

## **CERTIFICATE**

---

It is certified that the work contained in the thesis titled “**Study of supply chain risks and risk management strategies: a case study of small scale Indian manufacturing enterprise**” by **Harish Babu** has been carried out under our supervision and that this work has not been submitted elsewhere for a degree.

It is further certified that the student has fulfilled all the requirements of Comprehensive Examination, Candidacy and SOTA for the award of PhD Degree.

**Professor Prabhas Bhardwaj**  
(Supervisor)

**Professor A. K. Agrawal**  
(Co-Supervisor)

## DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE

---

I, **Harish Babu**, certify that the work embodied in this thesis is my bona fide work and carried out by me under the supervisions of **Prof. Prabhas Bhardwaj** and **Prof. A. K. Agrawal** from December 2013 to December 2020, in the Department of Mechanical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi. The matter embodied in this thesis has not been submitted for the award of any other degree/diploma. I declare that I have faithfully acknowledged and given credits to the research workers wherever their works have been cited in my work in this thesis. I further declare that I have not willfully copied any other's work, paragraphs, text, data, results, etc., reported in journals, books, magazines, reports dissertations, theses, etc., or available at websites and have not included them in this thesis and have not cited as my work.

**Date:**

**Place:** IIT (BHU), Varanasi

**(Harish Babu)**

## CERTIFICATE BY THE SUPERVISORS

It is certified that the above statement made by the student is correct to the best of our knowledge.

**Professor Prabhas Bhardwaj**  
(Supervisor)

**Professor A. K. Agrawal**  
(Co-Supervisor)

**Prof. Santosh Kumar**  
Head of Department  
Dept. of Mechanical Engineering  
Indian Institute of Technology (BHU)  
Varanasi-221005

## COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE

---

**Title of the Thesis:** “Study of supply chain risks and risk management strategies:  
a case study of small scale Indian manufacturing enterprise”

**Name of the Student:** Harish Babu

### Copyright Transfer

The undersigned hereby assigns to the Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University) Varanasi all rights under copyright that may exist in and for the above thesis submitted for the award of the **Doctor of Philosophy**.

**Date:**

**Place:** IIT (BHU), Varanasi

**(Harish Babu)**

**Note:** However, the author may reproduce or authorize others to reproduce material extracted verbatim from the thesis or derivative of the thesis for author's personal use provided that the source and the Institute's copyright notice are indicated.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

---

Undertaking, this PhD has been a truly lifetime experience for me. It would not have been possible to accomplish the research without the enormous support, encouragement and guidance I received from various people.

First and foremost, I would like to express my deep sense of gratitude and appreciation to my supervisors **Prof. Prabhas Bhardwaj** and **Prof. A. K. Agrawal** for their guidance, motivation, moral support, and for providing me immense flexibility to express my ideas openly and for allowing me to implement the same. Thus, boosting my confidence throughout my research work. This research work would not have been possible without their valuable inputs and precious efforts.

I would also like to express my heartiest thanks to the members of my Research Progress Evaluation Committee (RPEC); **Prof. Rajesh Kumar**, Department of Civil Engineering and **Prof. Cherian Samuel**, a subject expert from the Department of Mechanical Engineering, for their kind co-operation, useful suggestion and insightful comments throughout the research work.

My special appreciation for **Prof. Santosh Kumar**, Head, Department of Mechanical Engineering; the previous Heads, **Prof. A.P. Harsha**, **Prof. A.K. Jha** and **Prof. A.K. Agrawal**, for imparting necessary resources that immensely helped me in completing this research work. I am also thankful to Convener DPGC, **Prof. R. K. Gautam**, previous Conveners and all respected DPGC members for their kind support.

I am grateful to **Dr. Debashish Khan**, who encouraged me whenever I got demoralized due to hardships during my research work. In addition, I also received moral and spiritual support from them during my stay at the BHU campus. I extend my heartiest thanks to the staff members of the Mechanical Engineering Department, in particular, **Mr. Akash Mishra**, for their constant help and support. Special thanks are due to the staff of the Industrial Engineering Laboratory of the Department, **Mr. Anil** and **Mr. Rajendra**, for their enthusiastic support. Further, I want to thank my colleagues, especially fellow doctoral students, whom I met in the course of my research work, especially **Dr. Anand**, **Mr. Susheel**, **Mr. Bharat**, **Mr. Shushant**, **Mr. Krishna**, **Mr. Amit** and **Mr. Ankit** for

their continuous support and co-operation, which is impossible to express in few linguistic words.

I owe a special thanks to my entire family, especially my parents and brothers for all encouragement and support throughout and for providing me the opportunity to be where I am today. I dedicate this thesis to my beloved mother **Late Rajjo Devi**, who was a source of inspiration for me. Her unconditional love and motivation gave me the courage to initiate and, thereafter, to complete this research work.

I also dedicate this PhD thesis to my two lovely little ones, **Master Hitansh** and **Master Hayan** who are the pride and joy of my life. I love them more than anything and appreciate their patience and support for not spending much time with them during my research work. I am thankful to my soul mate **Soni Verma** too for everything, for being so understanding and for putting up with me through the toughest moments of my life.

I express my gratitude to **Mr. Anurag Kapoor**, Dy. GM (MM), MM Division, CMPDI, Ranchi for providing valuable suggestions from time to time and words of encouragement for completing the work in time. I am also thankful to **Miss Disha Bharti**, Manager, Infosys for her valuable academic assistance and constructive support to complete this research work. I would also like to thank all the respondents that have participated in the empirical study.

Last but not the least, I would like to pay high regards to the **Ministry of Human Resources Development, Government of India** for the financial support provided to me during my tenure of stay at the Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University), Varanasi.

**Date:**

**Place:** IIT (BHU), Varanasi

**(Harish Babu)**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

<b>CERTIFICATE</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT (SCM)	2
1.1.1 Supply Chain Network	2
1.1.2 Challenges in Supply Chain Management	3
1.2 SUPPLY CHAIN RISK MANAGEMENT (SCRM)	4
1.2.1 Risk Identification	6
1.2.2 Risk Assessment	6
1.2.3 Risk Prioritization	7
1.2.4 Risk Management Planning	7
1.2.4.1 Risk avoidance strategy	8
1.2.4.2 Risk mitigation strategy	9
1.2.4.3 Risk sharing strategy	9
1.2.4.4 Risk retention strategy	9
1.2.5 Implementation and Monitoring of Risk Management Plan	10
1.3 RELEVANCE OF SCRM IN INDIAN CONTEXT	10
1.4 SUPPLY CHAIN RISK VARIABLES	11
1.4.1 Environmental Risk (ER)	12
1.4.2 Information Technology Risk (IR)	12
1.4.3 Supply Risk (SR)	12
1.4.4 Process Risk (PR)	13
1.4.5 Transportation Risk (TR)	13
1.4.6 Delay Risk (DE)	14
1.4.7 Demand Risk (DR)	14
1.5 SCRM IN INDIAN MSMES PERSPECTIVE	14

1.6	MOTIVATION FOR THIS RESEARCH	15
1.7	SCOPE OF THE PRESENT RESEARCH	17
1.8	OBJECTIVES OF THE THESIS	19
1.9	THESIS ORGANIZATION	20
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>23</b>
2.1	EVOLUTION OF SUPPLY CHAIN RISK MANAGEMENT	24
2.1.1	SCRM in Global Perspective	26
2.1.2	SCRM in Indian Perspective	30
2.2	INTERACTION OF SCRM WITH OTHER SUPPLY CHAIN CONCEPTS	32
2.2.1	SCRM and Supply Chain Resilience (SCRES)	32
2.2.2	SCRM and Supply Chain Agility(SCA)	33
2.2.3	SCRM and Supply Chain Flexibility(SCF)	34
2.3	LITERATURE REVIEW ON SCRM PROCESS	36
2.3.1	Identification and Classification of Supply Chain Risks	36
2.3.2	Assessment and Prioritization of Supply Chain Risks	38
2.3.3	Conceptual Models on SCRM	40
2.3.4	Supply Chain Risk Management Strategies	45
2.4	RESEARCH GAPS	47
2.5	OBJECTIVES OF THE THESIS	49
<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY: TOOLS AND TECHNIQUES USED IN THIS RESEARCH</b>	<b>50</b>
3.1	INTERPRETIVE STRUCTURAL MODELLING (ISM)	50
3.1.1	Identification of relevant variables	51
3.1.2	Establishing a contextual relationship among variables	52
3.1.3	Constructing a Structural Self Interaction Matrix (SSIM)	53
3.1.4	Developing a reachability matrix and examine transitivity	53
3.1.5	Level Partitioning of reachability matrix	54
3.1.6	Development of conical matrix	55
3.1.7	Development of Diagraph and Formation of ISM-based model	55
3.1.8	Classification of variables on the basis of MICMAC analysis	56

3.2 ANALYTIC HIERARCHY PROCESS (AHP)	57
3.2.1 Determination of the problem, criteria, sub-criteria and alternatives	58
3.2.2 Structuring the hierarchical model	59
3.2.3 Pair-wise comparisons	59
3.2.4 Determination of priority weights	60
3.2.5 Checking the consistency of the judgments	60
3.2.6 Synthesis the result	61
3.3 FUZZY LOGIC APPROACH	62
3.3.1 Development of conceptual model	62
3.3.2 Define Linguistic scale	63
3.3.3 Collection of data	64
3.3.4 Calculation of Fuzzy Index	64
3.3.5 Match Fuzzy Index with an appropriate linguistic level	65
3.3.6 Determine Fuzzy Performance-Importance Index	66
3.4 ANALYTICAL NETWORK PROCESS (ANP)	67
3.4.1 Define the problem	67
3.4.2 Construct the network model	68
3.4.3 Collecting the data	68
3.4.4 Solve the model using the Super Decisions software	68
3.4.5 Result analysis	69
<b>4 DEVELOPMENT OF INTERRELATIONSHIP AMONG SCR VARIABLES</b>	<b>70</b>
4.1 Identification of relevant SCR variables	71
4.2 Establishing a contextual relationship among SCR variables	71
4.3 Construction of structural self-interaction matrix (SSIM)	74
4.4 Development of reachability matrix and examination of transitivity	75
4.5 Level Partitioning of reachability matrix	77
4.6 Development of conical matrix	79
4.7 Development of diagraph and Formation of ISM-based model	80
4.8 Classification of SCR variables on the basis of MICMAC analysis	81
4.9 Conclusion	82

<b>5</b>	<b>ASSESSMENT AND PRIORITIZATION OF SCR VARIABLES</b>	<b>84</b>
5.1	ASSESSMENT OF SCR VARIABLES: AN AHP APPROACH	85
5.1.1	Formation of hierarchical model	85
5.1.2	Collection of Pair-wise comparisons	86
5.1.3	Determine priority weights	88
5.1.4	Consistency analysis of the pair-wise comparison matrices	90
5.1.5	Calculation of the overall priority weights	91
5.2	CONCLUSION	93
<b>6</b>	<b>EVALUATION OF RISK-LEVEL AND SELECTION OF RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES</b>	<b>95</b>
6.1	PHASE-1: EVALUATION OF RISK-LEVEL USING FUZZY LOGIC APPROACH	96
6.1.1	Conceptual model for evaluation of the risk-level	97
6.1.2	Collection of data	98
6.1.3	Determination of fuzzy importance weights and performance ratings	101
6.1.4	Calculation of Fuzzy Risk Index(FRI)	102
6.1.5	Matching Fuzzy Risk Index(FRI) with an approximate linguistic level	104
6.1.6	Determine Fuzzy Performance-Importance Index (FPPI)	105
6.2	PHASE II: EVALUATION OF RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES BY USING ANP APPROACH	108
6.2.1	Development of ANP Model	108
6.2.2	Collection the data	110
6.2.3	Determining the ranking of each RM Strategy with respect to each SCR attribute	110
6.3	CONCLUSION	112
<b>7</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS: KEY FINDINGS AND MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS</b>	<b>115</b>
7.1	SUMMARY OF KEY FINDINGS	115

7.2 MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS	117
7.3 LIMITATIONS OF THE PRESENT WORK	119
7.4 SCOPE FOR FUTURE WORK	119
<b>REFERENCES</b>	121
<b>APPENDIX-A : Performance ratings and Importance weights of SCR variables/SCR attributes given by five experts</b>	131
<b>APPENDIX-B : Average performance ratings and importance weights of SCR variables/SCR attributes</b>	133
<b>APPENDIX-C : Fuzzy Performance Importance Index (FPPI) and Ranking Score of SCR Attributes</b>	135
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	136

## LIST OF FIGURES

---

Figure 1.1	Supply Chain network	3
Figure 1.2	Risk management process	5
Figure 3.1	Modified flow diagram for ISM modelling	52
Figure 3.2	Flow diagram of AHP	58
Figure 3.3	A basic hierarchy model of AHP	58
Figure 3.4	Flow diagram of fuzzy logic approach	63
Figure 3.5	Flow diagram of ANP approach	68
Figure 4.1	Final Digraph	80
Figure 4.2	ISM Model	80
Figure 4.3	Classification of SCR variables on the basis of MICMAC analysis	82
Figure 5.1	Hierarchical model for prioritization of SCR variables	86
Figure 5.2	Overall priority weights and rankings of SCR variables	92
Figure 6.1	Flowchart for evaluation of risk-level and selection of risk management strategies	96
Figure 6.2	Conceptual SCRM model for measuring risk-level	97
Figure 6.3	Matching the Fuzzy Risk Index ( <i>FRI</i> ) with the standard linguistic level	105
Figure 6.4	Scatter chart of the FPII of all SCR-attributes	107
Figure 6.5	ANP model for evaluation of risk management strategies	109
Figure 6.6	Pairwise comparison of node 'Natural disaster (ER1)'	110
Figure 6.7	Ranking of RM Strategies	112

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Classification of risk	7
Table 1.2	Classification of micro, small and medium enterprises in India	15
Table 2.1	Definitions of SCR/SCRM	25
Table 2.2	Summary of Literature on SCRM in Global perspective	28
Table 2.3	Summary of Literature on SCRM in Indian perspective	30
Table 2.4	Classification of supply chain risks given by researchers	37
Table 2.5	Summary of SCRM model proposed by the researchers	42
Table 2.6	Summary of supply chain risk management strategies	45
Table 3.1	Nine-point scale of relative importance	59
Table 3.2	Random index value	61
Table 3.3	Linguistic terms and fuzzy numbers	63
Table 4.1	Supply chain risk variables identified by researchers	72
Table 4.2	Supply chain risk variables and their attributes	73
Table 4.3	Structural self-interaction matrix	75
Table 4.4	Initial reachability matrix	76
Table 4.5	Final reachability matrix	77
Table 4.6	Level Partition of reachability matrix	78
Table 4.7	Conical matrix	79
Table 5.1	Pair wise comparison of criteria ( $C_i$ )	87
Table 5.2	Pair-wise comparison of SCR variables w.r.to Occurrence ( $C_1$ )	87
Table 5.3	Pair-wise comparison of SCR variables w.r.to Severity ( $C_2$ )	87
Table 5.4	Pair-wise comparison of SCR variables w.r.to Detectability ( $C_3$ )	87
Table 5.5	Pair-wise comparison of SCR variables w.r.to Cost ( $C_4$ )	88
Table 5.6	Pair-wise comparison of SCR variables w.r.to Controllability ( $C_5$ )	88
Table 5.7	Normalised matrix and priority weights of criteria ( $C_i$ )	89
Table 5.8	Normalised matrix and priority weights of SCR variables w.r.to Occurrence ( $C_1$ )	89
Table 5.9	Normalised matrix and priority weights of SCR variables w.r.to Severity ( $C_2$ )	89
Table 5.10	Normalised matrix and priority weights of SCR variables w.r.to Detectability ( $C_3$ )	89

Table 5.11	Normalised matrix and priority weights of SCR variables w.r.to Cost ( $C_4$ )	90
Table 5.12	Normalised matrix and priority weights of SCR variables w.r.to Controllability( $C_5$ )	90
Table 5.13	Consistency ratio ( $CR$ ) of each of the comparison matrices	91
Table 5.14	Priority weights of each SCR variable w.r.to each criterion	91
Table 5.15	Priority weights for each criterion	91
Table 5.16	Overall priority weights and rankings of SCR variables	92
Table 5.17	Summary of the results obtained from ISM and AHP techniques	93
Table 6.1	SCR variables and their attributes for evaluation of risk-level	97
Table 6.2	Performance rating of SCR variable ‘Environmental Risk (ER)’ in linguistic terms	99
Table 6.3	Importance weight of SCR variable ‘Environmental Risk (ER)’ in linguistic terms	100
Table 6.4	Performance rating of SCR variable ‘Environmental Risk (ER)’ in Fuzzy numbers	100
Table 6.5	Importance weight of SCR variable ‘Environmental Risk (ER)’ in Fuzzy numbers	100
Table 6.6	Average fuzzy weights and fuzzy ratings of SCR variable ‘Environmental Risk’ (ER)	102
Table 6.7	Risk index for each SCR Variable	103
Table 6.8	Natural Linguistic terms and fuzzy numbers for risk level	104
Table 6.9	Main obstacles of SCRM	107
Table 6.10	Description of clusters and nodes	109
Table 6.11	Relative weight of each RM Strategy with respect to each SCR attribute	111
Table 6.12	Ranking of RM strategies with respect to each SCR attribute	111

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

---

AHP	Analytic Hierarchy Process
ANP	Analytical Network Process
CI	Consistency Index
CR	Consistency Ratio
DE	Delay Risk
DEA	Data envelopment analysis
DR	Demand Risk
ER	Environmental Risk
FMEA	Failure Mode and Effects Analysis
FMEA	Failure Modes and Effects Analysis
FPII	Fuzzy Performance Importance Index
FRI	Fuzzy Risk Index
GSC	Green Supply Chain
IPA	Importance-performance Analysis
IR	Information Technology Risk
ISM	Interpretive Structural Modelling
MCDM	Multiple Criterion Decision Making
MICMAC	Cross-Impact Matrix-Multiplication Applied to Classification
MOORA	Multi-Objective Optimization by Rational Analysis
MSMED	Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Development
N-AHP	Neutrosophic Analytical Hierarchy Process
NGT	Nominal Group Technique
PCA	Principle Component Analysis
PR	Process Risk
PROMETHEE	Preference ranking organization method for enrichment evaluation
QFD	Quality Function Deployment
RI	Random Index
RPN	Risk Priority Number
SC	Supply Chain
SCF	Supply Chain Flexibility
SCM	Supply Chain Management
SCOR	Supply Chain Operations Reference Model

SCRES	Supply Chain Resilience
SCRM	Supply Chain Risk Management
SCRMP	Supply Chain Risk Management Process
SEM	Structural Equation Modelling
SMEs	Small and Medium Enterprises
SR	Supply Risk
SSIM	Self-Interaction Matrix
SSIM	Structural Self-Interaction Matrix
TR	Transportation Risk

Abbreviations not listed here are defined as they appear in the text.

## ABSTRACT

---

Market forces such as local and global competition, technological changes, frequent customer changes and environmental changes have forced Supply Chains (SC) of world-wide organisations more complex and exposed to more uncertainty and risks. A disruption in normal operations of a SC such as failure of transportation link, workers' strike, terrorist attacks can make the supply chain more vulnerable and may, sometimes, slowdown or completely shut down the supply chain. The 9/11 terrorist attack, Covid-19 pandemic, Tsunami, earthquake, Uttarakhand disaster and Katerina typhoon had disrupted the supply chain of many organisations. The impact of which could be felt in the entire world. Such disruptions have an impact not only on the organisations of that particular geographical area but also on the upstream and downstream supply partners. The recent incidents in the Indian context, such as the Cyclone 'Fani' in Odisha (2019) and Cyclone 'Amphan' in East India (2020), have severely affected the supply chain of these areas. Maintaining uninterrupted supply chain flow is the primary concern of the supply chain managers. But, due to risks involved in the supply chain, it becomes difficult for supply chain managers to maintain continuous supply chain flow every time. Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) help firms to enable proactive management of supply chain risks and maintain the performance of the supply chain. Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) has become a popular topic amongst researchers and supply chain managers. It is necessary for decision makers to understand the various Supply Chain Risk (SCR) variables and risk management strategies for effective Supply Chain Risk Management. SCRM enables a firm to respond efficiently and more quickly to disruption in supply chains.

Not only Multi-National Companies (MNCs) and Indian Companies but also Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) are exposed to such risk and require SCRM. This research is focused on the supply chain risk issues of Indian MSMEs. These supply chain

risk issues are treated as SCR variables. The risk management process starts with the identification of risk variables, so these risk variables are considered critical factors of SCRM and can form the foundation of the SCRM. In this research work, initially literature review on SCRM was carried out to identify the main risk variables and risks attributes. This is followed by an analysis of these variables and attributes and finally finishing the selection of suitable risk management strategies for identified risk variables. This research is specifically conducted with the objective to gain insight into SCRM in the context of Indian MSMEs. To this purpose, a case study of a small-scale manufacturing organisation was carried out.

The first phase of this research started with an exhaustive literature review on SCRM and the identification of challenges faced by Indian MSMEs during the implementation of SCRM. Based on the literature review and expert opinion, seven main risk variables were identified as (i) Environmental Risk (ER), (ii) Information Technology Risk (IR), (iii) Supply Risk (SR), (iv) Process Risk (PR), (v) Transportation Risk (TR), (vi) Delay Risk (DE) and (vii) Demand Risk (DR). Interpretive Structural Modelling (ISM) methodology is applied to analyse the risk variables and to establish the interrelationship among these seven risk variables. This technique provides a hierarchical interrelationship structure of supply chain risk variables. Such interrelationships help the supply chain managers to understand how these risk variables affect each other to make the supply chain robust. The interrelationship structure also helps in identifying the significant and insignificant risk variables of the model. After that, MICMAC analysis is used to understand and calculate the driving power and dependence power of each risk variable, based on which, supply chain risk variables are classified into four categories: (i) autonomous, (ii) dependent, (iii) linkage and (iv) independent risk variables. Supply risk, demand risk, transportation risk are classified as the linkage variables; information

technology risk and environmental risk as the driver variables while process risk and delay risk are dependent variables. No autonomous variables out of these seven SC variables meaning by all the considered risk variables are significant to SCRM.

Indian MSMEs face several risks associated with their supply chain, but cannot give equal attention to all the risks due to limited resources, such as money, manpower, time etc.. Thereby, it creates a strong and justifiable reason for the assessment and for prioritization of risk variables in the context of Indian MSMEs. The relative weights of the variables cannot be obtained from ISM methodology. So, Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) methodology, a Multiple Criterion Decision Making (MCDM), is used for the assessment of risk variables and to obtain their relative weights. With the help of literature review and expert opinion, five criteria were used to evaluate these risk variables: (i) probability of occurrence, (ii) severity of risk, (iii) detectability of risk, (iv) risk management cost and (v) risk controllability. Prioritization of risk variables and ranking has been done with the help of relative weights of risk variables. Environmental Risk 'ER' and Information Technology Risk 'IR' are assigned higher priorities, while Demand Risk 'DR', Transportation Risk 'TR', Supply Risk 'SR' and Process Risk 'PR' are assigned lower priorities. So, it is also learnt that the hierarchy established through ISM & classification obtained by MICMAC seems to be aligned with the priority results of AHP. For example, the SCR variables such as Environmental Risk 'ER' and Information Technology Risk 'IR' are assigned with high driving power through MICMAC while more weight/high priority through AHP.

Indian MSMEs face different types of risks associated with their supply chain network. The unpredictable nature of risks, improper evaluation of the impact of the risks and selection of imperfect strategy, in turn, lead to an adverse effect on the performance of the supply chain. Therefore, Indian MSMEs have to adopt SCRM practices to achieve

better performance resulting in uninterrupted supplies to ultimate consumer. From here, the problems of SC managers start, i.e., “What exact risks the supply chain are exposed to?” and “How can the risk level be measured?”. SC managers need to evaluate the risk level of their supply chain. The outcomes of ISM and AHP have been used as the input in the Fuzzy logic approach for developing the integrated Fuzzy-ANP SCRM model. Risk-variables are the main foundation of the SCRM. Before the implementation of SCRM, evaluation of the risk variables, risk attributes and risk-level of the supply chain becomes an essential activity. This leads to the evaluation of risk level by using fuzzy logic approach of Lin *et al.* (2006). A conceptual framework is structured with two levels; seven risk variables are placed at the first level and the forty-two risk attributes are placed at the second level. The fuzzy logic approach provides the fuzzy risk index of the case organisation indicating the risk level of the case organisation to be “HIGH”. Fuzzy risk index (FRI) is calculated based on risk variables and risk attributes. From Fuzzy risk index, it is observed that there are few risk variables/attributes that significantly affect the risk level of the supply chain. Accordingly, fuzzy performance importance index (FPPI) of each risk variable and risk attribute is calculated to identify the main obstacles of the SCRM. Out of the forty-two risk attributes, twenty risk attributes were identified as the main obstacles to SCRM. Identifying the main obstacles/risks of SCRM, the selection of a suitable risk management strategy to anticipate these risks, are identified. Analytical Network Process (ANP) methodology is used to evaluate and select the most feasible risk management strategy for each SCR variable. Findings of ANP approach shows that mitigation strategy, a generic strategy, is the most preferential strategy for SCRM followed by sharing strategy, retention strategy and avoidance strategy. SC managers can make the supply chain more robust and can improve its performance by proactive management of these SCR variables/attributes.

Through this research, an attempt has been made to present a comprehensive understanding of supply chain risks and risk management strategies for the risks in the context of Indian MSMEs. Modelling of SCR variables, assessment and prioritization of SCR variables, determination of risk level and selection of suitable risk management strategies are the main studies conducted in this research.

This study will also help the academicians and researchers to understand the concept of various supply chain risks and risk management strategies in the context of Indian MSMEs. This study shall also help them to understand the relative importance of SCR variables, SCR attributes and risk management strategies.