fig. 3.8.	Tensile fractographs (a) surface and (b) centre specimens; Tensile BSE micrographs: (c) surface and (d) centre. Presence of cracks is shown by arrows.	49
Fig. 3.9.	Charpyfractographs (a) surface and (b) centre specimens; Charpy BSE micrographs: (c) surface and (d) centre. Presence of cracks is shown by arrows.	49
Fig. 3.10.	Ballistic results in terms of depth of penetration against lead and soft steel projectiles.	50
Fig. 3.11.	Front view of the (a) surface and (b) centre plates after ballistic testing. Half section views of the respective penetration channels are also included for comparison.	51
Fig. 3.12.	Post ballistic microstructures along the penetration channel impacted by lead projectile: (a) surface and (b) centre.	52
Fig. 3.13.	Montage of post ballistic microstructure from the centre showing adiabatic shear bands leading to crack .	53
<a href="#">Fig. 3.14.</a>	Post ballistic microstructures along the penetration channel impacted by soft steel projectile: (a) surface and (b) centre.	54
Fig. 3.15.	Post ballistic micro-hardness measurements adjacent to the penetration channel wall.	55
Fig. 4.1.	(a) 3D optical microstructure of the as-received AA-7017 alloy, (b) Recrystallized grains in ST direction, (c) Back scattered electron (BSE) microstructure displaying the distributions of intermetallic particles and (d) TEM micrographs illustrating coarse intermetallic particles at the grain boundary.	61
Fig. 4.2.	Texture of the plate measured in (a) ST (b) LT and (c) L direction.	63
Fig. 4.3.	Typical $\beta$ fibres in L, LT and ST directions of the plate.	64
Fig. 4.4.	(a) Engineering stress- strain curves and (b) True stress-strain	65

	curves along L, LT and ST direction of the AA 7017 plate.	
<b>Fig. 4.5.</b>	Mechanical properties along L, LT and ST direction of the AA-7017 plate.	66
Fig. 4.6.	(a) 3D SEM fractographs of the broken charpy impact fracture surfaces represent the anisotropic fracture mechanism and (b) EDAX analysis of the particles present in the fracture surface.	67
Fig. 4.7.	Ballistic results in terms of depth of penetration in three directions of the AA-7017 plate.	68
Fig. 4.8.	Front view of the impacted plates in (a) ST (b) LT and (c) L directions after ballistic testing.	69
Fig. 4.9.	: Half section views of the (i) LT (ii) L and (iii) ST direction impacted craters	70
Fig. 4.10.	Post ballistic microstructures adjacent to the crater wall of (a) ST, (b) LT, (c) L direction impacted plates; Post ballistic microstructure at the bottom of the crater of (d) ST (e) LT (f) L direction impacted plates; The arrow mark indicates the projectile penetration direction.	71
Fig. 4.11.	Post ballistic micro-hardness measurements adjacent to the crater wall. The dotted line indicates the base hardness of the plate.	72
Fig. 5.1.	DSC thermograms of UA,PA and OA AA-7017 samples.	79
Fig. 5.2.	X-ray diffractograms of UA,PA and OA AA-7017 samples.	79
Fig. 5.3.	Orientation distribution figures of (a) UA (b) PA and (c) OA AA-7017 plates.	81
Fig. 5.4.	$\beta$ fibres of UA,PA and OA AA-7017 samples.	82
Fig. 5.5.	(a) Engineering stress-strain and (b) True stress-strain curves of UA,PA and OA AA-7017 plates.	83
Fig. 5.6.	Fractographs of the broken Charpy impact fracture surfaces of different heat-treated plates (a) UA (b) PA and (c) OA.	85
Fig. 5.7.	Heat treated plates after ballistic impact (a) front face (b) rear face.	86

Fig. 5.8.	Ballistic performance index (BPI) as a function of heat-treatment conditions. (The points are joined as a guide to the eye only)	90
Fig. 6.1.	View of the target plate after ballistic impact: (a) Front face and (b) Rear face .	91
Fig. 6.2.	The bulge heights of UA, PA and OA plates.	94
Fig. 6.3.	The variation of DOP values of UA, PA and OA plates	94
Fig. 6.4.	Half section view of the impacted craters of UA, PA and OA plates.	95
Fig. 6.5.	Optical microstructures of UA target plate adjacent to the crater wall. Arrow mark indicates the projectile penetration direction.	96
Fig. 6.6.	Adiabatic shear band (ASB) leading to crack initiation and propagation in UA target plate.	96
Fig. 6.7.	Optical microstructures of OA target plate adjacent to the crater wall. Arrow mark indicates the projectile penetration direction.	97
Fig. 6.8.	Optical microstructures of OA target plate adjacent to the crater wall. Arrow mark indicates the projectile penetration direction.	98
Fig. 6.9.	Post ballistic micro-hardness measurements at the bottom of the crater of the target plates.	99
Fig. 7.1.	Initial microstructure of the studied aluminium alloy plates; (a) AA 2024, (b) AA 2519, (c) AA AA 5059, (d) AA 5083, (e) AA 6061 and (f) AA 7017.	102
Fig. 7.2.	(a) Engineering stress-strain and (b) True stress-strain curves of studied aluminium alloys.	103
Fig. 7.3.	Fractographs of Charpy impact fracture surfaces of different aluminium alloy plates.	105
Fig. 7.4.	(a) Front face of the aluminium alloy plates after ballistic impact, (b) Close up view of the damage patterns.	107
Fig. 7.5.	(a) Residual velocity ( $V_{res}$ ) with UTS of the target plates, (b) Kinetic energy absorption by different aluminium target plates.	108
Fig. 7.6.	Half section views of the impacted craters after ballistic testing.	109
Fig. 7.7.	Post ballistic microstructure adjacent to the crater wall of (a) AA 2024 (b) AA 2519 target plates.	110
Fig. 7.8.	Post ballistic microstructure adjacent to the crater wall of (a) AA	111

	5059 (b) AA 5083 target plates	
Fig. 7.9.	Post ballistic microstructure adjacent to the crater wall of (a) AA 6061 (b) AA 7017 target plates.	112
Fig. 7.10.	Post ballistic micro-hardness measurements adjacent to the crater wall of different aluminium alloy plates.	113
Fig. 8.1.	Microstructure of the aluminium alloy plates (a) AA 2024 (b) AA 6061 (C) AA 7017 alloy.	116
Fig. 8.2.	(a) Engineering stress-strain curves and (b) True stress-strain curves of the three studied aluminium alloys.	118
Fig. 8.3.	True stress–true plastic strain curves on log scales up to UTS of the three aluminium alloys.	119
Fig. 8.4.	a) (b) (c) Front face of the aluminium alloy plates after ballistic impact against 7.62mm projectile; (d),(e),(f) Close up view of the damage patterns.	120
Fig. 8.5.	a) (b) (c) Front face of the aluminium alloy plates after ballistic impact against 12.7mm projectile; (d),(e),(f) Rear face of the aluminium alloy plates after ballistic impact against 12. 7mm projectile.	121
Fig. 8.6.	The variation of DOP values of AA 2024, AA 6061, AA 7017 plates against 7.62mm ammunition.	122
Fig. 8.7.	Post ballistic characterisation of the aluminium alloy plates subjected to the impact of 7.62 mm projectile. Micro-structure of (a)AA 2024 (b) AA 6061 (c) AA 7017 plates. Arrow mark indicates the projectile penetration direction. The numbers in the microstructures indicate the corresponding regions of the crater wall.	123
Fig. 8.8.	Post ballistic characterisation of the aluminium alloy plates subjected to the impact of 12.7 mm projectile. Micro-structure of (a)AA 2024 (b) AA 6061 (c) AA 7017 plates. Arrow mark indicates the projectile penetration direction. The numbers in the microstructures indicate the corresponding regions of the crater wall.	124
Fig. 8.9.	Micro-hardness measurements of craters impacted by (a) 7.62mm projectile (b) 12.7mm projectile.	125