

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE	i-iii
DEDICATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v-vi
ABSTRACT	vii-x
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xi-xvi
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii-xxii
LIST OF TABLES	xxiii-xxiv
NOMENCLATURE	xxv-xxviii
CHAPTER-I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 GENERAL BACKGROUND	1
1.1.1 Scenario of Problematic Soil	2
1.1.1.1 The major problematic types of soils found in India	2
1.1.2 Scenario of Industrial Waste	6
1.1.3 Scenario of Nanotechnology	11
1.1.3.1 Nanotechnology Application in Geotechnical Engineering	13
1.2 AN OVERVIEW AND PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION	16
1.3 OBJECTIVES	18
1.4 SCOPE OF THE STUDY	18
1.5 ORGANIZATION OF THE THESIS	19
CHAPTER-II REVIEW OF LITERATURE	23
2.1 INTRODUCTION	23
2.2 STATIC CHARACTERIZATION STUDIES OF SOIL STABILIZED WITH FLY ASH	28
2.2.1 Effects of Fly Ash on Atterberg Limits of Soil	28
2.2.2 Effects of Fly Ash on Compaction Characteristics of Soil	29
2.2.3 Effects of Fly Ash on California Bearing Ratio (CBR) of Soil	31
2.2.4 Effects of Fly Ash on Strength Characteristics of Soil	32
2.2.5 Effects of Fly Ash on Durability Characteristics of Soil	36
2.2.6 Microstructural Characterization of Soil Stabilized with Fly Ash	38
2.3 STATIC CHARACTERIZATION STUDIES OF SOIL STABILIZED WITH NANO SILICA	41
2.3.1 Effects of Nano-SiO ₂ on Atterberg Limits of Soil	41
2.3.2 Effects of Nano-SiO ₂ on Compaction Characteristics of Soil	43
2.3.3 Effects of Nano-SiO ₂ on Strength Characteristics of Soil	44
2.3.4 Microstructural Characterization of Soil Stabilized with Nano-SiO ₂	46
2.3.5 Effects of Nano-SiO ₂ and Other Additives on Atterberg	47

	Limits of Soil	
2.3.6	Effects of Nano-SiO ₂ and Other Additives on Compaction Characteristics of Soil	50
2.3.7	Effects of Nano-SiO ₂ and Other Additives on Hydraulic Conductivity of Soil	53
2.3.8	Effects of Nano-SiO ₂ and Other Additives on Strength Characteristics of Soil	54
2.3.9	Microstructural Characterization of Soil Stabilized with Nano-SiO ₂ and Other Additives	56
2.3.10	Effects of Nano-SiO ₂ and Other Additives on Durability Characteristics of Soil	59
2.4	DYNAMIC CHARACTERIZATION STUDIES OF SOIL STABILIZED WITH FLY ASH	62
2.5	DYNAMIC CHARACTERIZATION STUDIES OF SOIL STABILIZED WITH NANO-SiO ₂ AND OTHER ADDITIVES	64
2.6	CHALLENGES IN THE FIELD APPLICATION OF NANO MATERIAL FOR SOIL STABILIZATION	66
2.6.1	Effects on Environment	66
2.6.2	Effects on Health and Safety	68
2.6.3	Costs	69
2.7	SUMMARY	70
CHAPTER- III	MATERIALS, EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM, METHODS, AND TEST PROCEDURES	75
3.1	INTRODUCTION	75
3.2	SOURCE OF MATERIALS USED	78
3.2.1	Source Soil	78
3.2.2	Fly Ash	78
3.2.3	Nano Silica	79
3.3	EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM	80
3.3.1	Chemical Characterization	80
3.3.1.1	Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) Test	80
3.3.1.2	Energy Dispersive X-Ray (EDX) Analysis	81
3.3.1.3	X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) Test	82
3.3.1.4	X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Spectroscopy	82
3.3.1.5	Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) Spectroscopy Test	83
3.3.2	Geotechnical Characterization	84
3.3.2.1	Specific Gravity	84
3.3.2.2	Grain Size Distribution	84
3.3.2.3	Atterberg Limits	85
3.3.2.4	Standard Proctor Test	86
3.3.2.5	Falling Head Permeability Test	87
3.3.2.6	Consolidation Test	87
3.3.2.7	Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Test	88

3.3.2.8	Triaxial Shear Test	89
3.3.2.9	California Bearing Ratio (CBR) Test	89
3.3.3	Dynamic Characterization	90
3.3.3.1	Cyclic Triaxial Test	90
3.3.3.1.1	Description of the Equipment	90
3.3.3.2	Sample Preparation for Cyclic Triaxial Test	91
3.3.3.3	Testing Procedure of Cyclic Triaxial Test	92
3.3.4	Small-Strain Shear Modulus Characterization	94
3.3.4.1	Bender Element Test	94
3.3.5	Testing Program	98
CHAPTER- IV	CHEMICAL ANALYSIS RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	103
4.1	INTRODUCTION	103
4.2	SCANNING ELECTRON MICROSCOPE (SEM) ANALYSIS	104
4.3	ENERGY DISPERSIVE X-RAY (EDX) ANALYSIS	108
4.4	X-RAY DIFFRACTION (XRD) ANALYSIS	115
4.5	X-RAY FLUORESCENCE (XRF) ANALYSIS	119
4.6	FOURIER TRANSFORM INFRARED (FTIR) SPECTROSCOPY ANALYSIS	124
4.7	SUMMARY	126
CHAPTER- V	GEOTECHNICAL CHARACTERIZATION TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	127
5.1	INTRODUCTION	127
5.2	SPECIFIC GRAVITY	128
5.3	GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION	129
5.4	CONSISTENCY LIMITS	131
5.5	COMPACTION BEHAVIOUR	136
5.6	PERMEABILITY BEHAVIOUR	139
5.7	CONSOLIDATION BEHAVIOUR	139
5.8	UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (UCS)	143
5.8.1	Comparison of Strength Characteristics of the Untreated and Treated Soil	146
5.9	SHEAR STRENGTH PARAMETERS	148
5.9.1	Failure Behaviour of the Untreated and Treated Soil	153
5.10	CALIFORNIA BEARING RATIO (CBR)	155
5.11	SUMMARY	159

CHAPTER- VI	DYNAMIC CHARACTERIZATION TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	161
6.1	INTRODUCTION	161
6.2	RESEARCH SIGNIFICANCE	163
6.3	ASSESSMENT OF DYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF UNTREATED AND TREATED SOIL	164
6.3.1	Assessment of Dynamic Shear Modulus of the Untreated Soil	169
6.3.2	Assessment of Dynamic Shear Modulus of the Optimum Nano-SiO ₂ Treated Soil	169
6.3.3	Assessment of Dynamic Shear Modulus of the Optimum Nano-SiO ₂ and Fly Ash Treated Soil	170
6.3.4	Assessment of Damping Ratio of the Untreated Soil	176
6.3.5	Assessment of Damping Ratio of the Optimum Nano-SiO ₂ Treated Soil	176
6.3.6	Assessment of Damping Ratio of the Optimum Nano-SiO ₂ and Fly Ash Treated Soil	177
6.3.7	Stiffness degradation index of the Untreated and Treated Soil	181
6.4	SUMMARY	185
CHAPTER- VII	SMALL STRAIN SHEAR MODULUS OF UNTREATED AND TREATED SOIL AND CORRELATION STUDIES	187
7.1	INTRODUCTION	187
7.2	ASSESSMENT OF NANO-SiO ₂ ON SMALL-STRAIN SHEAR MODULUS	188
7.3	ASSESSMENT OF THE OPTIMISED NANO-SiO ₂ AND FLY ASH ON SMALL-STRAIN SHEAR MODULUS	191
7.4	COMPARISON OF SMALL-STRAIN SHEAR MODULUS (G_{max}) OF THE UNTREATED AND TREATED SOIL	199
7.5	CORRELATION BETWEEN SMALL-STRAIN SHEAR MODULUS (G_{max}) AND SHEAR STRENGTH (τ)	200
7.6	ASSESSMENT OF NORMALIZED SHEAR MODULUS AND MODEL FITTING FOR THE UNTREATED AND TREATED SOIL	202
7.7	ASSESSMENT OF DAMPING RATIO AND MODEL FITTING FOR THE UNTREATED AND TREATED SOIL	206
7.8	COMPARISON OF NORMALIZED SHEAR MODULUS RATIO WITH PAST LITERATURE	207
7.9	COMPARISON OF DAMPING RATIO WITH PAST LITERATURE	209
7.10	SUMMARY	211

CHAPTER- VIII	PERFORMANCE OF TREATED AND UNTREATED SOIL AS A PAVEMENT MATERIAL	213
8.1	INTRODUCTION	213
8.2	APPLICATION AS PAVEMENT MATERIAL	214
8.3	CARBON FOOTPRINT AND COST ANALYSIS	219
8.4	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ON HEALTH	224
8.5	SUMMARY	225
CHAPTER- IX	CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE SCOPE	227
9.1	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	227
9.1.1	Chemical Analysis Test Results	228
9.1.2	Geotechnical Characterization Test Results	229
9.1.3	Shear Strength Characteristics	230
9.1.4	Dynamic Shear Modulus and Damping Characteristics	230
9.1.5	Small Strain Shear Modulus and Correlation Studies	232
9.1.6	Performance of Untreated and Treated Soil as a Pavement Material	232
9.1.7	Carbon footprint and Cost analysis	233
9.2	LIMITATIONS AND SCOPE FOR FUTURE WORK	234
9.2.1	Limitations	234
9.2.2	Scope for Future Work	234
REFERENCES		237
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		277

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
1.1	Major soil map of India	5
1.2	Coal Fly ash (CFA) generation and utilization in the worldwide	10
1.3	Production and utilisation of CFA between 1996–2022	10
1.4	Central practices of CFA use in 2021–22	11
1.5	Schematic diagram of fissures in Lvliang Airport: (a) before grouting; (b) after grouting	14
1.6	Schematic illustration of two methods of permeation grouting method (PGM): (a) uncontrolled grouting; (b) an example of controlled grouting (drilling machine image courtesy of Penta-Ocean Construction Co., Ltd.).	15
2.1	Schematic diagram illustrating nanoparticles in the environment	68
2.2	Schematics of human body with health effects of nanoparticles	69
3.1	Flowchart of the experimental details of present soil combinations	77
3.2	Site locations of the considered materials (a) clay soil (b) fly ash and (c) Nano-SiO ₂	80
3.3	(a) Cyclic triaxial test apparatus, (b) Data acquisition system, and (c) Prepared sample.	91
3.4	A schematic stress-strain hysteresis loop for cyclic loading	94
3.5	Bender Element test facility: (a) Typical prepared sample (b) Typical grooving sample (c) Cylindrical mould with accessories (d) Bender Element as Transmitter and Receiver (e) Bender Element test set up with triaxial cell.	97
3.6	Typical identification methods of travel time; (a) time domain method, (b) cross correlation method and (c) frequency domain method	97
3.7	Typical shear wave travel from the BE test	98
4.1	SEM image of fly ash sample under different magnification	106

4.2	SEM image of Nano Silica sample under different magnification	106
4.3	SEM image of soil sample under different magnification	107
4.4	SEM image of (a) Soil+1% NS and (b) Soil+1% NS+20% FA	108
4.5	EDX image of fly ash at four locations.	110
4.6	EDX image of Nano-SiO ₂ at four locations	111
4.7	EDX image of soil at four locations	112
4.8	EDX image of soil+ 1% NS at four locations	113
4.9	EDX image of soil+ 1% NS +20% FA at four locations	114
4.10	XRD pattern of (a) Soil and (b) Fly ash	116
4.11	XRD pattern of (c) Nano SiO ₂ and (d) Soil+1% NS	117
4.12	XRD pattern of (e) Soil+1%NS+20%FA	118
4.13	XRF results of (a) fly ash (b) Soil+1% NS	121
4.14	XRF results of (c) Soil+1% NS+20% FA	122
4.15	FTIR pattern of Soil, Soil+1% NS and Soil+1%NS+20%FA	125
5.1	Particle size distribution curve of the considered clayey soil and fly ash	131
5.2	Consistency limits of clayey soil treated with (a) Nano-SiO ₂ (NS) and (b) fly ash + 1% Nano-SiO ₂ (NS)	134
5.3	Shrinkage limits of clayey soil treated with (a) Nano-SiO ₂ (NS) and (b) fly ash + 1% Nano-SiO ₂ (NS)	135
5.4	Compaction characteristics of clayey soil treated with (a) Nano-SiO ₂ (NS) and (b) fly ash + 1% Nano-SiO ₂ (NS).	138
5.5	Void ratio versus effective confining pressure of clayey soil, clayey soil+1% NS, and clayey soil+1% NS+20% FA	142
5.6	(a) UCS curve and (b) Stress-strain curve for soil treated with Nano-SiO ₂ (NS)	144
5.7	Rate of strength improvement in UCS value for soil treated with Nano-SiO ₂ (NS)	145

5.8	Comparisons of strength improvement of soil with Nano-SiO ₂ and other additives at 28 days curing	147
5.9	Shear strength of soil treated with different percentage of (a) Nano-SiO ₂ (NS) and Typical deviator stress-strain curve of soil treated with different percentage of (b) Nano-SiO ₂ (NS).	150
5.10	Shear strength of soil treated with (c) fly ash + 1% Nano-SiO ₂ (NS); and Typical deviator stress-strain curve of soil treated with (d) fly ash + 1% Nano-SiO ₂ (NS)	151
5.11	Typical modes of failure surfaces from Triaxial (UU) Test at $\sigma_3=100\text{kPa}$ (a) Typical sample (b) Soil (c) Soil+0.5% NS (d) Soil+1%NS (e) Soil+3% NS (f) Soil+5% NS (g) Soil+7% NS (h) Soil+1%NS+10%FA (i) Soil+1%NS+20%FA (j) Soil +1 % NS+30%FA	154
5.12	Load-penetration curve of soil, soil+1% NS, and soil+1% NS+20% FA under both (a) unsoaked and (b) soaked condition for 0 day and 28 days curing period	157
5.13	CBR value of Soil (Unsoaked/Soaked), Soil+1% NS (Unsoaked/Soaked), and Soil+1% NS+20% FA (Unsoaked/Soaked)	158
6.1	Typical cyclic response of clay (a) variation of axial strain with time, (b) variation of deviator stress with axial strain, (c) variation of excess pore water pressure ratio with time, and (d) variation of deviator stress with time	166
6.2	Typical cyclic response of Clay+1%NS sample (a) variation of deviator stress with axial strain, (b) variation of excess pore water pressure ratio with time, and (c) variation of deviator stress with time	167
6.3	Typical cyclic response of Clay+1%NS+20%FA sample (b) variation of deviator stress with axial strain, (c) variation of excess pore water pressure ratio with time, and (d) variation of deviator stress with time	168
6.4	Variation of dynamic shear modulus with cyclic shear strain of the untreated and treated soil	172
6.5	Variation of dynamic shear modulus with number of cycles for different curing days (a) Clay, 0day, (b) Clay, 28days	173
6.6	Variation of dynamic shear modulus with number of cycles for different curing days (c) Clay +1%NS, 0day, (d) Clay+1%NS, 28days	174
6.7	Variation of dynamic shear modulus with number of cycles for different curing (e) Clay+1%NS+20%FA, 0day and (f) Clay +1% NS +20%FA, 28days.	175

6.8	Variation of damping ratio with cyclic shear strain of the untreated and treated soil (a) Clay and (b) Clay+1% NS.	178
6.9	Variation of damping ratio with cyclic shear strain of treated soil (c) Clay+1% NS+20% FA.	179
6.10	Variation of stiffness degradation with number of cycles for different curing days (a) Clay, 0day and (b) Clay, 28days.	182
6.11	Variation of stiffness degradation with number of cycles for different curing days (c) Clay +1 %NS, 0day, (d) Clay+1%NS, 28days.	183
6.12	Variation of stiffness degradation with number of cycles for different curing days (e) Clay+1%NS+20%FA, 0day and (f) Clay+1%NS+20%FA, 28days.	184
7.1	Variation of G_{max} with Nano-SiO ₂ content for variable curing periods (a) Clay+ 1%NS, $f=1\text{kHz}$, and (b) Clay+1%NS, $f=2\text{kHz}$	190
7.2	Variation of G_{max} with nano-SiO ₂ content for variable curing periods (a) Clay+1%NS+10%FA, $f=1\text{kHz}$, (b) Clay + 1% NS + 10%FA, $f=2\text{kHz}$.	192
7.3	Variation of G_{max} with nano-SiO ₂ content for variable curing periods (c) Clay+1%NS+20%FA, $f=1\text{kHz}$, (d) Clay +1% NS + 20%FA, $f=2\text{kHz}$.	193
7.4	Variation of G_{max} with nano-SiO ₂ content for variable curing periods (e) Clay+1%NS+30%FA, $f=1\text{kHz}$, and (f) Clay+1%NS+30%FA, $f=2\text{kHz}$.	194
7.5	Variation of G_{max} with fly ash and 1% Nano-SiO ₂ (NS) content for variable curing periods (a) CP=50kPa, $f=1\text{kHz}$, (b) CP=50kPa, $f=2\text{kHz}$.	195
7.6	Variation of G_{max} with fly ash and 1% Nano-SiO ₂ (NS) content for variable (c) CP=100kPa, $f=1\text{kHz}$, (d) CP=100kPa, $f=2\text{kHz}$.	196
7.7	Variation of G_{max} with fly ash and 1% Nano-SiO ₂ (NS) content for variable (e) CP=150kPa, $f=1\text{kHz}$, and (f) CP=150, $f=2\text{kHz}$.	197
7.8	Comparisons of improvement in small-strain shear modulus (G_{max}) with Nano-SiO ₂ and other additives at 28 days curing	200
7.9	Correlation between small-strain shear modulus (G_{max}) and shear strength (τ) of clayey soil treated with fly ash and Nano-SiO ₂	201

7.10	Variation of normalized shear modulus ratio and damping ratio with cyclic shear strain (a) Clay.	203
7.11	Variation of normalized shear modulus ratio and damping ratio with cyclic shear strain (b) Clay+1%NS, 0day, (c) Clay+1%NS, 28days.	204
7.12	Variation of normalized shear modulus ratio and damping ratio with cyclic (d) Clay+1%NS+20%FA, 0day, and (e) Clay +1 % NS+20%FA, 28days.	205
7.13	Comparison of normalized shear modulus ratio with cyclic shear strain of the untreated and treated soil with past studies	208
7.14	Comparison of damping ratio with cyclic shear strain of the untreated and treated soil with past studies	210
8.1	A typical cross section of pavement of clay soil with soaked CBR	216
8.2	A typical cross section of pavement of Soil+1% NS+20% FA with soaked CBR	216
8.3	A typical cross section of pavement of Soil+1%NS+20%FA with 28 days of soaked CBR	217
8.4	Total CO ₂ emission in lime, cement and 1% NS+20% FA treated clay soil per 1 t of stabilized material	223

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Description	Page No.
2.1	Basic geotechnical characteristics of Indian problematic soil	26
2.2	Basic geotechnical characteristics of fly ash from various Indian Thermal Power Plants	27
3.1	Testing program for physical, chemical, morphological, and geotechnical characteristics	100
3.2	Testing program for cyclic triaxial (CU) test	101
3.3	Testing program for bender element test	101
4.1	Summary of the chemical composition of the Indian coal fly ash along with present fly ash	123
5.1	Consolidation characteristics of the treated and untreated clayey soil	142
5.2	Summary of shear strength parameters obtained from triaxial (UU) tests	152
6.1	Effect of strain amplitude on dynamic properties of untreated and treated soil	180
7.1	Summary of bender element tests performed for both treated and untreated soil under different confining pressure	198
7.2	Variation of curve fitting parameters of normalized modulus reduction curves	206
7.3	Variation of curve fitting parameters of damping ratio curves	207
8.1	Flexible pavement thickness of untreated and treated soil	218
8.2	CO ₂ emission of different types of materials	223
8.3	Cost comparison of different types of materials	223

NOMENCLATURE

ε - axial strain

ρ - Bulk mass density

η - Porosity

ν - Poisson's ratio

λ - Wavelength

μ - Micron

γ_d - Dry unit weight

f - Frequency

CO₂ - Carbon dioxide

C_u - Coefficient of uniformity

C_c - Coefficient of curvature

MDD - Maximum dry density

OMC - Optimum moisture content

UCS - Unconfined compressive strength

UU - Unconsolidated undrained

CU – Consolidated undrained

LL - Liquid limit

PL - Plastic limit

PI - Plasticity index

SL - Shrinkage limit

CH - Clay with high plasticity

c - Cohesion

ϕ - Angle of internal friction

CSH - Calcium silicate hydrate

CASH - Calcium aluminate silicate hydrate

FA - Fly ash

NS - Nano silica

EDX - Energy Dispersive X-Ray

SEM - Scanning Electron Microscope

XRD - X-Ray Diffraction

XRF - X-Ray Fluorescence

FTIR - Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy

CaO - Calcium oxide

CaCO₃ - Calcium carbonate

Al₂O₃ - Aluminium oxide

SiO₂ - Silicon oxide

CBR - California bearing ratio

IS - Indian standard

IRC - Indian road congress

ASTM - American Society for Testing and Materials

G - Dynamic shear modulus

D - Damping ratio

E - Young's modulus

B - Skempton's pore pressure parameter

r_u - Excess Pore pressure ratio

$G_{\max}$ - Maximum shear modulus

V_s - Shear wave velocity

L_{tt} - Travel distance

t - Time

$G/G_{\max}$ - Normalized shear modulus

γ - Shear strain

CP - Confining pressure

σ'_c - Effective confining pressure

σ_d - Deviator stress

Hz - Hertz

kHz - Kilohertz

kPa – Kilopascal

kN- Kilonewton

MPa - Megapascal

CTX - Cyclic triaxial test

K_T - Coefficient of permeability

L - length

A - area

kg - Kilogram

mm - Millimetre

G_s - Specific gravity