

Table of Contents

Contents	Page No.
List of Figures	xi - xiv
List of Tables	xv
List of Abbreviations/Symbols	xvi - xvii
Preface	xviii- xxiv
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.1.1 Lithium Ion Battery	1
1.1.2 Lithium Metal Batteries	3
1.1.3 Fabrication of Porous Cu Current Collector	4
1.1.4 Additive Manufacturing	8
CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF LITERATURE	16
2.1 Ink Development	17
2.1.1 Fundamentals of Ink Formulation for DIW	18
2.1.2 Development of Cu Ink	18
2.1.3 Comparative Summary of Ink	22
2.2 Printing Parameter Optimization	24
2.2.1 Role of Printing Parameters for 3D Printing of Parts	24
2.2.2 Literature Review on Printing Parameter Optimization in DIW	25
2.3 Sintering Parameter Optimization-	28
2.3.1 Role of Sintering Parameters for 3D Printing of Parts	28
2.3.2 Review on Sintering Parameter Optimization for Cu Electrodes	29

2.4 Fabrication of Porous Cu Current Collector	32
2.4.1 Characteristics of Current Collectors for Lithium Metal Batteries	32
2.4.2 Modification Techniques of Cu-Based Current Collectors for Enhanced Lithium Deposition	35
2.4.3 Electrochemical Performance of the Current Collector Fabricated Using Ordered and Random Pores	40
2.5 Research Gaps-	46
2.5.1 Identified Research Gaps	46
2.6 Research Objectives-	47
2.7 Motivation of the present study	48
CHAPTER 3: SYNTHESIS OF MATERIALS AND EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE	49
3.1 Material Synthesis	49
3.1.1 Material Selection	49
3.1.2 Ink Preparation	51
3.2 Experimental Procedure	53
3.2.1 Viscosity Measurement	53
3.2.2 Direct Ink Writing	55
3.2.3 Sintering Process	57
3.2.4 Compression Test	63
3.2.5 Electrochemistry & Characterization	65

CHAPTER 4: DEVELOPMENT OF A HIGH PARTICLE LOADING NOVEL CU INK FOR THE FABRICATION OF A THREE-DIMENSIONAL HIERARCHICAL POROUS STRUCTURE	70
4.1 Results and Discussion	71
4.1.1 Development of High Particle Loaded Cu Ink	71
4.1.2 Rheological Properties of Cu Ink with Variation of Binder and Filler Content	72
4.1.3 Rheological Characterization of the Optimized Cu Ink	76
4.1.4 DIW of Green Cu Parts	80
4.1.5 Morphological Characterization	82
CHAPTER 5: DEVELOPMENT OF A PROCESSING ROUTE FOR THE FABRICATION OF THIN HIERARCHICALLY POROUS COPPER SELF-STANDING STRUCTURE USING DIRECT INK WRITING AND SINTERING FOR ELECTROCHEMICAL ENERGY STORAGE APPLICATION	87
5.1 Results and Discussion	87
5.1.1 Process Parameter Optimization	88
5.1.2 Case Study	100
CHAPTER 6: OPTIMIZATION OF POST SINTERING PARAMETERS FOR FABRICATING ADVANCED HP-CU CURRENT COLLECTORS	105
6.1 Results and Discussion	106
6.1.1 Sintering Mechanism	106
6.1.2 Process Parameters Effects on Relative Density	108
6.1.3 Effect of Process Parameters on Compressive Strength	112
6.1.4 Effect of Process Parameters on Volumetric Shrinkage	114
6.1.5 Multi -Objective Function	117
6.1.6 Proof of Concept	118
6.1.7 Compression Analysis	119

CHAPTER 7: ADVANCED LITHIUM METAL BATTERY: ENHANCING ELECTROCHEMICAL PERFORMANCE WITH 3D-PRINTED HIERARCHICALLY POROUS COPPER COLLECTORS	125
7.1 Results and Discussion	126
7.1.1 Fabrication of Coin Cell	126
7.1.2 Electrochemical Performance	127
7.1.3 Morphological Characterization	133
7.1.4 XRD Analysis	138
7.1.5 Impedance Analysis	140
7.1.6 Comparison Study	143
CHAPTER 8: CONCLUSIONS	149
8.1 Development of High Particle Loaded Copper Ink	149
8.2 Optimization of Printing Parameters for Fabrication of Porous Copper Current Collector	150
8.3 Optimization of Sintering Parameters of the High Strength 3D Printed Porous Cu Current Collector	151
8.4 Electrochemical Performance of 3D Printed Hierarchically Porous Cu Current Collector for Advanced Lithium Metal Battery	152
8.5 Major Scientific Contributions	153
FUTURE SCOPE	155
REFERENCES	156
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	173

List of Figures

Fig. 3.1: (a) SEM showing spherical <i>Cu</i> particle (b) Distribution curve of the spherical <i>Cu</i> particles	50
Fig. 3.2: Schematic for the preparation of the ink	51
Fig. 3.3: (a) Ultrasonicator (b) Vortex mixture	52
Fig. 3.4: ARES G ₂ advanced rotational rheometer	54
Fig. 3.5: Flow chart for the 3D printing of the green sample	56
Fig. 3.6: Hyrel 3D ceramic printer	57
Fig. 3.7: Tube sintering furnace	58
Fig. 3.8: Schematic of the complete process for the preparation of the final component	59
Fig. 3.9: Compression test of 3D printed cylinder	64
Fig. 3.10: Procedure for the fabrication of 3D printed HP- <i>Cu</i> current collector for LMB	66
Fig. 4.1: Effect of particle loading on a) viscosity b) storage modulus for the prepared <i>Cu</i> ink	72
Fig. 4.2: Variation of a) Viscosity vs Shear rate for increased binder loading b) Storage modulus vs Shear stress for increased binder loading c) Viscosity vs Shear rate for increased <i>Cu</i> loading and d) Storage modulus vs shear stress for increased <i>Cu</i> loading	73
Fig. 4.3: a) Viscosity vs Shear rate b) Storage modulus vs Shear stress for the prepared <i>Cu</i> ink with 97 wt% particle loading	76
Fig. 4.4: Fitting curve for a) 93 wt% b) 95 wt% c) 97 wt% <i>Cu</i> -loaded inks with values of $n = 0.81, 0.69, 0.54$, respectively	78

Fig. 4.5: Printed green samples with a) 93 wt% b) 95 wt% and c) 97 wt% Cu loading	81
Fig. 4.6: Printed green samples with 97 wt % Cu loading a) Rectangular Mesh; b) Honeycomb structure and c) Cylinder	81
Fig. 4.7: SEM image of a, b) Prepared ink with 97 wt% Cu loading & c, d) Green samples printed with 97 wt% Cu loading	82
Fig. 4.8: Variations of volumetric shrinkage and sintered density with Cu loading	82
Fig.4.9: Micrograph of a) 93 wt% b) 95 wt% c) 97 wt% Cu loaded sintered sample	84
Fig. 5.1: Main effect plots of selected printing parameter	92
Fig. 5.2: SEM image of prepared ink showing wetting of Cu particles	92
Fig. 5.3: Green Cu samples printed using layer height a) 60%; b) 70 % and c) 80 % and SEM images of the cross-section of green Cu samples printed using layer height d) 60%; e) 70 % and f) 80 %	92
Fig. 5.4: Schematic of the DIW process with variation in the layer height. a) $\Delta h \ll d$ b) $\Delta h < d$ c) $\Delta h \leq d$ where Δh is the layer height and d is the nozzle diameter	97
Fig. 5.5: Green HP-Cu samples fabricated using printing speeds of a) 5mm/s b) 10 mm/s and c)15mm/s	99
Fig. 5.6: a) Image of sintered honeycomb Cu sample fabricated using optimized printing parameters; b) SEM image of sintered sample, c) magnified view of strut (at 500 X) and d) SEM image (at 1000 X) showing interparticle bonding	101
Fig. 5.7: (a) Porous Cu current collector green sample (b)SEM image of fabricated HP-Cu current collector	102

Fig. 6.1: SEM images of Cu samples prepared at different sintering temperature i.e. (a) 650°C (b) 750°C (c) 850°C (d) 950°C and (e) 1050°C	107
Fig. 6.2: a) Main effect plot and (b-c) Interaction plot for relative density	108
Fig. 6.3: a) Main effect plot and (b-c) Interaction plot for compressive strength	112
Fig. 6.4: a) Main effect plot and (b-c) Interaction plot for volumetric Shrinkage	114
Fig. 6.5: SEM images of the samples sintered at the sintering temperature of 950°C, soaking time of 60 min with heating rate a) 2 °C/ <i>min</i> and b) 6 °C/ <i>min</i>	115
Fig. 6.6: SEM images of sintered samples at the sintering temperature of 950 °C, a heating rate of 4 °C / <i>min</i> and soaking time of a) 30 min b) 90 min	116
Fig. 6.7: Green sample of the porous Cu current collector with 97 wt% Cu loading	119
Fig. 6.8: Porous Cu current collector sintered sample printed with optimized printing parameters	119
Fig. 6.9: a) Compression test of 3D printed porous Cu electrode b) Stress-strain plot for Cu electrode under compression (c) SEM image of the porous copper electrode after 80 % of straining	120
Fig. 6.10: a) Schematic of 3D printed porous Cu current collector b) Comparison of coulombic efficiency of fabricated coin cell and Cu foil at current density of 5 mAcm ⁻² for the plating capacity of 5 mAhcm ⁻²	122
Fig. 7.1: - (a) Green sample (b-c) SEM of the green sample (d) Sintered sample of the (e-f) SEM after debinding and sintering of HP-Cu current collector	127
Fig. 7.2: Discharge profile of Li plating at current density of a) 1 mAcm ⁻² , b) 3 mAcm ⁻² and (c) 5 mAcm ⁻² at a plated capacity of 5 mAhcm ⁻²	128
Fig. 7.3: Comparison of Coulombic efficiency of 3D printed HP-Cu current collector and 3D printed Cu coin at a) current density of 1 mAcm ⁻² and b) current density of 3 mAcm ⁻² at a plated capacity of 5 mAhcm ⁻² .	131

Fig. 7.4: Comparison of coulombic efficiency of 3D printed HP-Cu and the Cu foil at current density of (a) 1mAcm^{-2} and (b) 3mAcm^{-2} at capacity of 5mAhcm^{-2}	132
Fig. 7.5: shows SEM images of Lithium deposition at current density of 3mAcm^{-2} at a plated capacity of 5mAhcm^{-2} (a-b) After 10 cycles (c-d) After 20 cycles	133
Fig. 7.6: SEM images of Li deposition at current density of 1mAcm^{-2} at a plated capacity of 5mAhcm^{-2} (a) After 200 h (b)After 400 h	135
Fig. 7.7: BSE images of Li deposition at current density of (a-c) 1mAcm^{-2} (b-d) 3mAcm^{-2} plated capacity of 5mAhcm^{-2} (a-b) porous HP-Cu (c-d) Cu foil	136
Fig. 7.8: XRD pattern of (a) As-sintered porous Cu; (b) Li deposited Cu foil after 10 cycles and (c) Li deposited 3D printed HP-Cu after 10 cycles	139
Fig. 7.9: (a) Impedance circuit diagram (b-c) Impedance plot for planar Cu foil and 3D printed Cu (b) zero cycle (c) After 5 cycles	141

List of Tables

Table- 1.1 Various methods used for improving the coulombic efficiency of lithium metal batteries	4
Table 1.2 Comparison of fabrication Methods	5
Table 1.3 Comparison of various additive manufacturing techniques.....	14
Table 2.1 Comparison of Cu inks prepared using different types of reducing agents	22
Table-2.2 Classification and characteristics of current collectors for lithium metal batteries	34
Table-2.3 Modification strategies for Cu current collectors in lithium metal batteries	37
Table-2.4 Porous Metal Current Collectors (PMCCs) for lithium metal anodes.....	43
Table-3.1 Process parameters ranges and levels.....	61
Table-3.2 Input parameters and responses	63
Table-4.1 Values of parameters obtained after fitting using HB equation	78
Table-4.2 Sintered <i>Cu</i> sample density with 93 wt %, 95 wt % and 97 wt % loading.....	83
Table-5.1 Experimental Matrix Based on Orthogonal Array Design	89
Table-5.2 Response table for S/N ratio	90
Table-5.3 Comparison of ordered porous Copper structures produced by the additive manufacturing process	103
Table-6.1 Optimized set of sintering parameters	118
Table-7.1 Comparison of porous electrodes for LMB fabricated by various techniques.....	144

List of abbreviations & symbols

%	:	Percentage
°C	:	Degree Celsius
Δh	:	Layer height
AM	:	Additive Manufacturing
BJ	:	Binder jetting
CE	:	Coulombic Efficiency
Cu	:	Copper
DIW	:	Direct ink writing
EES	:	Energy storage systems
FDM	:	Fused deposition modelling
h	:	Hour
HB	:	Herschel-Bulkley
HP-Cu	:	Hierarchically porous copper
HR	:	Heating rate
LIB	:	Lithium-ion battery
Li	:	Lithium
LMB	:	Lithium metal battery
MPa	:	Mega Pascal
PMCC	:	Porous metal current collectors
PBF	:	Powder Bed Fusion
RD	:	Relative density
ρ	:	Sintered density
s	:	Second
SEI	:	Stable electrolyte interface

SEM : Scanning electron microscopy
SLA : Stereolithography
SLM : Selective Laser Melting
 τ : Shear Stress
 v : Printing speed
 V : Volumetric shrinkage
wt% : Weight percentage
XPS : X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy
XRD : X-ray diffraction
 μm : Micrometer