

# Contents

<b>CERTIFICATE</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>Acknowledgement</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>Contents</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>Abbreviations</b>	<b>xxiii</b>
<b>Symbols Used</b>	<b>xxv</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>xxix</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 General . . . . .	1
1.2 Objectives of present study . . . . .	4
1.3 Structure of thesis . . . . .	4
<b>2 Literature Review</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Reservoir characterization . . . . .	5
2.2 Seismic, well log data and its significance . . . . .	8
2.2.1 Seismic data . . . . .	8
2.2.2 Well log data . . . . .	11
2.3 An overview on seismic inversion methods . . . . .	13
2.3.1 Seismic inversion of post-stack data . . . . .	14
2.3.2 Seismic inversion of pre-stack data . . . . .	29
2.4 Data conditioning . . . . .	41
2.5 Additive white Gaussian noise . . . . .	41
2.6 Scope of geostatistical techniques for reservoir characterization . . . . .	42
2.6.1 Single attribute analysis . . . . .	43

---

2.6.2	Multi attribute analysis . . . . .	44
2.6.3	Probabilistic neural network (PNN) . . . . .	47
2.6.4	Multilayer feed forward neural network . . . . .	51
<b>3</b>	<b>Case Study</b>	<b>55</b>
3.1	F-3 Block, the Netherlands . . . . .	55
3.2	Penobscot region, Canada . . . . .	59
<b>4</b>	<b>Research Methodology</b>	<b>63</b>
4.1	Seismic Inversion . . . . .	64
4.1.1	Post-stack inversion . . . . .	64
4.1.2	Pre-stack inversion . . . . .	65
4.2	Geostatistical techniques . . . . .	65
4.3	Steps in seismic inversion methods and Geostatistical techniques . . . . .	68
4.3.1	Seismic data . . . . .	68
4.3.2	Well log data . . . . .	69
4.3.3	Horizon picking . . . . .	70
4.3.4	Wavelet estimation . . . . .	71
4.3.5	Seismic to well tie . . . . .	72
4.3.6	Building initial model . . . . .	73
4.3.7	Inversion analysis at well location . . . . .	73
4.3.8	Interpretation of inverted results . . . . .	74
4.4	Use of geostatistical techniques for data interpretation . . . . .	74
4.5	Brief overview of methods applied in the present work . . . . .	75
4.6	Software used for data analysis . . . . .	76
<b>5</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>79</b>
5.1	Results of post-stack inversion methods . . . . .	79
5.1.1	Model based inversion method . . . . .	79
5.1.2	Colored inversion method . . . . .	86
5.1.3	Maximum likelihood sparse spike inversion method . . . . .	93
5.1.4	Band limited inversion method . . . . .	100
5.2	Results derived from pre-stack inversion methods . . . . .	106
5.2.1	Data conditioning . . . . .	107
5.2.2	Comparative evaluation of simultaneous inversion between raw and conditioned gather . . . . .	111
5.2.3	Comparative evaluation of lambda-mu-rho transform between raw and conditioned gather . . . . .	125
5.2.4	Elastic impedance method . . . . .	128
5.3	Results of geostatistical techniques . . . . .	135
5.3.1	Single attribute analysis technique . . . . .	135
5.3.2	Multi attribute analysis technique . . . . .	140
5.3.3	Probabilistic neural network technique . . . . .	148
5.3.4	Multilinear feed forward neural network technique . . . . .	155

---

5.4	Verification of results . . . . .	161
<b>6</b>	<b>Discussions</b>	<b>163</b>
6.1	Post-stack inversion . . . . .	163
6.2	Pre-stack inversion . . . . .	166
6.2.1	Simultaneous inversion . . . . .	166
6.2.2	LMR transform . . . . .	171
6.2.3	Elastic impedance inversion . . . . .	174
6.3	Geostatistical techniques . . . . .	175
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusions, Study Limitations and Suggestions for Future Work</b>	<b>181</b>
7.1	Conclusions . . . . .	181
7.2	Limitations of present work and suggestions for future work . . . . .	182
	<b>References</b>	<b>185</b>
	<b>List of Publications</b>	<b>205</b>



# List of Figures

2.1	AI from all wells (blue), one selected well (pink) and frequency on log-log scale (After Maurya and Sarkar, 2016) . . . . .	22
2.2	Seismic spectra near the wells (blue). Red line corresponds to the $f^{-\theta}$ AI spectrum derived in Fig. 2.1. The operator spectrum (black) is the ratio of these two spectra (After Maurya and Sarkar, 2016) . . . . .	22
2.3	Time response of operator (After Maurya and Sarkar, 2016) . . . . .	23
2.4	Frequency spectrum of the operator (After Maurya and Sarkar, 2016) . . . . .	23
2.5	a) Crossplots of (a) $\ln(\rho)$ vs $\ln(Z_P)$ and (b) $\ln(Z_S)$ vs $\ln(Z_P)$ . . . . .	35
2.6	Traditional crossplot between the target log (density-porosity) and the seismic attribute (After Hampson et al., 2001). . . . .	45
2.7	Performing nonlinear transformation to both attribute and target data enhances the relationship between the tw (After Taner et al., 1994). . . . .	47
2.8	The architecture of probabilistic neural network. . . . .	48
2.9	Predicted porosity curve derived by the PNN (After Hampson et al., 2001). . . . .	51
2.10	The architecture of multilayer feed forward neural network (MLFN) . . . . .	52
3.1	F3 block, the Netherlands study area . . . . .	57
3.2	The North Sea Basin deals with the hydrocarbon and stratigraphy (After Rondeel et al., 1996) . . . . .	58
3.3	Cross section of post-stack seismic data from F3 block, the Netherlands . . . . .	59
3.4	Penobscot study area, Canada . . . . .	60
3.5	Detailed lithology of Penobscot study area, Canada . . . . .	61
3.6	The cross section of pre-stack seismic data from Penobscot, Canada . . . . .	62
4.1	Flow chart of the seismic inversion methods . . . . .	64
4.2	Geostatistical techniques vis-à-vis outputs . . . . .	66
4.3	Research design of seismic inversion methods and geostatistical techniques adopted in this study . . . . .	67
4.4	(a)Pre-stack seismic data and (b)Post-stack seismic data . . . . .	69
4.5	Well log data . . . . .	70
4.6	Horizon picking . . . . .	71
4.7	Seismic wavelet with statistical zero-phase Ricker wavelet . . . . .	72
4.8	A representative seismic well tie using well log data with the help of seismic data . . . . .	73

5.1	Inversion analysis results derived from MBI at well F06-1 . . . . .	80
5.2	Variation of correlation coefficient for all wells obtained from MBI method . . . . .	81
5.3	Variation of Residual Error for all wells obtained from MBI method . . . . .	81
5.4	Variation of Synthetic Relative Error for all wells obtained from MBI method . . . . .	82
5.5	Cross-section (at Inline 244) of inverted P-impedance derived from MBI method . . . . .	83
5.6	Crossplot between original P-impedance and predicted P-impedance obtained from MBI method . . . . .	84
5.7	P-impedance slice centered at 1700ms obtained from MBI method . . . . .	84
5.8	Comparison of amplitude spectra between seismic and MBI derived synthetic data . . . . .	85
5.9	3D volume of P-impedance obtained from MBI at 1700ms time interval . . . . .	85
5.10	Inversion analysis results derived from CI method at well F06-1 . . . . .	87
5.11	Variation of correlation coefficient for all boreholes obtained from CI method . . . . .	88
5.12	Residual Error variation for all boreholes obtained from CI method . . . . .	88
5.13	Cross-section of inverted P-impedance volume (at inline 244) using CI method . . . . .	90
5.14	Crossplot between original P-impedance and predicted impedance using CI method . . . . .	91
5.15	Data slice for inverted P-impedance derived from CI method at 1700ms time interval . . . . .	91
5.16	Comparison of amplitude spectra between seismic and CI derived synthetic data . . . . .	92
5.17	3D volume of P-impedance derived from CI method at 1700ms time interval . . . . .	92
5.18	Inversion analysis results derived from MLSSI method at well F06-1 . . . . .	94
5.19	Variation of the correlation coefficient for all wells obtained from MLSSI method . . . . .	95
5.20	Residual Error variation for all boreholes obtained from MLSSI method . . . . .	95
5.21	Synthetic Relative Error variation for all boreholes obtained from MLSSI method . . . . .	96
5.22	Inverted P-impedance cross-section (at Inline 244) is generated using MLSSI method . . . . .	97
5.23	Crossplot between original P-impedance and inverted P-impedance obtained from MLSSI method . . . . .	98
5.24	Data slice for MLSSI derived P-impedance at 1700ms time interval . . . . .	98
5.25	Comparison of amplitude spectra between seismic and MLSSI derived synthetic data . . . . .	99
5.26	3D volume of P-impedance derived from MLSSI method at 1700ms time interval . . . . .	99
5.27	Inversion analysis results derived from BLI method at well F06-1 . . . . .	101
5.28	Variation of Correlation coefficient for all boreholes derived from BLI method . . . . .	102

5.29	Residual error variation for all boreholes derived from BLI method . . .	102
5.30	Inverted P-impedance cross-section derived from BLI method (at Inline 244) . . . . .	103
5.31	Crossplot between original P-impedance and inverted P-impedance derived from BLI method . . . . .	104
5.32	Data slice for BLI derived P-impedance at 1700ms time interval . . . .	104
5.33	Comparison of amplitude spectra between seismic and BLI derived synthetic data . . . . .	105
5.34	3D volume of inverted P-impedance derived from BLI method at 1700ms time interval . . . . .	105
5.35	Cross-section of seismic data (Crossline 1001 and 1002), a) before b) after bandpass filter process . . . . .	107
5.36	Cross-section of seismic data (Crossline 1001 and 1002), a) Before b) after the muting process . . . . .	108
5.37	Cross-section of seismic data (Crossline 1001 and 1002), a) Before b) after super gather process . . . . .	109
5.38	Cross-section of seismic data (Crossline 1001 and 1002), a) Before b) after radon transform process . . . . .	110
5.39	Cross-section of seismic data (Crossline 1001 and 1002), a) before b) after trim statics process . . . . .	111
5.40	Comparison of wavelets obtained from SI method . . . . .	112
5.41	(a) Initial model for P-impedance, S-impedance and density and (b) Initial model for P-wave, S-wave and $V_P/V_S$ ratio obtained from SI method . . . . .	114
5.42	SI analysis for one trace . . . . .	115
5.43	The parameters used in SI method . . . . .	116
5.44	(a) Cross plot between Original and Inverted $Z_P$ , (b) Cross plot between Original and Inverted $Z_S$ , (c) Cross plot between Original Density and Inverted Density, and (d) Cross plot between Original and Inverted $V_P/V_S$ ratio (For both raw gather and conditioned gather) . . . . .	117
5.45	(a) Inverted P-impedance from raw data, (b) Inverted P-impedance from conditioned data. . . . .	119
5.46	(a) Inverted S-impedance from raw data, (b) Inverted S-impedance from conditioned data . . . . .	120
5.47	(a) Inverted density from raw data, (b) Inverted density from conditioned data . . . . .	121
5.48	(a) Inverted P-wave from raw data, (b) Inverted P-wave from conditioned data . . . . .	122
5.49	(a) Inverted S-wave from raw data, (b) Inverted S-wave from conditioned data . . . . .	123
5.50	(a) Inverted $V_P/V_S$ ratio from raw data, (b) Inverted $V_P/V_S$ ratio from conditioned data . . . . .	124
5.51	(a) Inverted lambda rho from raw data, (b) Inverted lambda rho from conditioned data . . . . .	126

5.52	(a) Inverted mu rho from raw data, (b) Inverted mu rho from conditioned data . . . . .	127
5.53	(a) Inverted mu rho from raw data, (b) Inverted mu rho from conditioned data . . . . .	128
5.54	EI results for the synthetic case. (Left) Seismograms and (right) the corresponding inversion results (after addition of Gaussian noise) . . . .	129
5.55	Variation of (a) correlation coefficient and (b) RMS error with Gaussian Noise . . . . .	130
5.56	(Left) near-angle stack and (Right) far-angle stack sections and; the major difference between them is highlighted . . . . .	131
5.57	Comparison between Inverted and original elastic impedances for the composite trace case . . . . .	132
5.58	Crossplot between original and inverted elastic impedances for near angle stack (left) and far angle stack (right) . . . . .	132
5.59	Comparison of elastic impedance with acoustic impedance for composite trace near a well location . . . . .	133
5.60	Cross-plot between inverted elastic impedance from near stack seismic section and far stack seismic section . . . . .	134
5.61	Cross-section of inverted elastic impedance generated for near angle stack gather (left) and far angle stack gather (right) . . . . .	134
5.62	The well log prediction analysis using single attribute analysis technique for (a) porosity, (b) density, (c) P-wave, and (d) gamma ray . . . . .	140
5.63	Graphical representation of multi attribute analysis technique derived results using 6-point convolutional operator . . . . .	141
5.64	Crossplot between actual porosity and predicted porosity using multi attribute analysis technique . . . . .	142
5.65	Crossplot between actual density and predicted density using multi attribute analysis technique . . . . .	143
5.66	Crossplot between actual P-wave and predicted P-wave using multi attribute analysis technique . . . . .	143
5.67	Crossplot between actual gamma ray and predicted gamma ray using multi attribute analysis technique . . . . .	144
5.68	The well log prediction analysis using multi attribute analysis technique for (a) porosity, (b) density, (c) P-wave, and (d) gamma ray . . . . .	145
5.69	Cross-section of predicted (a) porosity, (b) density, (c) P-wave and (d) gamma ray using multi attribute analysis technique . . . . .	146
5.70	Predicted data slices performing multi-attribute analysis technique for (a) porosity, (b) density, (c) P wave velocity and (d) gamma ray at 1700ms time interval . . . . .	147
5.71	Crossplot between actual porosity and predicted porosity using PNN technique . . . . .	149
5.72	Crossplot between actual density and predicted density using PNN technique . . . . .	149
5.73	Crossplot between actual P-wave and predicted P-wave using PNN technique . . . . .	150

5.74	Crossplot between actual gamma ray and predicted gamma ray using PNN technique . . . . .	150
5.75	Prediction comparison for Well F03-2 obtained from PNN technique . .	151
5.76	Porosity volume predicted by PNN technique (at inline 244) . . . . .	152
5.77	Density volume predicted by PNN technique (at inline 244) . . . . .	153
5.78	Predicted P-wave volume derived from PNN technique (at inline 244) .	153
5.79	Predicted gamma ray volume from PNN technique (at inline 244) . . .	154
5.80	Predicted data slices using PNN technique for (a) porosity, (b) density, (c) P-wave and (d) gamma ray at 1700ms time interval . . . . .	154
5.81	Crossplot between actual and predicted porosity obtained from MLFN technique . . . . .	156
5.82	Crossplot between actual and predicted density obtained from MLFN technique . . . . .	156
5.83	Crossplot between actual and predicted P-wave obtained from MLFN technique . . . . .	157
5.84	Crossplot between actual and predicted gamma ray obtained from MLFN technique . . . . .	157
5.85	Predicted porosity (at Inline 244) using MLFN technique . . . . .	158
5.86	Predicted density (at Inline 244) using MLFN technique . . . . .	159
5.87	Predicted P-wave (at Inline 244) using MLFN technique . . . . .	159
5.88	Predicted gamma ray (at Inline 244) using MLFN technique . . . . .	160
5.89	Predicted data slices using MLFN technique for (a) porosity, (b) density, (c) P-wave and (d) gamma ray at 1700ms time interval . . . . .	160
6.1	Estimated acoustic impedance using (a) model based inversion, (b) colored inversion, (c) maximum likelihood sparse spike inversion, and (d) bandlimited inversion method . . . . .	165
6.2	Difference between inverted P-impedance derived from conditioned and raw data . . . . .	167
6.3	Difference between inverted S-impedance derived from conditioned and raw data . . . . .	167
6.4	Difference between inverted density derived from conditioned and raw data . . . . .	168
6.5	Difference between inverted P-wave velocity derived from conditioned and raw data . . . . .	168
6.6	Difference between inverted S-wave velocity derived from conditioned and raw data . . . . .	169
6.7	Difference between inverted $V_P/V_S$ ratio derived from conditioned and raw data . . . . .	169
6.8	Data slice comparison between raw and conditioned data at 2500ms . .	170
6.9	Difference between inverted lambda-rho derived from conditioned and raw data . . . . .	171
6.10	Difference between inverted mu-rho derived from conditioned and raw data . . . . .	172

---

6.11	(a) Crossplots obtained from raw data and (b) Crossplots obtained from conditioned data . . . . .	173
6.12	Predicted volumes using PNN for (a) porosity, (b) density, (c) P-wave and (d) gamma ray (Inline 244) . . . . .	176
6.13	Predicted volumes using MLFN for (a) porosity, (b) density, (c) P-wave and (d) gamma ray (Inline 244) . . . . .	177
6.14	Crossplot between (a) density and porosity, (b) P- impedance and porosity, (c) P- wave velocity and porosity, (d) P-impedance and density and (e) Cross-section of seismic data highlights anomalous zone . . . . .	178