

To  
my beloved parents  
and  
other family members  
for their endless love, support and encouragement



## CERTIFICATE

It is certified that the work contained in the thesis titled **Robust Static Output Feedback Controller Design Using LMIs** by **Jitendra Kumar Goyal** has been carried out under my supervision and that this work has not been submitted elsewhere for a degree. It is further certified that the student has fulfilled all the requirements of Comprehensive Examination, Candidacy and SOTA for the award of Ph.D. Degree.



Supervisor

Dr. Sandip Ghosh  
Deptt. of Electrical Engg.  
IIT(BHU)  
Varanasi - 221005



Co-Supervisor

Dr. Shyam Kamal  
Deptt. of Electrical Engg.  
IIT(BHU)  
Varanasi - 221005



## DECLARATION

I, **Jitendra Kumar Goyal**, certify that the work embodied in this thesis is my own bonafide work and carried out by me under the supervision of **Dr. Sandip Ghosh** and **Dr. Shyam Kamal** from July-2016 to March-2021, at the Departement of Electrical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi. The matter embodied in this thesis has not been submitted for the award of any other degree/diploma. I declare that I have faithfully acknowledged and given credits to the research workers wherever their works have been cited in my work in this thesis. I further declare that I have not willfully copied any other's work, paragraphs, text, data, results, etc., reported in journals, books, magazines, reports dissertations, theses, etc., or available at websites and have not included them in this thesis and have not cited as my own work.


Date: **01-03-2021**

Place: **Varanasi**

  
(**Jitendra Kumar Goyal**)

## CERTIFICATE BY THE SUPERVISOR


It is certified that the above statement made by the student is correct to the best of my/our knowledge.

  
**Dr. Sandip Ghosh**

**IIT(BHU), Varanasi**

  
**Dr. Shyam Kamal**

**IIT(BHU), Varanasi**

  
Signature of Head of Department/Coordinator of School

आचार्य व विभागाध्यक्ष / PROFESSOR & HEAD  
विद्युतीय अभियांत्रिकी विभाग / Department of Electrical Engineering  
भारतीय प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान / Indian Institute of Technology  
(काशी हिन्दू विश्वविद्यालय) / (Banarases Hindu University)  
Varanasi, U.P. (INDIA)



# COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE

Title of the Thesis: **Robust Static Output Feedback Controller Design Using LMIs.**

Name of Student: **Jitendra Kumar Goyal**

## Copyright Transfer

The undersigned hereby assigns to the Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University) Varanasi all rights under copyright that may exist in and for the above thesis submitted for the award of the Doctor of Philosophy.

Date: **01-03-2021**

Place: **Varanasi**



**(Jitendra Kumar Goyal)**

Note: However, the author may reproduce or authorize others to reproduce material extracted verbatim from the thesis or derivative of the thesis for author's personal use provided that the source and the Institute's copyright notice are indicated.



# Acknowledgments

Although, my name is the only one that appears on the cover of this dissertation, so many great people have contributed to its production. I owe my gratitude to all those people who have made this thesis possible and because of whom my post graduate experience has been one that I will cherish forever.

I take this opportunity to express my profound gratitude and deep regards to my supervisors Dr. Sandip Ghosh, Associate Professor and Dr. Shyam Kamal, Assistant Professor, Electrical Engineering Department IIT (BHU), Varanasi for their exemplary guidance, monitoring and constant encouragement throughout the course of this dissertation. Thank you, Prof. Sandip Ghosh, for allowing me to choose topics of my interest. Thank you for your patience and faith in me during this journey. Thank you so much for your intuitions and insights through the problems which led us to some interesting findings. At last, thank you so much for instilling in me a sense of confidence and ability to complete this work which sometimes appeared to be very difficult.

Thank you, Prof. Shyam Kamal, for identifying my potential and directing me towards some key areas. Thank you so much for your valuable suggestions, encouraging words and motivations at times when I felt low. Thank you so much for your elegant lectures that nourished and improved my understanding of the subjects. Thank you so much for your encouragement and support.

I would like to thank Prof. Amitesh Kumar, Department of Mechanical Engineering, IIT (BHU), for his useful comments during my research progress evaluation seminars. It was a privilege to engage in research on robust controller design at the Control Systems Laboratory at Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi which allowed me to learn and benefit from a subtle mix of both theoretical work and experimental validations. My profound gratitude to Prof. Devender Singh, Head of the Department, Department of Electrical Engineering, for providing effective management and necessary research facili-


ties for the success of this work. Also, i would like to thank him for his useful comments during my research progress evaluation seminars. I am obliged to staff members, in particular Mr. A. N. Singh, Mr. Anjenya, Mr. Sunil, Mr. Sirish, Mr. Santosh, Mr. Sanjeev and Mrs. Ranjana of Electrical Engineering Department, IIT(BHU), Varanasi.

In addition, I want to express my deepest gratitude to Mr. Shubham Aggarwal, who supported me throughout my thesis work. Thank you, Shubham, for some useful technical discussions and making collaborations in some related research work. I am grateful to Mr. Sharat Chandra Mahto, Pradosh Ranjan Sahoo and Ankit Sachan, for providing help in writing thesis. I am thankful to Mr. Shubham Aggarwal and Sharat Chandra Mahto for a lot of discussions related to the current work and some future prospects. Some ideas are fascinating, and I am sure they would ultimately result in some useful contributions in future.

Exceptional gratitude goes out to my colleagues and friends with special mention to Dr. Amit Kumar Chaudhary, Dr. Santosh Kumar Verma, Dr. Om Prakash Bharti, Dr. Avneet Kumar Chauhan, Dr. Naresh Pilli, Dr. Raghuram, Mr. Vipin Kumar, Mr. Anil Pal, Dr. Rahul Kumar Sharma, Mr. Ajeet Singh and Mr. Sarvesh Kumar. You all supported and encouraged me in my lows during this research journey. Mostly I remember that a lot of tensions were relieved over the tea breaks. Also, best wishes and thanks to the junior colleagues Mr. Sandeep Kumar Soni, Ms Bhawana Singh, Mr. Aditya Kumar, Mr. Sunil Kumar, Mrs. Haritha M., Mrs. Rangoli Singh, Mr. Vijay Kumar Singh, Mr. Parijit Prasun and Ms. Shweta Sarad. I hope some of you will take this work forward.

Last but not the least, I want to especially thank to my parents, Mr. Shambhu Dayal Goyal and Mrs. Shanta Devi Goyal, for their constant support and encouragement, without which this assignment would not have been completed at all. A lot of thanks to my sister, Mrs Suman Garg and brother-in-law, Mr. Vinod Kumar Garg. Without their support and help, I could not have reached upto here. I also thank to my wife Shefali for constant support and encouragement. Thank you so much for bearing my frustrations and soothing me all along the journey. Thank you for providing the much-needed support to the family during this period. I am grateful to my other family members who have supported me along the way.

Date: 01-03-2021

  
Jitendra Kumar Goyal

# Abstract

Static output feedback (SOF) controller design for both the continuous-time and discrete-time systems are open problems in control theory due to the absence of computable necessary and sufficient conditions. Numerous methods have been developed for the design, yet there are scopes for improvement. This thesis work concerns the development of new sufficient conditions for designing the SOF controller ensuring some performance measure in terms of robustness and transient behavior. The work has been divided into three main parts.

The first part deals with designing SOF controller for continuous-time systems. This development involves suitable decomposition of Lyapunov matrices and deriving Linear matrix inequality (LMI) criteria that ensures  $H_\infty$  performance. LMI criteria for pole-placement in LMI region is also considered. Next, the SOF design condition is exploited for designing PID controller for higher-order MIMO systems. Further, extension of the matrix decomposition concept for more realistic system representation (uncertain systems with parametric perturbations and actuator saturation) is considered and new sufficient conditions for SOF controller design for a class of polytopic systems both with and without actuator saturation are derived. Numerical examples are presented to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed results.

The second part presents new sufficient LMI conditions for designing static  $H_2$  and  $H_\infty$  controllers for discrete-time systems. Two design criteria are developed. First one is developed through appropriate use of a bounding inequalities. It involves certain relaxations of the LMI variables leading to less conservative design criterion. The second design criterion involves decomposition of an auxiliary matrix. The decomposition facilitates linearization of nonlinear term of reduced size to obtain LMI criteria. This leads to less conservative results than the design conditions developed previously. A transformation framework is also proposed for designing dynamic output feedback controller using

the SOF design method. Pole-placement is one of the well-known technique to improve the transient behavior of the systems. To this end, the constant damping ratio locus for discrete-time systems leads to a non-convex region. A new convex approximation of the constant damping ratio loci by an elliptical segment is proposed here. Note that, being convex, the ellipse segment can be defined as an LMI region. Then, LMI criteria for controller (state feedback and SOF) synthesis are derived for linear discrete-time polytopic systems. A numerical example illustrates the efficacy of the proposed design approach.

The third part considers applications of the developed theory. Laboratory scale coupled tank and Twin Rotor MIMO System (TRMS) are considered for validation of some of the theoretical results in experimental setups. A boost converter widely used in power electronics applications, is also considered to be an application problem. System models of these applications are nonlinear in nature. Due to the nonlinearities involved in their dynamics, designing controllers using linear control theory is challenging. The design technique adopted in this work is based on considering the nonlinear terms involved, in the form of structural or model uncertainties. The nonlinear models of the systems is represented in the form of a polytopic systems that allows design and implementation of a linear controller. The nonlinear term is treated as an uncertain parameter in the system model. A  $\mathcal{L}_2$  based Proportional Integral (PI) controller is designed combined with pole placement in a desired LMI region to ensure better transient behavior of the system. Experimental results have been provided and compared with conventional design to illustrate the efficacy of the proposed design method. Design of a quasi-Linear Parameter Varying (qLPV) PI controller for TRMS is also considered. The nonlinear model is represented as a qLPV polytopic plant with an affine dependence on a nonlinear parametric function of the pitch angle. This representation retains the exact model as opposed to the conventional linearization around an operating point. Due to the availability of the pitch angle measurement, the nonlinear parameter can be obtained in real-time and the controller is designed using qLPV technique. To deal with limited control input for such systems, the proposed controller design also considers the actuator saturation that yields controller with practical gains without any additional gain bound criterion. Further, the transient tracking performance is also considered in the design by using closed-loop eigenvalues assignment in desired damping regions. Finally, to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed design, a comparative study along with experimental results are provided. For

the discrete-time design, the pole-placement criteria in constant damping region is applied on a power electronic boost converter system in order to improve its transient behavior subject to variation in the input voltage and load resistance. Corresponding simulation results are provided to validate the results.



# Contents

<b>Abstract</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>Nomenclature</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Static output feedback control design problem . . . . .	2
1.1.1 Continuous-time system . . . . .	2
1.1.2 Continuous-time pole-placement . . . . .	7
1.1.3 Continuous-time system with parametric uncertainties . . . . .	11
1.1.4 Continuous-time system with actuator saturation . . . . .	13
1.1.5 Discrete-time system . . . . .	17
1.1.6 Discrete-time pole-placement . . . . .	20
1.2 Literature review on static output feedback (SOF) controller design . . . . .	21
1.2.1 Continuous-time system . . . . .	21
1.2.2 Discrete-time system . . . . .	26
1.3 Projection Lemma and some equivalences of matrix inequalities . . . . .	28
1.4 Motivation . . . . .	29
1.5 Thesis objectives . . . . .	32
1.6 Organization of the Thesis . . . . .	33
<b>2 Continuous-time static output feedback controller design</b>	<b>35</b>
2.1 SOF controller design for LTI systems . . . . .	35
2.1.1 Problem statement and preliminaries . . . . .	36

2.1.2	Decomposition of constituent matrices . . . . .	37
2.1.3	$H_\infty$ controller design . . . . .	39
2.1.4	Pole-placement in LMI region . . . . .	40
2.1.5	$H_\infty$ controller design with norm bounded uncertainty . . . . .	42
2.1.6	Dynamic controller design . . . . .	43
2.2	Robust PID controller design in SOF framework . . . . .	44
2.2.1	$H_\infty$ controller design . . . . .	45
2.2.2	PID controller design . . . . .	46
2.2.3	$H_\infty$ based robust PID controller . . . . .	47
2.2.4	Numerical example . . . . .	48
2.3	SOF controller design for a class of polytopic systems with actuator saturation	50
2.3.1	Problem statement and preliminaries . . . . .	51
2.3.2	Decomposition of auxiliary variable $G$ . . . . .	54
2.3.3	$\mathcal{L}_2$ based SOF controller design . . . . .	56
2.3.4	Design cases . . . . .	61
2.4	Summary . . . . .	64
<b>3</b>	<b>Discrete-time static output feedback controller design</b>	<b>67</b>
3.1	SOF controller design without using a matrix decomposition approach . . .	68
3.1.1	Problem statement and preliminaries . . . . .	68
3.1.2	$H_\infty$ controller design . . . . .	69
3.1.3	$H_2$ controller design . . . . .	72
3.1.4	Dynamic controller design . . . . .	76
3.1.5	Numerical examples . . . . .	76
3.2	SOF controller design using a matrix decomposition approach . . . . .	81
3.2.1	Decomposition of $G$ and $\bar{G}$ . . . . .	81
3.2.2	$H_2$ controller design . . . . .	83
3.2.3	$H_\infty$ controller design . . . . .	84
3.2.4	Numerical examples . . . . .	85
3.3	Pole-placement in damping region . . . . .	89
3.3.1	Convex approximation of damping region . . . . .	89
3.3.2	Problem statement and preliminaries . . . . .	92

3.3.3	Controller design . . . . .	94
3.3.4	Numerical examples . . . . .	100
3.4	Summary . . . . .	104
<b>4</b>	<b>Application examples</b>	<b>107</b>
4.1	Continuous-time designs . . . . .	108
4.1.1	Coupled Tank System . . . . .	108
4.1.2	Twin Rotor MIMO System: 2-DOF Helicotper . . . . .	117
4.1.3	Quasi-LPV PI control of TRMS subject to actuator saturation . . . . .	126
4.1.4	SOF controller design for TRMS . . . . .	142
4.2	Discrete-time design . . . . .	146
4.2.1	Boost Converter . . . . .	146
4.3	Summary . . . . .	151
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusion and Future Scope</b>	<b>153</b>
5.1	Research Summary . . . . .	153
5.2	Thesis Contributions . . . . .	153
5.3	Suggestions for future work . . . . .	155
<b>A</b>	<b>Linear Matrix Inequalities (LMIs)</b>	<b>157</b>
A.1	Solving LMIs . . . . .	158
A.2	LMI problem forms . . . . .	158
A.2.1	Feasibility problem . . . . .	158
A.2.2	Linear objective minimization problem . . . . .	159
A.2.3	Generalized eigenvalue minimization problem . . . . .	159
A.3	Schur Complement . . . . .	159



# List of Figures

1.1	Generalized Control System . . . . .	4
1.2	Half-plane region . . . . .	8
1.3	Conic sector region . . . . .	9
1.4	Circular disc region . . . . .	9
1.5	The pole location region $\mathcal{D}_C(\alpha, \theta)$ . . . . .	10
1.6	The constant damping regions in continuous and discrete-domain . . . . .	20
2.1	Closed-loop system block diagram . . . . .	46
3.1	Full-ellipse representation for $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_1$ region (solid line) . . . . .	90
3.2	Ellipse segment $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_2$ region (solid line) . . . . .	92
4.1	Schematic Diagram of Coupled Tank System . . . . .	111
4.2	Block Diagram of the closed-loop system . . . . .	112
4.3	Laboratory Experimental Setup . . . . .	115
4.4	Time variation of water level in Tank 1 ( $h_1$ ) . . . . .	116
4.5	Time variation of water level in Tank 2 ( $h_2$ ) . . . . .	116
4.6	Time variation of control input (Conventional PI controller) . . . . .	117
4.7	Time variation of control input (Proposed PI controller) . . . . .	118
4.8	Free body diagram of a generalised TRMS . . . . .	119
4.9	Block Diagram of the closed-loop system . . . . .	121
4.10	Experimental setup . . . . .	121
4.11	Time variation of pitch angle . . . . .	123
4.12	Time variation of yaw angle . . . . .	123
4.13	Comparison of time variation of pitch angle of Robust PI for centralized and decentralized cases . . . . .	123

4.14 Comparison of time variation of yaw angle of Robust PI for centralized and decentralized cases . . . . .	124
4.15 Time variation of control input for pitch angle . . . . .	125
4.16 Time variation of control input for yaw angle . . . . .	126
4.17 Block schematic of the closed-loop model . . . . .	130
4.18 Schematic diagram of qLPV control strategy . . . . .	132
4.19 Evolution of pitch angle with time . . . . .	138
4.20 Evolution of yaw angle with time . . . . .	139
4.21 Comparison of evolution of pitch and yaw angle for centralized and decentralized robust PI controller . . . . .	139
4.22 Comparison of evolution of yaw and pitch angle for centralised and decentralised robust PI controller . . . . .	140
4.23 Time evolution of control input for pitch angle . . . . .	140
4.24 Time evolution of control input for yaw angle . . . . .	141
4.25 Region of Attraction (involving states $\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2$ ) . . . . .	141
4.26 Time evolution of pitch and yaw angle without considering saturation constraints . . . . .	142
4.27 Comparison of control input with and without considering saturation constraints (Bold black margins denote saturation limits) . . . . .	142
4.28 Experimental result: Pitch angle variation of the 2-DOF helicopter . . . . .	144
4.29 Experimental result: Yaw angle variation of the 2-DOF helicopter . . . . .	145
4.30 Experimental result: Comparison of control input of 2-DOF helicopter with and without considering saturation constraints (Bold black margins denote saturation limits) . . . . .	145
4.31 Boost step-up converter circuit diagram . . . . .	147
4.32 Pole map for the boost converter : (i) Open-loop poles (star marked) and (ii) Closed-loop poles for the SOF controller at the four polytope vertices (cross marked) . . . . .	149
4.33 Output voltage response for different controllers . . . . .	150
4.34 State Feedback PI controller structure . . . . .	150
4.35 Output voltage response of State feedback and SOF PI controllers . . . . .	150

# List of Tables

2.1	SOF and PID Controllers and their respective performances . . . . .	49
2.2	$\mathcal{L}_2$ based SOF Controller for Example 2.24 . . . . .	62
2.3	Variation of design parameter for Example 2.24 . . . . .	63
2.4	$\mathcal{L}_2$ based SOF Controller for Example 2.25 . . . . .	64
3.1	Comparison of SOF stabilization problem for 1000 randomly generated cases	78
3.2	SOF $H_2$ Control for Example 3.9 . . . . .	79
3.3	DOF $H_2$ Control For Example 3.9 . . . . .	79
3.4	Output Feedback for $H_\infty$ Control for Example 3.10 . . . . .	80
3.5	SOF $H_2$ Control for Example 3.17 . . . . .	85
3.6	$H_\infty$ Output Feedback Control for Example 3.18 . . . . .	86
3.7	$\gamma$ obtained for benchmark examples from <i>COMPl<sub>e</sub>ib</i> plants . . . . .	88
3.8	Approximation error for $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_1$ . . . . .	91
3.9	Approximation error for $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_2$ . . . . .	91
3.10	Designed State Feedback Controllers for Example 3.24 . . . . .	101
3.11	Designed SOF Controllers for Example 3.24 . . . . .	101
3.12	Designed DOF Controllers for Example 3.24 . . . . .	102
3.13	Designed State Feedback Controllers for Example 3.25 . . . . .	103
3.14	Designed SOF Controllers for Example 3.25 . . . . .	103
3.15	Designed DOF Controllers for Example 3.25 . . . . .	104
4.1	Design parameters for coupled tank system . . . . .	110
4.2	Controller gains, IAE and ISE performance for different controllers . . . . .	116
4.3	Time domain performance for different controllers . . . . .	117
4.4	System Value Parameters . . . . .	119

4.5	Different Controller Gains . . . . .	125
4.6	Error Performance for Different Controllers . . . . .	125
4.7	Control gains for different controllers . . . . .	137
4.8	Error Performance for Different Controllers . . . . .	138
4.9	Controller gains and error performance for different controllers . . . . .	146
4.10	Controller gains and error performance for different controllers . . . . .	146
4.11	Closed-Loop Poles with Controllers Gains . . . . .	149

# Nomenclature

## List of Greek and Roman Symbols

$\mathbb{R}$	Set of real numbers
$\mathbb{R}^n$	$n$ - dimensional vector in Euclidian space
$\mathbb{N}$	Set of positive numbers
*	Symmetric terms of a matrix
$Co\{.\}$	Convex hull
$Sym\{Z\}$	$Z + Z^T$
$(\cdot)^{-1}$	Inverse of a matrix
$(\cdot)^T$	Transpose operation
$I$	Identity matrix

## Abbreviation

LMI	Linear Matrix Inequality
BMI	Bilinear Matrix Inequality
LQR	Linear Quadratic Regulator
CT	Continuous-time
DT	Discrete-time
AREs	Algebraic Riccati Equations
PI	Proportional Integral
PID	Proportional Integral Derivative
LTI	Linear Time Invariant
SOF	Static Output Feedback
DOF	Dynamic Output Feedback
2-DOF	Two Degree of Freedom
MRAC	Model Reference Adaptive Control
ZOH	Zero-order hold
MIMO	Multi-input multi-output
PSO	Particle Swarm Optimization
APSO	Adaptive Particle Swarm Optimization
SMC	Sliding mode control
ROA	Region of attraction
LFT	Linear fractional transformation
CLF	Common Lyapunov function
PDLF	Parameter dependent Lyapunov function