


# A DECISION-MAKING FRAMEWORK FOR MANAGED AQUIFER RECHARGE TO ENHANCE RIVER AQUIFER EXCHANGES



Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment for the  
Award of Degree

**Doctor of Philosophy**

By

**Ranveer Kumar**

**रणवीर कुमार**

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING  
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
(BANARAS HINDU UNIVERSITY)  
VARANASI - 221005  
INDIA

Roll No. 20061501

2024



## CERTIFICATE

---

It is certified that the work contained in the thesis titled “**A Decision-Making Framework for Managed Aquifer Recharge to Enhance River Aquifer Exchanges**” by **Ranveer Kumar** has been carried out under our supervision and that this work has not been submitted elsewhere for a degree.

It is further certified that the student has fulfilled all the requirements of Comprehensive Examination, Candidacy, and State of the Art (SOTA) for the award of Ph.D. Degree.



**Prof. Anurag Ohri**  
(supervisor)

Department of Civil Engineering  
Indian Institute of Technology  
(Banaras Hindu University)  
Varanasi, India – 221005



**Dr. Shishir Gaur**  
(co-supervisor)

Department of Civil Engineering  
Indian Institute of Technology  
(Banaras Hindu University)  
Varanasi, India – 221005




## DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE

I, **Ranveer Kumar**, certify that the work embodied in this thesis is my own bona fide work and carried out by me under the supervision of **Prof. Anurag Ohri** and **Dr. Shishir Gaur** from Dec 2020 to Dec 2024 at the *Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology (BHU) Varanasi*. The matter embodied in this thesis has not been submitted for the award of any other degree/diploma. I declare that I have faithfully acknowledged and given credits to the research workers wherever their works have been cited in my work in this thesis. I further declare that I have not wilfully lifted up any other's work, paragraphs, text, data, results, *etc.*, reported in journals, books, magazines, reports dissertations, thesis, *etc.*, or available at websites and included them in this thesis and cited as my own work.

Date: 24 - 12 - 2024

Place: Varanasi



Signature of the Student

(Ranveer Kumar)

## CERTIFICATE BY THE SUPERVISOR(S)

It is certified that the above statement made by the student is correct to the best of our knowledge.



**Prof. Anurag Ohri**  
Department of Civil Engineering  
Indian Institute of Technology (BHU)  
Varanasi-221005



**Dr. Shishir Gaur**  
Department of Civil Engineering  
Indian Institute of Technology (BHU)  
Varanasi-221005



**Head of the Department**  
Department of Civil Engineering  
Indian Institute of Technology (BHU)  
Varanasi-221005

**अभियान्तरीय विभाग**  
Department of Civil Engineering  
भारतीय प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान (बी.एच.यू.)  
Indian Institute of Technology (B.H.U.)  
वाराणसी-221005/Varanasi-221005



## COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE

---

**Title of the Thesis:** A Decision-Making Framework for Managed Aquifer Recharge to Enhance River Aquifer Exchanges

**Name of the Student:** Ranveer Kumar

### Copyright Transfer

The undersigned hereby assigns to the Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University) Varanasi all rights under copyright that may exist in and for the above thesis submitted for the award of the DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY.



Date: 26-12-2024

Signature of the Student

Place: Varanasi

(Ranveer Kumar)

**Note:** However, the author may reproduce or authorize others to reproduce material extracted verbatim from the thesis or derivative of the thesis for author's personal use provided that the source and the Institute's copyright notice are indicated.



## Acknowledgment

---

I am deeply grateful to my supervisor, **Prof. Anurag Ohri**, for their invaluable guidance, support, and wise suggestions throughout my research. Their expertise and encouragement have been instrumental in shaping the direction and quality of this research work and giving me full freedom to carry out the research work independently.

I extend my sincere gratitude to my co-supervisor and my mentor in life, **Dr. Shishir Gaur**, whose insightful feedback and constant support have been a source of inspiration. Their thoughtful advice and constructive criticism have greatly enriched me and my research work.

A special thanks to the members of the Research Evaluation Committee, **Prof. Shyam Bihari Dwivedi** and **Dr. Shyam Kamal**, for their invaluable time, constructive feedback, and thoughtful suggestions during the evaluation process. Their insights have greatly contributed to refining and enhancing the quality of this research.

I would also like to acknowledge the unwavering support and encouragement of the Head of the Department, **Prof. Sasankasekhar Mandal**, whose leadership and guidance have been crucial to my academic development. I am equally thankful to all the **faculty members** and staff of the department for their invaluable assistance, inspiring lectures, and administrative support throughout my studies.

To my lab mates and my comrades: Shreyansh Mishra, Rajarshi Bhattacharjee, Ankit Tewari, Ankit Bara, Mayank Bajpai, Lillian Bosc, and Barun Kumar, thank you for your camaraderie and support and for making the countless hours in the lab more enjoyable and productive. Your collaborative spirit and insightful discussions have enriched my research experience.

I want to express my sincere gratitude to my incredible friends—Akshansh, Kusum, Prashant, Ankush, Pawan, Mayank, and Deepak—for their unwavering support and encouragement. Warda and Akshansh, your consistent presence throughout both the successes and failures in my journey has been invaluable. Your belief in me has served as a significant source of strength, and I truly appreciate everything you have done for me. Thank you for always being there.

I thank my parents and my little brother for their unwavering love and support, which has been the foundation of all my achievements.

I gratefully acknowledge the Smart Laboratory on Clean Rivers (SLCR) and geoinformatics lab at IIT (BHU) for their generous support in facilitating field data collection and providing essential resources during my PhD research.

Thank you all for your contributions to this work and my academic journey.

Last but not least, I express my gratitude to the mighty “*Ganga Maiya*” for her sacred waters that have blessed me since the beginning of my life.

**Ranveer**

*Dedicated to my parents*

*(Shri Shailendra Kumar Verma & Shrimati Sunita Devi)*



# Table of Content

---

<b>Certificate</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Declaration</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Copyright Transfer Certificate</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Acknowledgment</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>List of tables</b>	<b>xxv</b>
<b>Preface</b>	<b>xxvii</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Dynamics of River Aquifer Exchanges	3
1.3 Baseflow depletion	4
1.4 Manage Aquifer Recharge as a Potential Solution	6
1.5 Research Motivation	8
1.6 Proposed Framework	9
1.7 Research Objectives	12
1.8 Organization of the Thesis	13
<b>2. LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1 Suitable Candidate Areas for MAR to Restore RAE	15
2.1.1 Methodologies for the MAR site selection	15
2.1.2 General objectives of MAR projects	21
2.2 Stream Flow Enhancement through MAR	22
2.3 Assessment of RAE with Integrated SW-GW Model	24
2.3.1 Quantification of RAE	24
2.3.2 Integrated SWAT and MODFLOW Model	26
2.4 The Permissible Aquifer Recharge rate	28
2.5 Research Gaps	29
<b>3. MATERIAL AND METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>31</b>
3.1 Hydrological modelling with SWAT	32
3.1.1 Overland flow phase of the SWAT model	33
3.1.2 Water routing phase of the SWAT Model	34
3.1.3 User Interface and Input/Output	35

3.1.4	Calibration and Validation	36
<b>3.2</b>	<b>GW Modeling: Integrated Method</b>	<b>38</b>
3.2.1	GW flow in saturated media	39
3.2.2	Numerical Modelling with MODFLOW-NWT	40
3.2.3	Integration of SWAT output to MODFLOW	49
3.2.4	Calibration of GW model	51
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Machine Learning Models</b>	<b>54</b>
3.3.1	Artificial Neural Network (ANN)	54
3.3.2	Random Forest	55
3.3.3	Long-Short Term Memory (LSTM)	56
3.3.4	Hyperparameter tuning with Bayesian optimization	57
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Accuracy matrices:</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Study Area</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>3.6</b>	<b>Field Visits and Data Collection</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>4.</b>	<b>INTEGRATED ASSESSMENT OF GW DECLINE THROUGH GRAVIMETRIC REMOTE SENSING AND FIELD OBSERVATIONS</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>4.1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Datasets used in the study</b>	<b>68</b>
4.2.1	GRACE-TWSA	68
4.2.2	GLDAS outputs	69
4.2.3	Environmental variables	70
4.2.4	Ground-based Measurements	71
4.2.5	Ancillary data	72
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Study Area</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>4.4</b>	<b>Downscaling of GRACE-Derived GWS to HRU-Scale</b>	<b>75</b>
4.4.1	SWAT Model Setup	76
4.4.2	SWAT-Model Calibration	78
4.4.3	Calculation of GWS	80
4.4.4	Spatial Downscaling with ANN	80
4.4.5	Integrated Budgeting of reservoirs in a water cycle	83
4.4.6	GW Sustainability	84
<b>4.5</b>	<b>Results and Discussion</b>	<b>86</b>
4.5.1	HRU-based calculation of GWS	86
4.5.2	Determination of GW Level in the HRUs	93
4.5.3	Mapping the SW and GW Fluxes and GW Sustainability	96

4.6	Summary	97
<b>5. QUANTIFYING THE RIVER AQUIFER EXCHANGES IN VARUNA RIVER BASIN AMID CLIMATE CHANGE</b>		<b>99</b>
5.1	Introduction	99
5.2	CMIP6 Outputs and its Bias Correction	104
5.3	Modelling the SW Dynamics in VRB	111
5.3.1	Dataset	111
5.3.2	Simulation	113
5.3.3	Calibration and Validation	114
5.4	GW Modelling	116
5.5	Integrated Modelling of SW and GW in VRB	123
5.6	Differential flow gaging (DFG)	124
5.7	Calibrating the GW Model for RAE	125
5.8	Forecasting SW-GW Model of VRB	128
5.9	Results and Discussion	130
5.9.1	Future Weather Anomalies in VRB	130
5.9.2	Natural GW Recharge	141
5.9.3	Dynamics of GW Sustainability Ratio (GSR) in future scenarios	145
5.9.4	Future SW availability and water demand	147
5.9.5	Climate Change Impact on the RAE	149
5.10	Summary	158
<b>6. INJECTION-INDUCED ENHANCEMENT OF RIVER AQUIFER EXCHANGES IN VRB</b>		<b>161</b>
6.1	Introduction	161
6.2	Response of Injection Well to Stream Flow	164
6.3	Baseflow enhancement ratio ( <i>BFER</i> )	166
6.4	Discussions	171
6.4.1	Capture Fraction vs <i>BFER</i>	171
6.4.2	The initial response lag and recession time ( $t_{ir}$ and $t_{res}$ )	174
6.4.3	Correlation of <i>BFER</i> to aquifer parameters and proximity to river	175
6.4.4	The fate of baseflow restoration using ASR system in VRB	177
6.4.5	Benefit of the GW Model-based Analysis	179
6.5	Summary	181

<b>7. PERMISSIBLE AQUIFER RECHARGE RATES AND ITS SENSITIVITY TOWARDS AQUIFER AND WELL PARAMETERS</b>	<b>183</b>
<b>7.1 Introduction</b>	<b>183</b>
<b>7.2 Permissible Aquifer Recharge RATE (PARR)</b>	<b>185</b>
7.2.1 Analytical Definition	185
7.2.2 Numerical Solution	188
<b>7.3 The Permissible and Recoverable Head</b>	<b>191</b>
<b>7.4 Sensitivity analysis</b>	<b>192</b>
7.4.1 Local Sensitivity of PARR	194
7.4.2 Global Sensitivity Analysis	200
<b>7.5 Discussion</b>	<b>203</b>
7.5.1 PARR and recoverable head	203
7.5.2 PARR in VRB	206
<b>7.6 Summary</b>	<b>209</b>
<b>8. SUITABLE AQUIFER STORAGE AND RECOVERY SITES FOR BASEFLOW RESTORATION</b>	<b>211</b>
<b>8.1 Introduction</b>	<b>211</b>
<b>8.2 Ranking of Sites by TOPSIS</b>	<b>213</b>
<b>8.3 Criteria for Suitable Site Selection</b>	<b>214</b>
8.3.1 Baseflow Enhancement ( $\Delta Q_{bf}$ )	214
8.3.2 Increase in Aquifer Storage	216
8.3.3 Total Cost	216
<b>8.4 Source identification</b>	<b>220</b>
8.4.1 Surplus runoff at subbasin outlets	221
8.4.2 Canal Diversions	224
<b>8.5 Suitable Site Selection</b>	<b>225</b>
<b>8.6 Results and Discussion</b>	<b>228</b>
8.6.1 Suitable MAR sites	228
8.6.2 Best Source of Water for ASR	231
<b>8.7 Advantage of Presented Methodology</b>	<b>234</b>
<b>8.8 Limitation and Future Scope</b>	<b>235</b>
<b>8.9 Summary</b>	<b>236</b>
<b>9. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE</b>	<b>237</b>
<b>9.1 Major Finding</b>	<b>238</b>

<b>9.2</b>	<b>Applications</b>	<b>243</b>
<b>9.3</b>	<b>Future Scope</b>	<b>244</b>
	<b>REFERENCE</b>	<b>247</b>
	<b>AUTHOR DETAILS AND PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>273</b>
	<b>APPENDIX A: ANNUAL AVERAGE WATER BUDGET OF BLOCKS IN THE STUDY AREA</b>	<b>275</b>
	<b>APPENDIX B: FITTED AQUIFER PARAMETERS AFTER PILOT POINT CALIBRATION</b>	<b>276</b>
	<b>APPENDIX C: CALIBRATED RIVER BED CONDUCTIVITIES WITH PSO</b>	<b>281</b>
	<b>APPENDIX D: DECADEAL VARIATIONS OF GSR FOR VRB</b>	<b>282</b>
	<b>APPENDIX E: COMPARISON OF GW DEMAND VS THE SURPLUS RUNOFF VOLUME (RUNOFF VOLUME ABOVE 25% EXCEEDANCE LIMIT)</b>	<b>286</b>
	<b>APPENDIX F: FORECASTED MONTHLY RAE FLUXES</b>	<b>291</b>
	<b>APPENDIX G: FITTED RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN AQUIFER AND WELL PARAMETERS AND PARR</b>	<b>303</b>



## List of Figures

<b>Figure 1.1.</b> The Impact of Rising Demand and Climate Change (A. The projected CO2 emissions Under different Socio-economic Pathways (SSPs) and B. The projected human population growth.)	5
<b>Figure 1.2.</b> MAR projects in the World (Source: <a href="https://ggis.unigrac.org/view/marportal/">https://ggis.unigrac.org/view/marportal/</a> )	9
<b>Figure 1.3.</b> The framework for suitable site selection of MAR to restore baseflow	10
<b>Figure 3.1.</b> Schematic flow chart for hydrological modeling with SWAT	35
<b>Figure 3.2.</b> Schematic flow chart for Calibration using SUFI-2	38
<b>Figure 3.3.</b> Representative elementary control volume of aquifer media	39
<b>Figure 3.4.</b> GW modeling steps	42
<b>Figure 3.5.</b> An eight-point cross-section is used to compute the depth, width, and wetted perimeter for a stream segment (Prudic et al., 2004)	48
<b>Figure 3.6.</b> Illustration of the Integration of SWAT outputs to MODFLOW. The SWAT outputs and their hydrological variables mapped to the MODFLOW Packages (and their input variables)	50
<b>Figure 3.7.</b> parameter calibration with pilot points in PEST	52
<b>Figure 3.8.</b> Parameter calibration of SFR2 with PSO	53
<b>Figure 3.9.</b> General ANN architecture and modeling process	54
<b>Figure 3.10.</b> Sample architecture of Random Forest and LSTM Model	57
<b>Figure 3.11.</b> The study area and model domains	59
<b>Figure 3.12.</b> The State of RAE in the Varuna River Basin	60
<b>Figure 3.13.</b> Photos during data collection	62
<b>Figure 4.1.</b> Methodology flow chart for the determination of HRU-scale GWS	70

<b>Figure 4.2.</b> Study Area with watershed boundaries and stream network (Top) and Lithology (Bottom). The reservoirs and the subbasins (used for set target ET for calibration) is presented as highlighted texts.	74
<b>Figure 4.3.</b> SWAT Model setup and average results for the simulation period of 14 years (2001-2014)	76
<b>Figure 4.4.</b> Scatter plot of observed and simulated values (ET (Sub ##)- Evapotranspiration (mm/month) for the given subbasin)	79
<b>Figure 4.5.</b> ANN Model used for downscaling.	82
<b>Figure 4.6.</b> Effect of the human interface on the natural GW cycle	85
<b>Figure 4.7.</b> Pearson's correlation between SWAT Parameter and GWS	87
<b>Figure 4.8.</b> Yearly mean values of HRU outputs	89
<b>Figure 4.9.</b> Training and testing accuracy of ANN Models (A1-A4. for downscaling of TWSA from GRACE to GLDAS, B1-B4. For downscaling GWS to HRUs)	90
<b>Figure 4.10.</b> (A1-B1) Normalized mean GWS at GLDAS and HRU scale respectively, (A2-B2) Standard deviation of GWS on GLDAS and HRU-scale respectively, and (A3-B3) monthly GWS trends for GLDAS and HRU scale respectively.	92
<b>Figure 4.11.</b> The monthly mean of downscaled GWS during 2001-2014 (The temporal variation of GWS for HRUs (1-4) and corresponding GWL observation has been presented along with the correlation coefficient ( $\rho$ ) and monthly rainfall)	94
<b>Figure 4.12.</b> Predicted pre-monsoon (May) and post-monsoon (November) GW levels from 2000 to 2013.	96
<b>Figure 4.13.</b> The GSR values in the study area and the annual average SW and GW budget of some blocks (2001-2013). chart (ET- Evapotranspiration, AqRch- Net recharge to the aquifer, SURF_Q- Surface runoff to the streams, GWSA- GWS Anomalies (-ve means decrease), GWD- total GW extraction, GWdf- GW deficiency (-ve means blocks are	

losing more GW than recharged and vice-versa) and BL- Boundary leakage (+ve means that block has surplus GW and vice-versa)	97
<b>Figure 5.1.</b> R <sup>2</sup> scores and RMSE across all scenarios of the LSTM model for bias correction of precipitation.	109
<b>Figure 5.2.</b> R <sup>2</sup> scores across all scenarios of the RFR model for bias correction of temperature.	109
<b>Figure 5.3.</b> RMSE scores across all scenarios of the RFR model for bias correction of temperature	110
<b>Figure 5.4.</b> The projected climate variables in VRB (The data has been plotted as annual averages to create smooth plots. The precipitation data is 5-year average data, and temperature data are annual minimum or maximum.)	110
<b>Figure 5.5.</b> Modeled area and discharge measurement locations	111
<b>Figure 5.6.</b> SWAT Model of Lower Mid Ganga Basin	114
<b>Figure 5.7.</b> Scatter plot of observed vs. Simulated variables of the calibrated model (s#: the subbasin number corresponding to the discharge gaging sites, ETs##: subbasin numbers corresponding to the subbasins that have been calibrated with MODIS ET data)	116
<b>Figure 5.8.</b> Lithological characterization of the modeled area	117
<b>Figure 5.9.</b> Lithological fence diagram of the modelled area	118
<b>Figure 5.10.</b> Conceptual model of the VRB with boundary conditions	119
<b>Figure 5.11.</b> observed aquifer properties	121
Figure 5.12. Numerical Model of the study area	122
<b>Figure 5.13.</b> Integrated Model of VRB and GW system	123
<b>Figure 5.14.</b> River cross-section and velocity profile, along with the net loss and gain for each stream segment	125

<b>Figure 5.15.</b> Simulated vs. calibrated scatter plot for A. observed heads and B. observed stream flow	126
<b>Figure 5.16.</b> A. Boxplot of calibrated Hk values and B. Boxplot of calibrated Ss values	127
<b>Figure 5.17.</b> Precipitation anomalies under IPCC scenarios (Each plot highlights the mean annual precipitation anomaly (in millimeters) at the top and a heatmap representing the spatial distribution of anomalies across different locations and years at the bottom)	134
<b>Figure 5.18.</b> Mean maximum temperature anomalies under IPCC scenarios (Each plot highlights the mean annual maximum temperature anomaly (in C) at the top and a heatmap representing the spatial distribution of anomalies across different locations and years at the bottom)	137
<b>Figure 5.19.</b> Mean minimum temperature anomalies under IPCC scenarios (Each plot highlights the mean annual minimum temperature anomaly (in oC) at the top and a heatmap representing the spatial distribution of anomalies across different locations and years at the bottom)Impact of Climate Change on the Natural Recharge	140
<b>Figure 5.20.</b> A. Annual mean recharge rates and trends for all SSPs; B. Annual mean evapotranspiration rates and trends	142
<b>Figure 5.21.</b> decadal recharge hotspot dynamics among SSPs	145
<b>Figure 5.22.</b> Stacked bar chart of decadal variation in the GSR classes for IPCC scenarios in VRB	146
<b>Figure 5.23.</b> Example Flow Duration Curve and runoff flux corresponding to 25% exceedance limit	148
<b>Figure 5.24.</b> Stacked bar chart of decadal variation in the GSR classes for IPCC scenarios in VRB with MAR	149

<b>Figure 5.25.</b> Contour plot of RAE flux in VRB. The chainage has been taken from the lower-order reaches.	152
<b>Figure 5.26.</b> Variation of annual mean RAE in Varuna River per 20 years for all IPCC scenarios	153
<b>Figure 5.27.</b> Variation of annual mean RAE in Basuhi river per 20 years for all IPCC scenarios	155
<b>Figure 5.28.</b> Variation of annual mean RAE in Morwa river per 20 years for all IPCC scenarios	157
<b>Figure 6.1.</b> Plot of the change in stream flow due to an injection well with screen in Layer 1 (plot A) and Layer 3 (Plot C) as in Map B. Plot C has been enlarged to represent the different parts of the response curve.	165
<b>Figure 6.2.</b> The conceptual response curve of baseflow enhancement	168
<b>Figure 6.3.</b> BFER and BFER for the dry period in VRB	170
<b>Figure 6.4.</b> (A.) plot of stream response due to injection signals, (B.) scatter plot between capture fraction and BFER in VRB along with marginal histograms, (C.) The BFER in VRB plotted for each MODFLOW grid, and (B.) The capture fraction for Varuna River (plotted for each MODFLOW grid)	173
<b>Figure 6.5.</b> The spatial variation of initial response time ( $t_{ir}$ ) and recession time ( $t_{res}$ )	174
<b>Figure 6.6.</b> Marginal joint plots between BFER and (A.) Proximity to stream networks, (B.) the recession time ( $t_{res}$ ), and (C.) the initial response time ( $t_{ir}$ )	176
<b>Figure 6.7.</b> Marginal joint plots of BFER vs (A.) Storage coefficient ( $S_s$ ) and (B.) Aquifer Transmissivity ( $T$ )	177
<b>Figure 6.8.</b> BFER Dynamics with respect to injection period (A) the BFER for each 5-year injection starting from the year 2021, (B) the cumulative BFER up to 30 years of injection.	178

<b>Figure 7.1.</b> The definition of PARR as per (A) the analytical solutions (modified from Sandilya et al. 2022) and (B) the real field scenario.	186
<b>Figure 7.2.</b> The determination of PARR with simulated heads (The linearity between h and Q for a given injection duration is shown in the figure on the left. The slope of the h vs Q curve depends on the injection duration.)	190
<b>Figure 7.3.</b> Flow chart for the calculation of PARR with MODFLOW simulation.	191
<b>Figure 7.4.</b> The local sensitivity of PARR with aquifer parameters in the (1) confined aquifer and (2) unconfined aquifer (A. linear plot between PARR and $H_k$ ; B. linear plot w.r.t $S_s$ or $S_y$ ; C. linear plot w.r.t VANI and D. linear plot w.r.t HANI)	196
<b>Figure 7.5.</b> The variation of PARR w.r.t well characteristic in (1) confined aquifer and (2) Unconfined aquifer (A. w.r.t screen location ( $H_{sc}$ ) (the location of the centroid of the screen is varied from bottom to the saturated thickness of the aquifer) B. w.r.t screen size ( $L_{sc}$ ) and C. contour plot depicting the combined effect of screen size and location.)	199
<b>Figure 7.6.1A-1B.</b> Sobol Indices $S_1$ , $ST$ , and $S_2$ for PARR in the confined aquifer; <b>2A-2B.</b> Sobol Indices $S_1$ , $ST$ , and $S_2$ for PARR in unconfined aquifer	202
<b>Figure 7.7.</b> (a) variation of PARR per unit recoverable head w.r.t injection duration and (b) The injected volume per unit recoverable head corresponding to the PARR.	204
<b>Figure 7.8.</b> PARR values in VRB for Layer 1 (Shallow aquifer)	207
<b>Figure 7.9.</b> PARR values for layer 3 (Deep aquifer)	208
<b>Figure 8.1.</b> Flow chart for the implementation of the TOPSIS method with relevant formulas at each step	213
<b>Figure 8.2.</b> land cost per $m^2$ in the study area	218
<b>Figure 8.3.</b> Source of water in VRB for MAR	220
<b>Figure 8.4.</b> Runoff corresponding to the 25% exceedance limit at each subbasin outlet	223

<b>Figure 8.5.</b> Flow chart for the ranking of MAR sites	228
<b>Figure 8.6.</b> Ranking of MAR sites in VRB for all the climate scenarios with surplus runoff as the water source (the histogram represents the distribution of MAR sites with relative closeness to the ideal solution (RCIS))	230
<b>Figure 8.7.</b> Ranking of MAR sites in VRB for all the climate scenarios with the canal as a water source (the histogram represents the distribution of MAR sites with relative closeness to the ideal solution (RCIS))	231
<b>Figure 8.8.</b> The boxplot of the Cost/baseflow enhancement percentage for each water source	232
<b>Figure 8.9.</b> 3D scatter plots with decision criteria, each scatter point represents a MAR site	233
<b>Figure 8.10.</b> Entropy weights for all the climate scenarios for A. runoff as the water source and B. canal diversion as the water source	234



## List of Tables

<b>Table 4.1.</b> Summary of data used in the study.	72
<b>Table 4.2.</b> Reservoir properties ( <i>Source: State Register of Large Dams, Irrigation and Water Resources Department, Uttar Pradesh</i> )	77
<b>Table 4.3.</b> Fitted SWAT Parameters with corresponding sensitivity rank (The method represents the modification performed to the HRU files in each iteration (Replace: Original values have been replaced, Relative: The original values have been multiplied by 1+r where r is the fitted value)	78
<b>Table 4.4.</b> ANN architecture and hyperparameters range (MSE: Mean Squared Error, relu: Rectified Linear Unit)	81
<b>Table 5.1.</b> GCM Models	106
<b>Table 5.2.</b> GCM Models accuracy w.r.t to IMD 2D Gridded data (the bolded font has been used to represent selected models)	107
<b>Table 5.3.</b> Soil properties as per FAO Classification (HYDGRP: Soil hydrologic group based on its infiltration characteristic, SOL_Z: Soil Depth in mm, SOL_BD: Soil Bulk Density, SOL_AWC: Available water content, SOL_K: Saturated hydraulic conductivity, SOL_CBN: Amount of organic carbon in the layer (%), CLAY: Percentage of clay, SILT: Percentage silt in soil, SAND: Sand percentage in soil, ROCK: Percentage of rock mass in soil, SOL_ALB: Moist soil albedo, USLE_K: USLE soil erodibility factor).	112
<b>Table 5.4.</b> SWAT Parameters and their calibrated values. The parameters have been arranged based on their sensitivity in the form of rank. The suffix for the parameters represents the method of changing values during calibration (A:- added by r, R:- multiplied by 1+r, V:- Replaced by r, while r is the fitted value)	115
<b>Table 5.5.</b> The LSTM hyperparameter range for inlet discharge prediction	128
<b>Table 5.6.</b> Accuracy statistics of RFR model used for stage determination ( <i>cv-score</i> : - Cross-validation R2, <i>cv_std</i> : - Cross-validation standard deviation)	129

<b>Table 7.1.</b> Hypothetical model conceptualization	193
<b>Table 8.1.</b> Classification of potential sites for MAR	229