

List of Figures

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 1.1	Schematic representation of dynamic reactions used for the preparation of a variety of Schiff bases	3
Figure 1.2	Schematic representation of the construction of Schiff Base	4
Figure 1.3	Types of Schiff Base Ligands	5
Figure 1.4	Some examples of Schiff base complexes with NLO properties	12
Figure 1.5	Schiff base complex used in antibacterial activity	15
Figure 1.6	Classification of LMW gels	34
Figure 1.7	(A) Planar luminophoric molecules like perylene have a tendency to aggregate as pile up discs, owing to the strong π - π stacking interactions among the aromatic rings, which normally acts as turns “off” light emission. (B) whereas non-planar luminogenic molecules like hexaphenylsilole (HPS) with their turned “on” light emissions by aggregate formation perform oppositely, owed to restriction of the intramolecular rotation (RIR) of the multiple phenyl rotors against the silole stator in the aggregate state	40
Figure 1.8	Applications of Schiff bases in various fields	43
Figure 2.1	Schematic representation of working of IR spectrometer	49
Figure 2.2	Photograph of NMR spectrometer	54
Figure 2.3	Photograph of TGA	56
Figure 2.4	Photograph of benchtop PXRD	57
Figure 2.5	Schematic representation of Braggs Law working in PXRD	58
Figure 2.6	Photograph of UV-vis spectrometer	58
Figure 2.7	Components of lights and UV-vis region	59
Figure 2.8	Basic instrumentation scheme of UV-vis Spectrophotometer	61
Figure 2.9	Schematic representation of working of Fluorescence spectrometer	63
Figure 2.10	Photograph of TEM	64
Figure 2.11	Schematic representation of working of TEM	65
Figure 2.12	Photograph of SEM	66

Figure 2.13	Basic instrumentation scheme of scanning electron microscope	69
Figure 2.14	Schematic representation of working of XRC	70
Figure 2.15	Representation of principle of atomic force microscopy and photograph of atomic force microscope	72
Figure 2.16	Stress and shear rate dependency on physical properties	77
Figure 3.1	¹ H NMR spectra (500 MHz, DMSO-d ₆ , 298 K) for (A) precursor compound citric hydrazine (CAHN), (B) isomer 1, where sketch diagram of two plausible conformers anti-anti-syn and syn-anti-syn in the ratio of 3:2 are pasted along with their corresponding asterisk and oval shape symbol label and (C) two plausible conformers anti-anti-syn and syn-anti-syn of isomer 1 converted into single conformer anti-anti-anti upon treatment with LiOH. The labile protons regions –NH and –OH were deprotonated after treatment with LiOH (deprotonated region shown by blue dotted circle)	89
Figure 3.2	ESI-MS spectra of Isomer 1 and isomer 2	90
Figure 3.3	DFT optimized structures of (A) Syn-Syn-Anti and (B) Anti-Anti-Syn conformers of isomer 1 with their dipole moments and energies, respectively	91
Figure 3.4	(A) Absence of gelation with other metal salts a) Zn(OAc) ₂ , b) Cu(OAc) ₂ , c) Mn(OAc) ₂ , d) Cd(NO ₃) ₂ , e) CdCl ₂ , f) Co(OAc) ₂ , g) Ni(OAc) ₂ , (B) Gelation test of isomer 2+LiOH with Cd(OAc) ₂ in DMF under similar conditions to isomer 1 shows transparent solution instead of gel indicating the importance of position of –OH in gelation. (C) Isomer 1 with NaOH, KOH, CsOH (a, b and c respectively) possess similar visible appearance as isomer 1+ LiOH but show no gelation with Cd(OAc) ₂ under similar conditions	94
Figure 3.5	Mode and the role of various physical stimuli upon gelation behavior has been observed	95
Figure 3.6	A pictorial representation among various manners of physical assets in one gel ('many in one') like (A). Thermal as well as mechanical reversible property, (B) can be utilized for writing purpose and also can be transferred through injection from one vial to another vial	96
Figure 3.7	Solid state reaction of isomer 1 with LiOH upon grinding produced fluorescence (light green) and upon further addition of Cd(II) it produces blue fluorescence. Interesting to note that upon the addition of DMF and after sonication, this solid pre-gelator performed gelation again	97
Figure 3.8	Change in behavior of gelation for isomer 1 and 2 were observed along with binding moieties responsible for gel formation	98
Figure 3.9	TEM images of diluted samples (1x10 ⁻⁵ M) (A, B) before sonication reveals non directional wrecked aggregate growth at two different magnifications and (C, D) upon sonication wrecked aggregate converted into well ordered,	99

	directional, long range nano-fibers with average diameter of ~20 nm	
Figure 3.10	TEM images of non-sonicated solution and TEM images captured after sonication	100
Figure 3.11	AFM images of diluted samples (1×10^{-5} M) (A) Before Sonicated, sample exhibiting scattered aggregate appearance with (A1) rough surface morphology, and (B) Sonicated diluted gel, showing nano-fibrous morphology with (B1) almost smooth surface structure appeared due to the uniform nano fiber formation. (A2, B2) EDAX plot shows that the elemental profile is quite similar before and after sonication	101
Figure 3.12	(a)UV-vis titration of Isomer 1 with $\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2$ in the presence of LiOH as base showing the isosbestic change in spectra in a regular manner (a*) Job's Plot data of $\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2$ with Isomer 1 (a [#]) Number of equivalents added till last aliquot of metal addition	103
Figure 3.13	(b)UV-vis titration of Isomer 1 with $\text{Zn}(\text{OAc})_2$ in the presence of LiOH as base showing nice isosbestic curves (b*) Job's Plot data of $\text{Zn}(\text{OAc})_2$ with Isomer 1 (b [#]) Number of equivalents added till the last aliquot of metal addition	104
Figure 3.14	(c)UV-vis titration of Isomer 1 with $\text{Cu}(\text{OAc})_2$ in the presence of LiOH as base showing dissimilar pattern from Cd(II) and Zn(II) (c*) Job's Plot data of $\text{Cu}(\text{OAc})_2$ with Isomer 1 (C [#]) Number of equivalents added till the last aliquot of metal addition	105
Figure 3.15	UV-vis titrations in DMF (A) ligand 1 (black line; λ_{max} 322 nm; 1×10^{-5} M), deprotonation with LiOH (3 equiv., red line) and upon aliquot addition of $\text{Cd}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ (blue line) band corresponding to ligand diminishes and a new band appears at 378 nm, simultaneously through isosbestic point; (B) similar titration experiment with isomer 2 in the presence of LiOH and $\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2$, (C) Breaking of aggregation upon addition of HCl	106
Figure 3.16	Effect of sonication monitored in UV-vis experiment of deprotonated 1 (0.5×10^{-4} M, DMF) (A) Band corresponding to 1/LiOH/ $\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2$ decreases and dynamically converted into band corresponding to ligand 1 upon brief sonication with 30 seconds interval suggests that there must be demetallation; (B) Again recover the band corresponding to Cd(II)-complex with resting time approximately 5 minutes	107
Figure 3.17	(C)Comparative decrease in absorbance with sonication and reappearance of peak with time indicates the demetallation with sonication and remetallation with resting, while (D) shows no effect of sonication with non-gelling combinations 1/KOH/ $\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2$ indicates role of Li^+ in gelation	108
Figure 3.18	(E) shows no effect of sonication with non-gelling combinations 1/LiOH/ $\text{Zn}(\text{OAc})_2$ indicates the role of Cd^{2+} in gelation. (F) Shows no effect of sonication with non-gelling combinations 1/KOH/ $\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2$ indicates role of Li^+ in gelation	109

Figure 3.19	Fluorescence experiments in DMF (A) stepwise complex formation of isomer 1 ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 322$ nm, blue line, 1×10^{-4} M) with LiOH (red line) and $\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2$ (black lines). (B) Effect of sonication (black lines, 2×10^{-2} M, 298 K) on the solution of $1/\text{LiOH}/\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2$ and time-dependent evolution (black dotted and red lines)	112
Figure 3.20	Fluorescence experiment (B1) and (B2), dilution of sonometallogel to prove the presence of AIE and ACQ phenomenon	113
Figure 3.21	(A) Effect of sonication on fluorescence spectra (2×10^{-2} M, gelation concentration), where, blue line before sonication and red dotted line after sonication. (B) There is no effect of sonication on the emission intensity of non-gelling combinations	114
Figure 3.22	(B1) lifetime measurement corresponding to non-gelling combination $1/\text{LiOH}/\text{Zn}(\text{OAc})_2$, (C) The solution of $1/\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2$ before (blue line) and after (red dotted line) sonication, addition of LiOH and sonication (red continuous line) and increment in intensity with time (black lines)	115
Figure 3.23	(left) Fluorescence lifetime measurement of $1/\text{LiOH}/\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2$ before (red line) and after (blue line) sonication. (right) lifetime measurement corresponding to the non-gelling combination	116
Figure 3.24	Fluorescence experiments in DMF (A) isomer 2 ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 322$ nm, blue line, 1×10^{-4} M) with LiOH (red line) and $\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2$ (black lines) showing no major fluorescence. (B) Variable temperature in the range 20-90 °C of freshly prepared gel (0.6 % w/v; $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 378$ nm, blue line) and after cooling down to 20 °C (pink line). (C) Sonometallogel (red line, 2×10^{-2} M, $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 378$ nm) after dilute acid treatment (black line) along with their visual changes	116
Figure 3.25	Sketch diagram shows the possibility of conformational changes in 1 at various stage (A) ligand 1 in two possible conformations anti-anti-syn and anti-syn-syn, (B) upon LiOH deprotonation (Li^+ interaction) of ligand changed into single conformation anti-anti-anti, (C) upon Cd^{2+} chelation again changes into syn-syn-anti conformation which has been justified by IR, UV-vis, fluorescence and NMR experiments	118
Figure 3.26	FTIR spectra for (A) Isomer 1 illustrate $\nu\text{C}=\text{O}$ at 1661, 1621 cm^{-1} and $\text{C}=\text{N}$ at 1526 cm^{-1} , (B) xerogel indicating the down shift of $\nu\text{C}=\text{O}$ at 1610 and 1539 cm^{-1} and $\text{C}=\text{N}$ at 1470 cm^{-1} along with unshifted peak related to $\text{C}=\text{O}$ at 1661 cm^{-1} , which supports the binding mode of ligand with $\text{Cd}(\text{II})$ and Li^+	118
Figure 3.27	ESI-MS spectra of Isomer 1 and metallogel where excellent corroboration with isotopic abundance pattern was observed in later	119
Figure 3.28	(A) Job's plot for LiOH deprotonated 1 vs. $\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2$ showing 1:1 stoichiometry (B) The experimental isotopic abundance pattern of molecular ion peak of $[\text{1}+\text{Cd}(\text{II})+\text{H}]^+$ matches nicely with simulated which supports the results obtained from Job's plot (C) The possible structure of complex is	120

	coordination polymer.	
Figure 3.29	Sketch diagram of asymmetric unit (1/Li ⁺ /Cd(II)) involved in sonometallogel formation along with isotopic abundance pattern of molecular ion (black line) matches nicely with simulated (red dotted) and (B) ORTEP diagram at 30% thermal ellipsoid probability of 1/Li ⁺ /Zn(II) along with isotopic abundance pattern of molecular ion (black line) matches nicely with simulated (red dotted). The H-atoms are omitted for clarity	121
Figure 3.30	ESI-MS (DMF) spectra of (A) diluted metallogel shows the molecular ion peak of asymmetric unit m/z, [1+Cd(II)+H] ⁺ , 659.08 (calcd. 659.08) with matching profile of isotopic abundance pattern of experimental (black line) and simulated (red dotted), supporting the complex formation is at 1:1 ratio. Further analysis of full spectrum shows the repetition of asymmetric at m/z 1315.15 with complete matching of isotopic abundance pattern of experimental (black line) with simulated (red dotted) confirms the coordination polymeric nature of structure involved in sonometallogel formation. (B) The mixture of 1/Li ⁺ /Cd(II) before sonication exhibits very less intense asymmetric unit molecular ion peak at m/z, [1+Cd(II)+H] ⁺ , 659.08 (calcd. 659.08), where isotopic abundance pattern of experimental matches nicely with simulated. Notably, other peaks are absent at higher m/z like 1315.15 which also confirms the role of sonication in stable coordination polymeric complex formation as well as gelation. (C) Crystals obtained from (1/Li ⁺ /Zn(II)) combination shows the molecular ion peak at m/z 1281.09. The isotopic abundance pattern of experimental (black line) also matches nicely with simulated (red dotted line). Notably, there were no peaks observed for any indication of coordination polymeric complex formation which indirectly confirms the coordination polymer formation in sonometallogel.	122-123
Figure 3.31	Powder X-ray diffraction pattern of isomer 1 (black line), 1/3 LiOH (green line), 1/3 LiOH/Cd(OAc) ₂ (blue line; before sonication) and xerogel (red line) indicating ligand losses its crystalline nature upon deprotonation and completely amorphous upon complexation and gelation (xerogel)	124
Figure 3.32	The Thermo Gravimetric Analysis (TGA) along with derivative plot for (A) the isolated compound from xerogel (washed with H ₂ O to remove extra salts and vacuum dried) shows 5.39% and 10.85% weight loss within the temperature range 45–190 °C, which suggests loss of two lattice water and one DMF molecules, respectively (weight loss as per TGA: 5.39% (calcd. for 2H ₂ O 5.47%); 10.85 % (calcd. for DMF 11.09 %) and 14.06, 13.75, 22.88 % are corresponding to various kind of degradation of ligand) and (B) the crystals exhibits weight loss as per TGA: 15.66 % (calcd. for: 13H ₂ O + CH ₃ OH 15.63%) which was also observed in crystal structure	125
Figure 3.33	Rheology of the freshly prepared gel (0.6%, w/v) (A) Dynamic shear stress of Storage modulus (G') and loss modulus (G'') at frequency of 0.01 rad s ⁻¹ and 25 °C, (B) Dynamic frequency sweep for G' and G'' with the applied strain, (C) G' and G'' in the temperature range 25 – 160 °C indicates the deformation of gel starts from ~110 °C and finished at ~150 °C and (D) The plot between loss tangent (tan δ) and temperature also suggests that the long range deformation of gel with a T _{gel} ~110°C	127

Figure 3.34	(Left) experimental Nyquist impedance diagrams ($-\text{Im}(Z)$ vs. $\text{Re}(Z)$) measured between 2.5 MHz and 1 Hz on the same sample at 291 K before and after a 2 min sonication step. Dotted lines represent the best fit model obtained with three components equivalent circuits R_1+Q_1/R_2 (after sonication) or C_1/R_1+Q_1 (before sonication). (Right) Temperature dependence of the ionic conductivity measured on the gel sample	129
Figure 3.35	NMR titration of isomer 1 with LiOH and $\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2$ exhibiting peak shift towards downfield upon LiOH addition which further retains back upfield upon addition of $\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2$	130
Figure 3.36	A comparative stepwise synthetic scheme along with fluorescence change (A) structural (positional) isomers 1 produce fluorescent metallogel upon reaction with LiOH and $\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2$ in DMF under ultrasonication. The structure of metallogelator and xerogel could derive from various instrumental techniques. (B) regioisomer 2 produces non fluorescent solution under similar reaction conditions to isomer 1. (C) Isomer 1 produces fluorescent crystals when Cd(II) is replaced by Zn(II). The crystal structure and its solution studies shows the binding mode and ration of metal-ligand different from Cd(II)- gel structure, it is probably due structural dissimilarity with Cd(II) and Zn(II) produces two different entity viz. gel and crystal	131
Figure 3.37	A model representation of plausible mechanism of the gelation along with structural changes under the influence of ultrasonication, while Zn(II) produces crystal structure. ORTEP diagram with 30% thermal ellipsoid probability and H atoms are removed for clarity.	133
Figure 4.1	Origin of the idea behind the synthesis of gelator formed by adipic acid	137
Figure 4.2	Chain length specific gelation behavior of saturated dicarboxylic acid analogues ($n=0$ to 6 and para isomer) where gelation observed only for $n=2$ and $n=4$.	143
Figure 4.3	^1H NMR spectra (500 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$, 298 K) for (A) isomer ADL, where sketch diagram of three plausible conformers anti-anti, syn-anti and syn-syn are shown (B) three plausible conformers of isomer AL converted into single conformer anti-syn upon treatment with LiOH. The labile protons regions –NH and –OH were deprotonated after treatment with LiOH (deprotonated region shown by red dotted circle).	147
Figure 4.4	Gel shows mechanical stimuli responsive behavior and also injectibility towards desired location in order to check ease of transportation.	150
Figure 4.5	Schematic representation of gelation ability of various homologues with $\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{Zn}(\text{OAc})_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$; high strain and a higher degree of freedom with variable chain length cease the gelation ability	151
Figure 4.6	Photographs of CPG: From left to right- In inverted vial (a) Lithium, (b)	152

	Sodium and (c) Potassium gel with $\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, in inverted vial under (A: Under naked eye), (B: In short UV), (C: UV light, $\lambda = 365 \text{ nm}$)	
Figure 4.7	Photographs of solid-state reaction product : From top to bottom on glass slide left Ligand + Lithium + $\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (A : Under naked eye), (B : In short UV), (C : UV light , $\lambda = 365 \text{ nm}$); right Ligand + Lithium + $\text{Zn}(\text{OAc})_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (A : Under naked eye), (B : In short UV), (C : UV light , $\lambda = 365 \text{ nm}$)	153
Figure 4.8	TEM images of diluted samples (A, B) reveals long range nano-fibers with average diameter of $\sim 30 \text{ nm}$.	154
Figure 4.9	Powder X-ray diffraction pattern of isomer AL (black line), AL/LiOH/Zn(II) (red line), AL/LiOH/Cd(II) (blue line), AL/KOH/Zn(II) (green line), AL/KOH/Cd(II) (pink line) indicating the loss of crystalline behavior towards amorphous nature upon complexation and gelation (xerogel)	155
Figure 4.10	UV-vis titrations in DMF (A) ligand AL (black line; $\lambda_{\text{max}} 322 \text{ nm}$; $1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$), deprotonation with LiOH (2 equiv., red line) and upon aliquot addition of $\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2$ (blue line) band corresponding to ligand diminishes with appearance of new band at 378 nm , coincidentally with an isosbestic point; similar titration experiments performed with (B) $\text{Zn}(\text{OAc})_2$	157
Figure 4.11	(C) Para Isomer exhibit appearance of new peak upon deprotonation while peak intensity diminishes with $\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2$ addition (D) dilute acid treatment of ligand eventually blocks the binding site hence enforces to attain the ligand peak position due to protonation	158
Figure 4.12	UV-vis titrations in DMF (a) ligand OL, (black line; $\lambda_{\text{max}} 320 \text{ nm}$; $1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$), deprotonation with LiOH (4 equiv., red line) and upon aliquot addition of $\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2$ (blue line) band corresponding to ligand diminishes ratiometrically with appearance of new peak at 418 nm along with fine isosbestic point, similar titration experiment with (b) ligand ML	159
Figure 4.13	UV-vis titrations in DMF (a) ligand OL, (black line; $\lambda_{\text{max}} 320 \text{ nm}$; $1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$), deprotonation with LiOH (4 equiv., red line) and upon aliquot addition of $\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2$ (blue line) band (c) ligand SL, (d) ligand GL	160
Figure 4.14	UV-vis titrations in DMF (a) ligand OL, (black line; $\lambda_{\text{max}} 320 \text{ nm}$; $1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$), deprotonation with LiOH (4 equiv., red line) and upon aliquot addition of $\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2$ (blue line) band (c) ligand PL, (d) ligand SUL	161
Figure 4.15	UV-vis titrations in DMF (A) ligand AL (black line; $\lambda_{\text{max}} 322 \text{ nm}$; $1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$), deprotonation with LiOH (2 equiv., red line) and upon aliquot addition of $\text{Cd}(\text{OAc})_2$ (blue line) band corresponding to ligand diminishes with appearance of new band at 378 nm , coincidentally with an isosbestic point; similar titration experiments performed with (B) $\text{Zn}(\text{OAc})_2$ and (C) $\text{Cu}(\text{OAc})_2$ (D) $\text{Cd}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ shows nearly similar peak position, but variation in fluorescence behavior discussed later signify role of acetate ion towards aggregation and in turn gelation (E) Para Isomer exhibit appearance of new	162

	peak upon deprotonation while peak intensity diminishes with Cd(OAc) ₂ addition (F) dilute acid treatment of ligand eventually blocks the binding site hence enforces to attain the ligand peak position due to protonation	
Figure 4.16	Job's plot of all homologues with Cd ²⁺ in presence of LiOH	163
Figure 4.17	Fluorescence spectra in DMF (Red line = deprotonated ligand, Blue line =Metal addition) (A) Oxallic ligand ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 339 \text{ nm}$), (B) Malonic ligand ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 322 \text{ nm}$)	165
Figure 4.18	Fluorescence spectra in DMF (Red line = deprotonated ligand, Blue line = Metal addition) (C) Succinic ligand ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 321 \text{ nm}$), (D) Glutaric Ligand ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 321 \text{ nm}$)	166
Figure 4.19	Fluorescence spectra in DMF (Red line = deprotonated ligand, Blue line = Metal addition) (E) Adipic ligand ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 320 \text{ nm}$), (F) Pimelic ligand ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 320 \text{ nm}$)	167
Figure 4.20	Fluorescence spectra in DMF (Red line = deprotonated ligand, Blue line = Metal addition) (G) Suberic ligand ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 320 \text{ nm}$), (H) Para isomer ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 292 \text{ nm}$).	168
Figure 4.21	Upon dilution of gel dilution of metallo gel emission peak at 480 nm displayed a large blue shift of ~24 nm (a) quenching (observed in the range of 10 ⁻² to 10 ⁻³ M) and its enhancement (for 10 ⁻³ to 10 ⁻⁴ M dilution) which in turn indicates the presence of aggregation	169
Figure 4.22	Fluorescence spectra in DMF (Red line = deprotonated ligand, Blue line = Metal addition) (A) Titration of Adipic ligand (1x10 ⁻³ M) with Cd(OAc) ₂ without LiOH, (B) deprotonated ligand with Cd(NO ₃) ₂ , (C) Upon HCl addition to metallo gel emission intensity diminishes	170
Figure 4.23	Comparative IR spectra of Gelator with Xerogel	171
Figure 4.24	FTIR spectra for (A) Isomer AL illustrate $\nu\text{C=O}$ at 1666 cm ⁻¹ and $\nu\text{C=N}$ at 1558 cm ⁻¹ , (B) AL deprotonated with LiOH	172
Figure 4.25	FTIR spectra for (C) xerogel indicating the down shift of $\nu\text{C=O}$ at 1609 and 1540 cm ⁻¹ and $\nu\text{C=N}$ at 1465 cm ⁻¹ and 1435 cm ⁻¹ which supports the binding mode of ligand with Cd ²⁺ and Li ⁺ , (D) AL/Li ⁺ /Zn ²⁺	173
Figure 4.26	FTIR spectra for (E) AL/K ⁺ /Cd ²⁺ , (F) AL/K ⁺ /Zn ²⁺ illustrate similar binding pattern	174
Figure 4.27	ESI-MS (DMF) spectra of (A) diluted metallo gel shows the molecular ion peak of AL m/z, [1+H] ⁺ , 382.16 (calcd. 382.19) and metallo gel molecular ion peak m/z, [AL-2H-Cd(II)] ⁻ , 493.06 (calcd. 493.04) supporting the complex formation is at 1:1 ratio, (B) The experimental isotopic abundance pattern of molecular ion peak of [AL-2H-Cd(II)] ⁻ matches nicely with simulated pattern which is in accordance with Job's plot, [1]/[Cd ²⁺] = 1:1	176

Figure 4.28	ESI-MS (DMF) spectra of (A) diluted succinic metallogel shows the molecular ion peak of m/z, [SL-2H-Cd(II)] ⁺ , 467.03 (calcd. 467.04) supporting the complex formation is at 1:1 ratio, (B) diluted glutaric complex shows the molecular ion peak of m/z, [GL-2H-Cd(II)] ⁺ , 467.03 (calcd. 467.04)	177
Figure 4.29	ESI-MS (DMF) spectra of diluted pimelic complex shows the molecular ion peak of m/z, [PL-2H-Cd(II)] ⁺ , 509.05 (calcd. 509.05) supporting the complex formation is at 1:1 ratio, supporting the complex formation is at 1:1 ratio, matches nicely with simulated pattern which is in accordance with Job's plot	178
Figure 4.30	Lifetime measurements of deprotonated homologues (A) adipic ligand, (B) glutaric ligand (red line = deprotonated ligand; blue line = Cd(OAc) ₂ ; green line = Zn(OAc) ₂)	180
Figure 4.31	Lifetime measurements of deprotonated homologues (C) pimelic ligand (red line = deprotonated ligand; blue line = Cd(OAc) ₂ ; green line = Zn(OAc) ₂)	181
Figure 4.32	Job's plot for LiOH deprotonated AL vs. Cd(OAc) ₂ showing 1:1 stoichiometry performed in DMF, [Cd(II)]/[Cd(II)]+[AL] vs. absorbance monitored at 378 nm. (B) The 1:1 stoichiometry suggests that the possible complex may be coordination polymer as demonstrated through sketch diagram along with asymmetric unit highlighted through Dotted (red) circle	181
Figure 4.33	The Thermo Gravimetric Analysis (TGA) of isolated compound from xerogel (washed with H ₂ O to remove any extra salt and vacuum dried) along with derivative plot shows 4.35% and 32.9% weight loss within the temperature range 40–320° C, which suggests loss of one lattice water and one Cd(OH) ₂ molecules, respectively (weight loss as per TGA: 4.35 % (calcd. for H ₂ O 3.66 %); 32.9 % (calcd. for Cd(OH) ₂ 29.89 %) and 34.32 % are corresponding to various kind of degradation of complex)	182
Figure 4.34	(Upper) Dynamic shear stress of G' and G'' for gel and (lower) Dynamic oscillation strain sweep of G' and G'' for gel at frequency of 1 rad s ⁻¹ and 25 °C	184
Figure 4.35	(a) Dynamic frequency sweep measurement of G' and G'' for Cd gel at strain of 0.5%. Secondary axis: complex viscosity measurements and (b) Dynamic temperature ramp G' and G'' for Cd gel at heating rate of 1°C min ⁻¹ , strain of 0.5% and frequency of 1 rad s ⁻¹	185
Figure 4.36	(c) Dynamic temperature ramp of loss tangent (tanδ =G''/G') plot at 5°C min ⁻¹ , which indicates the critical temperature (T _{gel}) 100 °C for metallogel and (d) Dynamic temperature ramp of complex viscosity measurement at 5 °C min ⁻¹	186
Figure 4.37	Plausible mechanism and summary of gelation clearly indicating role of alkyl chain length in gelation behavior and formation of Coordination polymer in metallogel	187
Figure 5.1	¹ H NMR and FTIR spectra of gelator SHAL	194

Figure 5.2	Comparative IR spectra of gelator (black) and xerogel (red) showing coordination of Co^{2+} through O, N, O binding core	195
Figure 5.3	Mechanical and load bearing properties of Cobalt containing metallogel	196
Figure 5.4	TEM pictures of diluted metallogel (SHAL/LiOH/ $\text{Co}(\text{OAc})_2$; $\sim 10^{-3}$ M) under three different magnifications	197
Figure 5.5	TEM pictures of diluted metallogel (SHAL/LiOH/ $\text{Co}(\text{OAc})_2$; $\sim 10^{-3}$ M) under three different magnifications	198
Figure 5.6	UV-vis titrations in DMF (a) ligand SHAL, (black line; λ_{max} 320 nm; 1×10^{-5} M), deprotonation with LiOH (4 equiv., blue line) and upon aliquot addition of $\text{Co}(\text{OAc})_2$ (red line) band corresponding to ligand diminishes ratiometrically with appearance of new peak at 388 nm along with fine isosbestic point	199
Figure 5.7	Job's plot diagram of $[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}]/([\text{Co}^{\text{II}}]+[\text{SHAL}]$	201
Figure 5.8	Fluorescence spectra in DMF (Red line = deprotonated ligand, Blue line = Metal addition ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 320$ nm)	201
Figure 5.9	ESI-MS spectra of $[(\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{21}\text{N}_4\text{O}_4)\text{Co}_2\text{Li}_5]^-$ at 534.96 represents the experimental isotopic abundance pattern 1:1 polymeric coordination SHAL vs Co^{2+} repetitively (1 ligand + 2 metal ion in one asymmetric unit)	202
Figure 5.10	(upper) Dynamic temperature ramp G' and G'' for SHAL-Co gel at heating rate of 1°C min^{-1} , strain of 0.5% and frequency of 1 rad s^{-1} , (lower) Dynamic oscillation strain sweep of G' and G'' for gel at frequency of 1 rad s^{-1} and 25°C	203
Figure 5.11	(upper) Dynamic shear stress of G' and G'' for gel, (lower) Dynamic frequency sweep measurement of G' and G'' for Cd gel at strain of 0.5%. Secondary axis: complex viscosity measurements	204
Figure 5.12	Electronic absorption spectra (inset) and Tauc's plots for semiconducting gel film	206
Figure 5.13	J-V characteristics curve for ITO/complex/Au structured thin film devices at room temperature	208
Figure 5.14	Double log plot of J-V characteristics	209
Figure 5.15	J-V ² characteristics of ITO/SG/Au device	211
Figure 5.16	J-V characteristics of ITO/SG/Au under the dark condition and illumination of green light	212
Figure 6.1	¹ H NMR analysis of PRT	220

Figure 6.2	UV-visible absorption spectra of PRTU at different volume fractions of water	222
Figure 6.3	Emission spectra of PRT at different volume fractions of water	223
Figure 6.4	Aggregation induced emission is observed at various fractions of water addition with PRT from 10-90% volume increase from left to right in presence of long range UV light	224
Figure 6.5	PRT in various solvents (Methanol, DMSO, Acetonitrile, DCM, Hexane, THF, Methanol+water and Water) in visible light only	224
Figure 6.6	Photograph of PRT in various solvents (Methanol, DMSO, Acetonitrile, DCM, Hexane, THF, Methanol+water and Water) in long range UV light	224
Figure 6.7	SEM images of non-aggregate at 0% volume fraction of water with PRT	226
Figure 6.8	SEM images of aggregate formation at 10% volume fraction of water with PRT	226
Figure 6.9	SEM images of aggregate formation at 30% volume fraction of water with PRT	227
Figure 6.10	SEM images of aggregate formation at 50% volume fraction of water with PRT	227
Figure 6.11	SEM images of aggregate formation at 70% volume fraction of water with PRT	228
Figure 6.12	SEM images of aggregate formation at 90% volume fraction of water with PRT	228
Figure 6.13	AFM images of aggregate formation at various volume fraction of water with PRU reportedly showing first increase and then decrease in aggregation of PRT with Water	229
Figure 6.14	PXRD patterns of fluorophore PRT (black line), and its aggregates at 40%(red line) and 60% water fraction (blue line)	230
Figure 6.15	¹ H NMR titration of PRT with D ₂ O; aromatic region in ¹ H NMR spectra (a) PRT free from D ₂ O, (b) PRT upon addition of 30% D ₂ O, (c) 50% and (d) 70% D ₂ O	232
Figure 6.16	MTT assay of both PRU and PRT showing nontoxic behavior of both with various concentration of PRT and PRU compound for 24 hour. Data were represented as mean SEM from three independent experiments	234
Figure 6.17	the images showing the florescence emitted by MDAMB-231 cells on incubation with PRU and PRT complexes. 1.5x10 ⁵ Cells treated with the	235

	complexes at indicated concentration of (50 μ M) for 4h and 24h. The images were captured by an inverted fluorescence microscope (EVOS FL, Life technologies)	
Figure 6.18	Plausible Mechanism of formation of aggregate among PRT with water	237