

# Table of contents

<b>List of figures</b>	<b>xxxi</b>
<b>List of tables</b>	<b>xlix</b>
<b>1 Introduction of the Sun, Waves and Quasi-Periodic Pulsations</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Overview of the Sun . . . . .	2
1.1.1 Solar interior . . . . .	3
1.1.2 The Solar magnetic field . . . . .	13
1.1.3 Exterior of the sun . . . . .	16
1.2 Variation of the Density and Temperature . . . . .	22
1.3 The Sun at Different Wavelength . . . . .	24
1.4 Magnetohydrodynamic Waves in the Solar Atmosphere . . . . .	25
1.4.1 The influence of magnetic fields . . . . .	27
1.4.2 Propagating waves in the solar atmosphere . . . . .	30
1.5 Quasi-Periodic Pulsations in the Solar Atmosphere . . . . .	35
1.6 Outline . . . . .	40
<b>2 Outline of Observational Data and Analysis Tools</b>	<b>43</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	44
2.2 Space-based Observatories . . . . .	47
2.2.1 Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph (IRIS) . . . . .	47

2.2.2	Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO) . . . . .	50
2.2.3	Big Bear Solar Observatory (BBSO) . . . . .	54
2.3	Data Reduction Pipeline . . . . .	57
2.3.1	Spectral analysis . . . . .	57
2.3.2	Image calibration and data processing . . . . .	58
2.3.3	Analysis techniques of magnetogram data . . . . .	59
2.3.4	Chromospheric observations by VIS on BBSO telescope (GST) . . . . .	60
2.4	Data Analysis Techniques . . . . .	60
2.4.1	Differential emission measure (DEM) analysis . . . . .	60
2.4.2	Wavelet analysis technique . . . . .	61
2.4.3	Cross-Wavelet analysis technique . . . . .	64
<b>3</b>	<b>The Quiet-Sun Solar Transition Region Oscillations as Observed by IRIS using Si IV Spectral Line</b>	<b>67</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	68
3.2	Observations and Data Analysis . . . . .	71
3.3	Results . . . . .	76
3.3.1	Wavelet analysis and noise model fit . . . . .	76
3.3.2	Cross power between intensity and velocity time-series . . . . .	84
3.3.3	Analysis of phase difference between intensity and velocity time-series and interpretation of wave modes . . . . .	85
3.4	Discussion and Conclusions . . . . .	94
<b>4</b>	<b>Statistical Study of the Propagating MHD Waves in the Quiet-Sun Using IRIS Spectroscopic Observations</b>	<b>99</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	100
4.2	Analysis of The Observational Data . . . . .	105

4.2.1	Data reduction . . . . .	105
4.2.2	Wavelet analysis of velocity time-series . . . . .	109
4.2.3	Cross correlation between two Doppler velocity time-series originating from different heights . . . . .	111
4.3	Results . . . . .	112
4.3.1	Statistical behaviour of the waves . . . . .	112
4.3.2	Power at strong magnetic patch with bright point . . . . .	122
4.3.3	Non-linear behavior at different time bin in Mg II h and Si IV spectra	123
4.3.4	Example of distinct behavior of propagating waves at different locations . . . . .	125
4.4	Discussion and conclusions . . . . .	127
<b>5</b>	<b>Study of Sunspot Umbral Oscillation as Observed by Goode Solar Telescope (GST) at Big Bear Solar Observatory</b>	<b>133</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	134
5.2	Observations and Data Analysis . . . . .	137
5.3	Methodology . . . . .	142
5.3.1	Wavelet analysis . . . . .	142
5.3.2	Cross-wavelet analysis . . . . .	143
5.4	Results . . . . .	147
5.5	Discussion and Conclusion . . . . .	153
<b>6</b>	<b>Quasi-periodic Pulsation at the Base of a Kink-unstable Jet</b>	<b>157</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	158
6.2	Observations and Data Analysis . . . . .	163
6.3	Observational Results . . . . .	165
6.3.1	Onset of the kink-instability . . . . .	165

---

6.3.2	Multi-wavelength imaging observations of solar jet . . . . .	167
6.3.3	Time-distance analysis of blowout jet . . . . .	169
6.3.4	Thermal structure of the blowout jet . . . . .	172
6.3.5	Spectroscopic diagnosis of blowout jet . . . . .	176
6.3.6	Quasi-periodic pulsation . . . . .	183
6.3.7	Temporal evolution of jet in IRIS/SJI 1330 Å filter . . . . .	187
6.3.8	Quasi periodic pulsations: AIA 171 Å, AIA 211 Å, and AIA 131 Å	189
6.4	Discussion and Conclusions . . . . .	189
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusions and Future Plan</b>	<b>197</b>
7.1	Summary and Conclusions . . . . .	197
7.2	Future Plans . . . . .	200
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>203</b>
	<b>Appendix List of Publications</b>	<b>239</b>

# List of figures

1.1	The image illustrates various parts of the Sun, commencing from the core where energy generation occurs, and extending outward across the radiation and convection zones. It further depicts the Sun's surface, known as the photosphere, and its outermost layer, the corona. Additionally, the picture showcases several phenomena within the Sun's atmosphere, including loops, sunspots, and prominences. (Credit: NASA/Berkeley - SSL). . . . .	4
1.2	Sound waves within the sun cause the visible solar disk to oscillate inward and outward. This collective movement is a composite of countless oscillations, depicted here by regions pulsating inward (red areas) and outward (blue areas). By scrutinizing the frequencies of numerous modes and employing theoretical models, solar astronomers can glean valuable insights into the internal structure and dynamics of the sun (Credit: NSO/AURA/NSF). . . . .	9
1.3	The acoustic p-mode spectrum of the sun, analyzed from the first eight months of Global Oscillations at Low Frequencies (GOLF) data, exhibiting a signal-to-noise ratio of 3000 at 3 mHz (Credit: M. Lazrek; F. Baudin and L. Bertello). . . . .	11

- 
- 1.4 The diagram describes the paths of various acoustic waves travelling within the sun. Near the surface, acoustic waves reflect due to a significant decrease in density. Towards the bottom, the paths bend as the sound speed and temperature rise with the depth. The angle of reflection determines the depth of the penetration of acoustic waves. A shallower angle results in the shallower penetration (Credit: Jørgen Christensen-Dalsgaard). . . . 12
- 1.5 Moving towards low-degree harmonics: instances of  $l = 6$  with various values of  $m = (0, 3, 6)$ , transitioning from polar mode to equatorial mode (Credit: J.W. Leibacher; P. Demarque and D. B. Guenther; Demarque and Guenther (1999)). . . . . 14
- 1.6 This image describes the magnified areas highlighting specific features of the strongly magnetized active region (AR) sunspot, pore, and the quiet-sun (QS) granules. (Credit: NASA). . . . . 16
- 1.7 The provided graph illustrates the distribution of sunspots from 1870 to 1995. Notably, the central line, extending horizontally from left to right, denotes the sun's equator, with sunspots occurring both north and south, positioned above and below this reference line (Credit: NASA's Marshall Space Flight Center / D.H. Hathaway). . . . . 19
- 1.8 The image is captured during the 1999 solar eclipse showing both the chromosphere and the corona of the sun (Credit: Luc Viatour). . . . . 20
- 1.9 The variation in temperature and density as we move upward in the solar atmosphere derive from the VAL model (Credit: Eugene Avrett/ (Priest, 2014)). . . . . 23
- 1.10 Observations of the sun across multiple wavelengths, captured by the AIA & HMI onboard the SDO satellite. (Credit: SDO/AIA, HMI). . . . . 26

1.11	Propagation of waves and mode conversion in the stratified atmosphere. In both panels, the blue diagonal lines illustrate the orientation of the background magnetic field. The arrowed lines represent the wave vectors (Credit: Khomenko and Cally (2012)) . . . . .	32
1.12	The left panel exhibits a raster image captured at a wavelength of $H\alpha+4.0$ Å of the active region. On the right panel, the time-distance maps are presented, depicting intensity (top row) and Doppler velocity (bottom row) for $H\alpha$ (left column) and $CaII$ (right column). The dashed horizontal lines mark the boundaries between the umbra and the penumbra (Credit: Ajoy Maurya et al. (2013)). . . . .	35
1.13	Examples of quasi-periodic pulsations (QPPs) observed in emission light curves of solar flares. The upper and middle panels depict QPPs in X-ray emissions, while the bottom panel displays the light curve in microwave emissions. These QPPs exhibit a periodicity of 1 minute (Credit: Zimovets and Struminsky (2009)). . . . .	37
1.14	Diagrammatic representation of the principal models explaining QPPs (Credit: Kupriyanova et al. (2020)). . . . .	38
2.1	Sun viewed at various wavelengths measured by SDO. Different wavelengths of the sun's observation aid in highlighting various features of the sun's surface and atmosphere. The first 10 images are taken from Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA), while the last two images are from the Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager (HMI) showing magnetogram, and dopplergram (Credit: NASA/SDO and AIA and HMI science teams). . . .	45
2.2	Image showing the Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph (IRIS) (Credit: LMSAL, NASA/IRIS) . . . . .	48

2.3	Diagram describing the direction of the light traces its path in the Spectrograph and slit-jaw imager (Credit: LMSAL, NASA/IRIS) . . . . .	49
2.4	A diagram of the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO) spacecraft showing three payloads i.e., AIA, HMI, and EVE (Credit: NASA/SDO). . . . .	51
2.5	A visual representation of the AIA showing the four telescopes (Credit: Lemen et al., 2012). . . . .	52
2.6	Image showing HMI onboard SDO (Credit: Schou et al., 2012). . . . .	55
2.7	Image showing GST installed at BBSO Observatory (Credit: BBSO/NJIT). . . . .	56
2.8	Image showing an example of wavelet analysis. Details are given in Chapter 4. . . . .	62
2.9	Image showing one example of the cross-wavelet. The details are given in Chapter 3. . . . .	65
3.1	The left panel shows the full context image of the sun as observed by SDO/AIA in 171 Å passband. The overlaid white box depicts the region of interest. The middle panel shows the field of view in the IRIS SJI 2796 Å image. This image is just to display the network and inter-network regions in the solar chromosphere above which we have chosen solar TR in Si IV line. The right panel shows the LOS magnetogram. The black vertical line is the position of slit. The region between blue asterisks is the bright network region. The region between green asterisks is the dark inter-network region. Above these chosen regions, we derive TR spectra using the observations of Si IV 1393.755 Å line. . . . .	71

- 3.2 The spectral line profiles of Si IV 1393.755 Å at various locations (dotted black color curves). The line profiles in the top panel ('a', 'b', and 'c') belong to the bright TR locations, while the line profiles in the bottom panel ('d', 'e', and 'f') are derived from the dark TR locations. The fitted profiles are obtained using single Gaussian fitting (blue), and the error bars are shown in red. . . . . 72
- 3.3 Time-distance map of the intensity and Doppler velocity in Si IV 1393 Å line. The y-axis is parallel to the slit of the instrument. . . . . 74
- 3.4 The time-series of intensity and Doppler velocity at a bright location ( $y \approx -49''$ ) are shown in panels (a) and (d). The model fits for intensity and Doppler velocity are shown in panels (b) and (e). The black curve represents FFT power, while the red curve represents the time averaged global power of the wavelet spectrum. The blue curve depicts the fitted model, which is evaluated using the power law model. Using the fitted model, the 95% local significance level (green-curve) and 95% global significance level (brown-curve) are estimated. The wavelet power spectrum of intensity and velocity are shown in panels (c) and (f), respectively. The blue-line contour represents the 95% global significance level, and the power enclosed within the contour is considered significant. . . . . 77
- 3.5 The left panel shows the time-series, model fit, and power spectrum of intensity at a chosen dark location at  $y \approx -77''$ . The right panel shows the time-series, model fit, and the power spectrum of the Doppler velocity time-series. The other description of panels (a)-(f) are same as given in Figure 4.4. . . . . 80

- 3.6 The distribution of period in intensity (a) and velocity (b) at bright locations is shown in the left column. The distribution of the period in intensity (c) and velocity (d) at the dark locations is shown in the right column. The red line represents the mean period, and the green line represents  $1-\sigma$  value. 82
- 3.7 The time-series of intensity (black) and Doppler velocity (red) in the representative bright and dark regions are shown in panels (a) and (d), respectively. The cross power wavelet between two time-series is shown in panels (b) and (e) for the bright and dark regions respectively. The blue line contour represents the global significance level of 95 %. The phase differences are over plotted within the significant contour. The grey arrows represent the standing waves, red arrows represent the downward propagating waves and white arrows represent the upward propagating waves. The wavelet coherence between two time-series is shown in panels (c) and (f). The green line contour represents a global significance level of 95% with wavelet coherence greater than 0.70. The arrows of phase differences are overlaid in the high coherence regime (i.e., coherence above 0.70). . . . . 86
- 3.8 The distribution of phase difference in a bright (a) and dark (b) regions, which ranges between  $-180^\circ$  and  $180^\circ$ . The distribution peaks at three phase difference values in both the cases. The over plotted red line is the fitted Gaussian function, which is used to compute the phase difference values at associated with various peaks. . . . . 93

- 4.1 The panel (a) displays a full disc image of the sun obtained by SDO/AIA at a wavelength of 171 Å. The image has a white box superimposed on it to mark the region of interest. The panel (b) shows the zoomed-in view corresponding to the IRIS SJI 1330 Å filter. The vertical black line is indicating the position of the slit used in our analysis. Our investigation is focused on the inter-network areas located between the blue asterisks. The panel (c) illustrates the absolute line-of-sight magnetic field, while panel (d) depicts the map of magnetic field inclination obtained by the HMI onboard the SDO. The magnetic field data is used as a context data to identify the physical nature of the region-of-interest in the quiet-sun. The selected area corresponds to an inter-network region characterized by the low magnetic field strengths and high inclination angles, reaching up to 90° in the base-line of the HMI contextual observational data. . . . . 104
- 4.2 Spectral fitting of (a) Fe I 2799.972 Å, (b) Mn I 2801.907 Å, (c) Mg II h 2803.52 Å, and (d) Si IV 1393.755 Å lines. The fitted profiles using single Gaussian or double Gaussian function are shown in blue color, whereas the error bars are drawn in red color. These spectral profiles are derived from the location  $y = 45''$ , at a given time in sit-n-stare time-series. . . . . 113
- 4.3 Panel (a) shows the time-series of Doppler velocity for Fe I line, while panel (b) shows the time-series of Doppler velocity for Mn I line. Both are obtained from the position  $y = -2''$ . In panels (b) and (e), the FFT power is depicted by the black curve while the red curve corresponds to the global wavelet spectrum. The blue curve represents the power law noise model fit. The 95 % local significance level is shown in green color. Panels (c) and (f) present the wavelet power spectra for Fe I and Mn I, respectively, with green line contours overlaid representing the 95 % local significance level. 114

- 4.4 The left panel shows the Doppler velocity, model fit, and wavelet power spectrum for the Mg II h line, while the right panel displays the same features for the Si IV line. The descriptions for panels (a)-(f) are analogous to those provided in Figure 4.3. . . . . 116
- 4.5 Panel (a) displays the period distribution for the Fe I line, while panel (b) shows the period distribution for Mn II. In panel (c), the period distribution for Mg II h is presented, and panel (d) shows the period distribution for Si IV. 117
- 4.6 Panels (a) and (b) show the cross-power wavelet and wavelet coherence between Fe I and Mn I velocity signals, while panels (c) and (d) display the same features for the Fe I - Mg II pair. Panels (e) and (f) display the same for Fe I and Si IV panels. The left panels feature an over-plotted blue line contours representing 95 % local significance level. Power values falling within this contour are considered significant. Arrows overlaid on the cross-power map indicate the phase differences between the two velocity signals derived from the representative chosen location  $y=-2''$ . The purple arrows represent a positive phase lag and red arrows depict a negative phase lag. . . . . 118
- 4.7 Panel (a) displays the phase difference with frequency variation for the Fe I - Mn I pair, while panel (b) shows the phase difference with frequency variation for the Fe I - Mg II h pair. Panel (c) describes the phase difference with frequency variation for the Fe I - Si IV pair. . . . . 122

- 4.8 Panel (a) displays the wavelet power spectra for the Mg II line, while panel (b) presents the corresponding spectra for the Si IV line. The remaining details are similar to those in Figure 4.3. In panel (c), the cross-power wavelet between Fe I and Mg II velocity signals is displayed. Panel (d) shows the cross-power wavelet between Fe I and Si IV velocity signals, following the details outlined in Figure 4.6. It is important to highlight that these analyses focus on velocity time-series derived from strongly magnetized patches associated with bright points, revealing distinct oscillatory behaviors. This location lies at  $y=-22''$ . . . . . 124
- 4.9 In the left panel, the spectra of Mg II h at various time points are displayed, while the right panel show the spectra of Si IV at different time intervals. The rest wavelength of the spectra is indicated by a red vertical dashed line. These spectra are corresponding to different time epoch for the pixel location  $y=-2''$ . . . . . 125
- 4.10 The left panel displays cross power at various locations for the Fe-Mg pair, whereas the right panel displays the cross power for the Fe-Si pair. The remaining descriptions similar with those in Figure 4.6. . . . . 126
- 5.1 The panel on the left presents the full-context image of the sun captured by the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly onboard Solar Dynamics Observatory in the  $171 \text{ \AA}$  filter. A white box indicates the region of interest. The panel on the right shows the field of view in the core of  $H\alpha 6563 \text{ \AA}$ . Pixels within this box were selected for further analysis. . . . . 137

---

5.2	Evolution of the intensity over the time for different wavelength, e.g., $H\alpha-0.2 \text{ \AA}$ , $H\alpha-0.4 \text{ \AA}$ , $H\alpha-0.6 \text{ \AA}$ , $H\alpha$ line core, $H\alpha+0.2 \text{ \AA}$ , $H\alpha+0.4 \text{ \AA}$ , $H\alpha+0.6 \text{ \AA}$ , and Doppler Velocity of $H\alpha$ line respectively are displayed in panel (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), and (h) respectively. These signals are derived from a pixel from location ( $x = 119''$ , $y = 159''$ ). . . . .	139
5.3	Panels (a)-(c) present the wavelet transformation of the intensity time-series in blue wings of $H\alpha$ filter. Panel (d) shows the wavelet transformation of the intensity time-series from the $H\alpha$ line core. Panels (e)-(g) display the wavelet transformation for the intensity time-series from the red wings of the $H\alpha$ . Finally, panel (j) illustrates the wavelet transformation of the Doppler velocity time-series derived from the $H\alpha$ line profile. These various time-series are shown in Figure 5.2. . . . .	140
5.4	In panel (a), the FFT power of $H\alpha-0.2 \text{ \AA}$ filter intensity time-series is presented by the black curve, while the red curve shows the time-averaged global power of the wavelet spectrum. The power law model is used to compute the fitted model. The fitted model is shown in green color curve. The 95% local significance level is derived from this model and shown in the blue color. Similarly, panels (b) to (g) display the FFT power, global wavelet power, model fit, and 95% local significance level for the intensity time-series of the $H\alpha-0.4 \text{ \AA}$ , $H\alpha-0.6 \text{ \AA}$ , $H\alpha$ line core, $H\alpha+0.2 \text{ \AA}$ , $H\alpha+0.4 \text{ \AA}$ , and $H\alpha+0.6 \text{ \AA}$ , respectively. Panel (h) presents the same for the Doppler velocity time-series. . . . .	141

- 
- 5.5 Panels (a)-(c) display the cross-wavelet power between intensity oscillations present in the time-series derived from the blue wings of  $H\alpha$  and the Doppler velocity oscillations estimated from the  $H\alpha$  line profile. Panels (e)-(g) illustrate the corresponding wavelet coherence. Panel (d) shows the cross-wavelet power between the  $H\alpha$  core intensity and the Doppler velocity time-series, while panel (h) presents the corresponding wavelet coherence. The blue contours on the plots indicate 95% local significance level calculated using a power-law noise model. . . . . 144
- 5.6 Panels (a)-(c) display the cross-wavelet power between the intensity time-series derived from the red wings of  $H\alpha$  and Doppler velocity estimated by the  $H\alpha$  line profile. The related wavelet coherence is shown in Panels (d)–(f). 145
- 5.7 Panels (a)-(c) display the histograms of the significant periods from all the chosen locations as estimated analyzing the intensity time-series extracted from the blue wings of  $H\alpha$ , while panel (d) shows the histogram of the significant period for the intensity time-series of the  $H\alpha$  line core. In all panels, the histograms peak at a 3 minute time-scale. . . . . 147
- 5.8 In this Figure, we have shown the histogram for the significant periods from all the chosen locations as estimated by analyzing corresponding the intensity time-series as extracted from the red wings of the  $H\alpha$  line. In the red wing as well, the histogram peaks at a 3 minute time scale. . . . . 148
- 5.9 Panels (a)-(c) show the histogram of the significant phase differences between the intensity time-series from all chosen locations as extracted from of the blue wings of the  $H\alpha$  and the the velocity signal of the  $H\alpha$  line profile. Panel (d) shows the histogram of phase differences between the intensity time-series of the  $H\alpha$  core and the velocity signals. The peak phase corresponding to each case is mentioned on each panel. . . . . 151

- 
- 5.10 Panels (a)-(c) show the histogram of the significant phase differences extracted from all chosen locations in umbra between the intensity time-series derived from the blue wings of the H $\alpha$  and the velocity time-series estimated using the H $\alpha$  line profiles. Here, we have also mentioned the peak phase on each panel, similar to Figure 5.9. . . . . 152
- 6.1 The sequence of the images from SDO/AIA 304 Å with reverse color contrast shows the onset of the kink instability in a blowout jet. The morphological sign of kink instability (inverse  $\gamma$ -shape), bright knot, propagation of brightening along the twisted field lines, and rotation of the plasma thread (i.e., twist in the jet) have been identified, and these observational findings are indicated by different arrows. The first panel shows the direction to understand the dynamics in the jet's leg. The black and white arrow indicates the northern and southern legs of the eruptive jet (last panel). 166

- 6.2 The images show the multi-wavelength view of the kink unstable blowout jet as observed on August 29, 2014 by SDO/AIA and IRIS. It shows the morphological evolution of kink unstable blowout jet in the different layers of the solar atmosphere (i.e., AIA 1600 (top row), IRIS/SJI 1330 (second row), AIA 304 (third row), and AIA 131 (bottom row)). In this evolution, we have seen various important features of this blowout jet, namely, the development of inverse  $\gamma$ -shape structure in the cool filters (panels (a1) and (b1)), bright knot due to magnetic reconnection along with the triggering of blowout jet (panels (a2), (b2), (c2), and (d2)), bi-directional flows from bright knot ((a3), (b3), (c3), and (d3)), and matured phase of jet with a cavity (panels (a4) and (b4)). Here, it should be noted that the jet is mainly visible in the cool filters, and does not emit much in hot filters. However, we see a compact bright structure in the hot filters (see (c2) and (d2)) which justifies the occurrence of internal magnetic reconnection in highly twisted magnetic field lines of inverse  $\gamma$ -shape near its apex. The northern and southern legs are indicated by white and black arrows in the panel (a3) and (b3). The two boxes 'a' and 'b' are shown in panels (a3), (b3), (c3), and (d3). We have used these boxes to investigate the total emission measure (EM) (see Figure 6.4(c) and(d)). The kink instability at the base of the jet, triggering of magnetic reconnection, the eruption of the jet, and associated dynamics are shown between 06:25 UT to 07:00 UT. . . . . 170

6.3 The panel (a) shows the intensity image from AIA 304 Å at t = 06:37:55 UT, i.e., from the decay phase of the jet. The over-plotted dashed lines are various slits along (i.e., S1) and across (i.e., P1, P2, P3, and P4) the jet, and they are used to produce the time-distance diagrams. The time-distance diagram along the slit S1 is shown in the panel (b), and we have drawn a line (i.e., dashed cyan line) along the ascending phase of the jet to estimate the speed of the blowout jet which is 234 kms<sup>-1</sup>. We have also seen multiple spikes in this time-distance diagram which are indicated by the black arrows. In the right column, we have shown the time-distance diagrams along the slits P1 (panel (c)), P2 (panel (d)), P3 (panel (e)), and P4 (panel (f)). Here, we have seen the opposite motion of inverse γ-shape as indicated by the path drawn by the cyan dashed line in panel (c). Further, we have also seen the bright dots on the jet’s body which is indicated by white arrows (panels (d) and (e)). Here, we see a slanted intensity patch (panels (d), (e), and (f)) in the last three panels of the right column which is occurring due to the fragmented plasma on a curved path from the main body of the blowout jet. . . . . 173

6.4 The panels (a1), (a2), and (a3) shows EM maps in three different temperature ranges (i.e.,  $\log T/K = 5.7-6.0$ ,  $6.0-6.3$ , and  $6.9-7.2$ ) during the time  $t = 06:32:03$  UT when the magnetic reconnection takes place around the bright knot of inverse- $\gamma$  structure. The bright knot is indicated by the black arrows in all panels of the top row. Similarly, the middle row shows the same EM maps during the developed phase of this blowout jet (i.e.,  $t = 06:35:03$  UT). Here, we see very faint emission of the jet as indicated by black arrows in the middle row. Further, we have selected one box in the northern leg (i.e., box a) and another box in the southern leg (box b) to investigate the temporal variations of the EM curves in various temperature ranges. Various EM curves are shown in panels c (box a) and d (box b) of Figure 6.4 by various colors. The color scheme and temperature ranges are mentioned in both panels. All EM curves from the box a show only one peak, while all EM curves from box (b) show three to four peaks. The box (a) is located in the northern leg which erupts in the initial phase of the jet. 175

6.5 IRIS/SJI 1330 Å image depicts the blowout jet at time  $t = 06:32:06$  UT along with 8-slit positions shown by white dashed lines in panel (a). IRIS has captured the spectra along these slits. Further, we have selected one location (i.e., red plus sign) in the northern leg while another location (blue plus sign) in the southern leg of the blowout jet. The panel (b), (c), and (d) show the spectral profiles of Si IV from both locations (i.e., the red curve from red plus location and black curve from blue plus location) at time  $t = 06:30$  UT (panel b),  $06:31$  UT (panel (c)), and  $06:32$  UT (panel (d)). In the same fashion, we have shown C II (panels (e), (f), and (g)) and Mg II (panels h, i, and (j)) from both locations at the same three times. In general, all the spectral profiles from the red plus location are red-shifted (i.e., plasma downfall) while these profiles are blue-shifted (i.e., plasma upflows) from the blue plus location. In addition, all the spectral profiles are very complex profiles. . . . . 177

6.6 The temporal evolution of normalized averaged Si-IV line profiles (i.e., averaged over the five pixels around the blue plus location shown in Figure 6.5) from the most probable reconnection region. It should be noted that all spectral profiles are normalized by their maximum counts. All the spectral profiles are fitted by single Gaussian (see blue curve in all panels). We do see periodic fluctuations in the line width of Si IV. The first panel does not show the line as it is before the triggering of the solar jets. . . . . 179

- 6.7 The temporal evolution of line width and Doppler velocity from the most probable reconnection region (blue plus sign in Figure 6.5) is displayed in panels a and b, respectively. The triggering time of the jet is indicated by the green-dashed line, therefore, all the points before the green-dashed line are zero. The downfall phase of the blowout jet dominates after the red vertical dashed line. In the up flow phase of the jet, we do see the periodic behavior of the line width. Further, we also see the periodic behavior of Doppler velocity in the up-flow phase of the blowout jet (panel (b)). We have performed a correlation between line width and Doppler velocity which is shown in panel (c). It is found that line width is negatively correlated with the Doppler velocity, i.e., line width is during the up-flow, and the line width decreases as line profiles move towards the red-shifts. . 182

- 6.8 The panel (a) displays SDO/AIA 304 Å image of the blowout jet at time  $t = 06:32:31$  UT, and we select five boxes (B1, B2, B3, and B4) to deduce the emission curve from this filter. The temporal evolution of intensity for all boxes for AIA 304 Å filter with their wavelet analysis shown in Figure 6.9. . . . . 184

6.9 The temporal evolution of the intensity (i.e., black curve) in AIA 304 Å filter from box B1 is displayed in the first panel of the first column. The over-plotted red dashed line is smoothed curve with a window of 15 points. The second panel of the first column shows the detrended curve, and the wavelet transform is applied to this detrended curve. The wavelet power map is displayed in the third panel with 95% significance (i.e., white contours). The power is mainly concentrated around ~03 minutes. Finally, in the last panel of first column, the global wavelet power is displayed which again shows that global power peaks around ~ 03 minutes (i.e., 2.78 minutes). A similar analysis is shown for B2 (second column), B3 (third column), and B4 (fourth column), and the dominant period is ~ 03 minutes. It should be noted that we did not find any significant period in B4. . . . . 185

6.10 This Figure shows the evolution of the jet in the IRIS/SJI 1330 Å filter. Firstly, we saw the activation of the kinked flux rope (panels (b) and (c)), and then the formation of bright knots due to the magnetic reconnection of twisted field lines (panel (d)). This magnetic reconnection leads downflows along both legs of the jet (see red arrows in panel (f)) and upflows along the spire of the jet (blue arrow in panel (f)). Further, after some time, one leg erupts completely (panels (g) and (h)), and the jet further develops along the southern leg (panels (h) and (i)). We also see the rotation of the plasma as indicated by white arrows from panel (d) to panel (f). At last, we mention that evolution of the jet in IRIS/SJI 1330 Å is similar as we have already seen in AIA 304 Å (cf., Figure 6.1). . . . . 188

6.11 Same as Figure 6.9 but for AIA 171 Å filter observations. . . . . 189

6.12 Same as Figure 6.9 but for AIA 131 Å filter observations. . . . . 190

6.13 Same as Figure 6.9 but for AIA 211 Å filter observations. . . . . 190

# List of tables

1.1	The Physical Properties of the Sun (Credit: Priest 2014) . . . . .	3
1.2	Periods of Network and Inter-network Oscillations (Credit: Khomenko and Calvo Santamaria 2013) . . . . .	33
2.1	IRIS observations in different passband, corresponding emitting ions, and temperature (Credit: LMSAL, NASA/IRIS). . . . .	47
2.2	The details of Primary Ions and Their Characteristic Temperature Corresponding to Different Filters of AIA (Credit: NASA/SDO, Lemen et al. 2012) . . . . .	53
3.1	Ideal I-V Phase in slow magnetoacoustics waves . . . . .	88
3.2	Theoretical predicted phase differences and their comparison with observed values . . . . .	91

