

CONTENTS

List of Figures.....	ix
List of Tables.....	xxiv
Abbreviations.....	xxvii
Symbols.....	xxix
Preface.....	xxx
Chapter 1: Introduction and Literature Review.....	1
1.1 Historical sketch of alloys development.....	1
1.2 Historical background of HEAs.....	3
1.3 Core-effect of High Entropy Alloys.....	5
1.3.1 High entropy effect.....	5
1.3.2 Sluggish diffusion effect.....	6
1.3.3 Severe lattice distortion.....	6
1.3.4 Cocktail effect.....	7
1.4 Phase selection by parametric approach and CALPHAD.....	8
1.4.1 Parametric approach.....	8
1.4.2 CALPHAD modeling.....	12
1.5 High Entropy Steels and Fe-based HEAs.....	13
1.5.1 Synthesis.....	13
1.5.2 Structures.....	17
1.5.3 Thermal stability.....	18
1.6 Properties.....	20
1.6.1 Mechanical properties.....	21

1.6.2	Wear properties.....	26
1.6.3	Functional properties.....	29
1.7	Strengthening mechanisms.....	30
1.8	Application of High Entropy Steels and Fe-based HEAs.....	34
1.9	Motivation.....	35
1.10	Objective of the thesis.....	36
Chapter 2: Experimental Details.....		37
2.1	Alloy designations.....	37
2.2	Alloy synthesis.....	38
2.2.1	High energy ball milling.....	39
2.2.2	Spark plasma sintering.....	40
2.3	Structural and microstructural characterization.....	42
2.3.1	X-ray diffraction.....	42
2.3.2	Scanning electron microscopy and electron probe micro analyzer.....	44
2.3.3	Transmission electron microscopy.....	45
2.4	Thermal stability.....	46
2.4.1	Differential scanning calorimetry.....	46
2.4.2	Annealing treatment.....	46
2.5	Physical and mechanical properties.....	47
2.5.1	Density calculation.....	47
2.5.2	Microhardness.....	47
2.5.3	Compression test.....	48
2.6	Surface properties.....	48

2.6.1	Wear properties.....	48
2.6.2	Biocompatibility.....	49
2.7	CALPHAD.....	50
2.8	Melting point calculation.....	50
Chapter 3: Fe₄₀Mn₁₉Ni₁₅Al₁₅Si₁₀C₁ High Entropy Steel.....		52
3.1	Prediction of the melting point.....	52
3.2	Alloying behaviour of the Fe ₄₀ Mn ₁₉ Ni ₁₅ Al ₁₅ Si ₁₀ C ₁ HES.....	53
3.3	Morphology and chemical analysis of the Fe ₄₀ Mn ₁₉ Ni ₁₅ Al ₁₅ Si ₁₀ C ₁ HES powder.....	58
3.4	TEM analysis of milled powder sample.....	60
3.5	Thermal stability of the milled powder sample.....	61
3.6	Structural and microstructural analysis of SPSed sample.....	65
3.7	Physical and mechanical properties of SPSed sample.....	68
3.8	Sliding friction and wear behaviour of the SPSed sample.....	71
3.9	Worn surface morphology of the SPSed sample.....	73
3.10	Biocompatibility of the SPSed sample.....	75
3.11	Discussion.....	76
3.11.1	Alloying behaviour and microstructure.....	76
3.11.2	Thermal stability.....	79
3.11.3	Mechanical properties and strengthening mechanism.....	82
3.11.4	Wear behaviour and biocompatibility.....	86
3.12	Conclusions.....	87

Chapter 4: Fe₄₀Mn₁₄Cr₁₀Ni₁₀Al₁₅Si₁₀C₁ High Entropy Steel.....	89
4.1 Melting point prediction of the Fe ₄₀ Mn ₁₄ Ni ₁₀ Cr ₁₀ Al ₁₅ Si ₁₀ C ₁ HES.....	89
4.2 Alloying behaviour and structural analysis of milled powder sample.....	89
4.2.1 Phase evolution during mechanical alloying of the alloy.....	89
4.2.2 TEM analysis of milled powder sample.....	93
4.2.3 Powder morphology and chemical analysis of milled powder sample.....	95
4.3 Thermal stability and phase evolution of milled powder sample.....	97
4.4 Structural and microstructural analysis of SPSed sample.....	100
4.5 Physical and mechanical properties of the SPSed sample.....	106
4.6 Surface properties of the SPSed sample.....	108
4.6.1 Wear study of the SPSed sample.....	108
4.6.2 In-vitro biocompatibility analysis of SPSed sample.....	111
4.7 Discussion.....	113
4.8 Conclusions.....	120
Chapter 5: Fe₄₀Mn₁₄Ti₁₀Ni₁₀Al₁₅Si₁₀C₁ High Entropy Steel.....	122
5.1 Melting point prediction of the alloy.....	122
5.2 Alloying behaviour of milled powder sample.....	122
5.3 TEM analysis of the powder sample.....	126
5.4 Surface morphology of the mechanically alloyed sample.....	128
5.5 Thermal stability of mechanically alloyed sample.....	129
5.6 Structural analysis of the SPSed sample.....	132
5.7 Microstructural and chemical analysis of the SPSed analysis.....	134
5.8 Physical and mechanical properties of the SPSed sample.....	137

5.9	Wear behaviour of the SPSed sample.....	139
5.10	Biocompatibility of the SPSed sample.....	142
5.11	Discussion.....	144
5.11.1	Phase evolution and formation.....	144
5.11.2	Thermal stability.....	147
5.11.3	Strengthening mechanism.....	149
5.11.4	Wear behaviour and mechanism.....	153
5.12	Conclusions.....	154
Chapter 6:	Fe₄₀Mn₂₀Cr_{20-x}Ni_xTi₁₀Al₁₀ (x =0, 5, and 10 at. %) High Entropy Alloys.....	156
6.1	Melting point prediction of the Fe-based HEAs.....	156
6.2	Alloying behaviour of milled powder samples of Fe-based HEAs.....	158
6.3	Morphology analysis and chemical analysis of Fe-based HEAs.....	162
6.4	Thermal stability of the as-milled powder samples.....	166
6.5	Structural and microstructural analysis of SPSed samples of Fe-based HEAs.....	170
6.6	Physical and mechanical properties of the SPSed sample of Fe-based HEAs.....	174
6.7	Wear behaviour of the SPSed samples of Fe-based HEAs.....	177
6.8	Biocompatibility of the spsed samples of Fe-based HEAs.....	181
6.9	Discussion.....	183
6.9.1	Alloying behaviour and microstructure.....	183
6.9.2	Thermal stability.....	185
6.9.3	Mechanical properties and strengthening mechanism.....	189
6.10	Conclusion.....	193

Chapter 7: Summary and Suggestions for Future Work.....	195
7.1 Summary.....	195
7.2 Suggestions for future work.....	197
References.	199
List of Publications and Patents.....	226
List of Conferences and Workshops.....	227

List of Figures

Figure 1.1:	Development of various materials with the time [19]	2
Figure 1.2:	The lattice distortion in pure metals (BCC), binary alloys, and HEAs are shown schematic [76].....	7
Figure 1.3:	Summary of the four distinct core effects of the HEAs [19].....	8
Figure 1.4:	The effect of configurational entropy, mixing enthalpy and atomic mismatch on the phase formation of the multicomponent HEAs [2].....	11
Figure 1.5:	Relationship between δ and Ω parameters for the multiprincipal alloys. Solid solution (S) indicates that the multiprincipal alloys only form solid solutions. Intermetallics (I) infers that the alloy consisting of majorly intermetallic phase. S+I imply that the alloy composed of solid solution along with intermetallic as precipitates. BMGs demonstrates that the alloy have the amorphous phase (completely) [19].....	12
Figure 1.6:	Summary of the various synthesis routes and its microstructures formed in the high entropy steels and Fe-based HEAs [64, 101, 122, 128, 129].....	16
Figure 1.7:	(a) Total elongation to fracture vs ultimate tensile strength of different types of steels and high entropy steels [60]; (b) Yield strength vs elongation of various conventional alloys and non-equiatomic HEAs [15].....	22
Figure 3.1:	Liquidus temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) values of possible binary compositions in the non-equiatomic FeMnNiAlSiC high entropy steel.....	53
Figure 3.2:	Figure 3.2: (a) XRD patterns of the milled samples at various milling time; (b) Enlarged view along the (110) plane in 2θ range from 49° - 54° ; (c) Blown-up image along the (110) plane; (d) Deconvoluted image in between 2θ range from	

50.5°- 54°. This shows the alloying behaviour of elements with milling time and finally formed the multi-phase structure (BCC, γ -brass type and B2-type) coexisted with trace amount of Si..... 54

Figure 3.3: (a) XRD pattern of the 35 h milled sample; (b) Reitveld refinement of the corresponding sample. This shows the formation of the multi-phase structure (ferritic as a major phase) after 35 h of milling..... 56

Figure 3.4: (a-d) SEM micrographs of powder samples milled at different milling time; (d) also marked the full area for EDS analysis; (e) Histograms showing the distribution of the particle size of milled samples at 10 h, 20 h, 30 h and 35 h, respectively. The histogram shows the distribution of the powder particle with the milling time. The average powder particle decreases with the prolongs milling time..... 58

Figure 3.5: Area elemental mapping of the 35 h green powder sample..... 59

Figure 3.6: (a) The TEM bright-field image of the 35 h milled sample; (b) its corresponding selected area diffraction ring pattern; (c) Dark field image taken along the (110) plane. Polycrystalline ring pattern corresponds to the BCC phase..... 61

Figure 3.7: DSC thermogram of milled powder sample of the high entropy steel from 200 °C to 1000 °C..... 62

Figure 3.8: (a) Ex-situ XRD diffraction pattern of the milled sample at R.T., 400 °C, 500 °C, and 600 °C; (b and c) Deconvoluted peaks for the 500 °C and 600 °C. The formation of Fe₅Si₃-type intermetallic phase is correlate with exothermic event at 520 °C..... 63

Figure 3.9: (a) XRD pattern of the SPSed pellet; (b) Deconvolution of the SPSed sample ranging from 51°- 54°; (c) Reitveld refinement plot of the SPSed pellet. The ferritic (BCC) type high entropy steel with B2, γ -brass type structure and Fe_5Si_3 type intermetallics can be depicted..... 65

Figure 3.10: (a and b) SEM image of the SPSed sample of the non-equiatomic high entropy steel at different magnifications; (c) Full area EDS analysis of the marked area (in (b)). The different contrast i.e., white (2), light grey (1), dark grey (3) contrast are clearly visible in SEM images..... 66

Figure 3.11: BSE image and EPMA elemental mapping of SPSed sample..... 68

Figure 3.12: (a) Depth of penetration vs Indentation load; (b) Optical micrograph of the indentation spot; (c) Compressive engineering stress and strain curve; (d) Radar diagram. This illustrates the physical and mechanical properties of the SPSed pellet..... 69

Figure 3.13: Figure 3.13: (a, b, c, and d) SEM (SE) micrograph at different magnification of the fractured surface of SPSed sample of the HES1..... 70

Figure 3.14: (a) Change in coefficient of friction (CoF) as a function of time (s) during sliding wear under the various normal load i.e., 5 N, 10 N and 20 N at 10 Hz frequency for SPSed sample; (b) Average coefficient of friction and wear rate against the various normal applied load i.e., 5 N, 10 N and 20 N..... 72

Figure 3.15: SEM (SE mode) micrographs at different magnification of wear fractured SPSed sample of the HES1; (a and b) at 20 N; (c and d) at 10 N; (e and f) at 5 N load condition..... 74

Figure 3.16: Concentration-dependent quantitative analysis (MTT assays) of mesenchymal stem cell (MG-63) on control (316L) and SPSed sample of non-equiatomic FeMnNiAlSiC HES after 3, 5 and 7 days. The symbol (◆) represents the statistically significance differences at $p \leq 0.05$ in the mean optical density (O.D.) among the SPSed sample of HES1 with the control sample (316L) treated for 3 days with 5 and 7 days. The symbol (●) represents the statistically differences in the O.D. among all the sample, treated for 5 days with respect to sample treated for 7 days. The symbol (▲) represents the statistically differences in the O.D. among the 316L with high entropy steel sample treated for 7 days..... 75

Figure 3.17: Binary enthalpy (kJ/mol) of all the binary alloys in the non-equiatomic FeMnNiAlSiC HES..... 78

Figure 3.18: Property diagram represent the phase transformation and phase composition with the function of temperature through CALPHAD modelling (TCFE8) in the non-equiatomic FeMnNiAlSiC high entropy steel. (a) Amount of all the phases versus temperature; (b-f) The elemental composition in all the phases and their stability with respect to temperature..... 82

Figure 3.19: (a) The values of the various strengthening mechanism and experimental yield strength (Bar plot); (b) The pie chart for the percentage's contribution of the various strengthening mechanism..... 86

Figure 4.1: (a) XRD patterns of the milled samples at various milling time; (b) Enlarged view along the (110) plane in $2\theta = 42^\circ - 46^\circ$. This shows the alloying behaviour

	of alloy with the function of milling time, and it forms the dual-phase structure (BCC and χ -type phase) under 40 h of milling.....	91
Figure 4.2:	(a) XRD pattern of the 40 h milled powder sample; (b) Reitveld refinement of the corresponding sample. This represents the phases formed are dual-phase structure consisting of BCC and χ -phase type structure along with undissolved Si.....	93
Figure 4.3:	(a) TEM bright-field image of the 40 h milled powder sample; (b) its corresponding selected area diffraction ring pattern; (c) dark field image of the 40 h milled powder sample. SAED pattern corresponds to the χ -type phase structure.....	94
Figure 4.4:	(a-d) SEM micrographs of powder samples milled at different milling time i.e., 10 h, 20 h, 30 h and 40 h, respectively. Figure 2(d) also marked the full area for EDS analysis; (e) Full area EDS analysis of 40 h milled powder; (f) Histograms showing the distribution of the particle size of milled samples from top to bottom for 40 h, 30 h, 30 h and 10 h. The histogram shows the distribution of the powder particle with the milling time. The average powder particle decreases with the prolongs milling time.....	95
Figure 4.5:	SEM micrograph of the 40 h milled powder, marked for point EDS analysis and EDS mapping. This shows the area elemental mapping and the elemental overlay. This clearly illustrates that the χ -type phase structure is rich in Fe, Cr and Mn. The unsymmetrical area marked from dotted blue line shows that the Al, Si and C elements is lean in χ -type phase structure.....	97

Figure 4.6: DSC thermogram of the 40 h milled powder of the non-equiatomic FeMnNiCrAlSiC high entropy steel from 200 °C to 1000 °C..... 98

Figure 4.7: (a) Ex-situ XRD diffraction pattern of the milled sample at 25 °C, 400 °C, 600 °C, 700 °C and 900 °C; (b) Enlarge view of diffraction peaks along the (110) plane in $2\theta = 50^\circ\text{-}54^\circ$; (c-e) Deconvoluted peaks for the 600 °C, 700 °C and 900 °C, respectively. The formation of Cr₃Si-type intermetallic phase is correlate with exothermic event at 460°C..... 99

Figure 4.8: (a) XRD pattern of SPSed sample; (b) Deconvoluted image along the (111) plane; (c) Reitveld refinement of SPSed sample. The phases formed after the SPSed were FCC as the major phase, B2 as minor phase along with the intermetallics Cr₂₃C₆ and Cr₃Si type..... 102

Figure 4.9: (a) and (b) SEM (BSE) image of the SPSed sample of the high entropy steel at different magnifications; (c) Full area EDS analysis of the marked area (in (b)). The different contrast i.e., light grey (1), dark grey (2) with light and dark grey spherical precipitates are clearly visible in SEM images..... 104

Figure 4.10: Elemental distribution and elemental overlay of the SPSed sample. Mapping shows the uniform distribution of the individual elements..... 105

Figure 4.11: (a) Depth of penetration Vs Indentation load; (b) Optical micrograph of the indentation spot; (c) Compressive stress and strain curve; (d) The radar diagram manifests the physical and mechanical properties of the SPSed sample; (e) The values of the various strengthening mechanism and experimental yield strength (Bar plot); (f) The pie chart for the percentage's contribution of the various strengthening mechanism..... 107

Figure 4.12: SEM micrograph of the fractured sample at different magnifications after the compression test..... 108

Figure 4.13: (a) Coefficient of friction vs time at different load conditions of the SPSed sample; (b) The values of average CoF and specific wear rate at different load condition..... 109

Figure 4.14: Figure 4.14: SEM (SE) micrographs of the worn surface (a) at 5 N; (b) at 10 N; (c) at 20 N. This worn surface at different load condition shows the scratches, ploughing and wear debris features..... 110

Figure 4.15: Concentration-dependent quantitative analysis (MTT assays) of MG-63 on control and SPSed sample after 3, 5, and 7 days. The symbol (♠) represents the statistically differences in the optical density (O.D.) among the SPSed sample with the control sample treated for 3, 5, and 7 days. The symbol (♣) represents the statistically differences in the O.D. among all the sample, treated for 5 days with respect to sample treated for 7 days. The symbol (♥) represents the statistically differences in the O.D. among all the sample treated for 7 days..112

Figure 4.16: Binary enthalpy (kJ/mol) of all the binary pairs in the non-equiatomic FeMnNiCrAlSiC HES..... 114

Figure 4.17: (a) Property diagram of the non-equiatomic FeMnNiCrAlSiC high entropy steel; (b-f) The elemental composition in all the phases and their stability with respect to temperature..... 117

Figure 5.1: (a) XRD pattern of the HES3 powder at various milling time; (b) XRD pattern of the 30 h milled powder. The dual-phase formed during the milling consisting

of BCC ($a = 0.287$ nm) and γ -brass type ($a = 0.890$ nm) structure along with undissolved Si..... 123

Figure 5.2: Reitveld refinement of XRD patterns of milled powder at (a) 20 h; $wR = 2.716$ %, $GoF = 1.07$, and $\chi^2 = 1.15$, (b) 25 h; $wR = 2.637$ %, $GoF = 1.07$, and $\chi^2 = 1.15$, (c) 30 h; $wR = 2.596$ %, $GoF = 1.06$, and $\chi^2 = 1.12$ 125

Figure 5.3: The TEM micrographs of as-milled powder showing (a) bright-field image; (b) corresponding selected area electron diffraction spot pattern. This confirms that the nanocrystalline grains formed with the γ -brass type structure ($Z.A. = [120]$)..... 127

Figure 5.4: The size and shape of the powder particle at (a) 0 h; (b) 10 h; (c) 20 h; (d) 30 h and full area for EDS, and (e) EDS spectrum of the full area. The SEM micrographs exhibit the sizeable river pattern on the surfaces. The distribution of the particle size of powder particles at different milling duration. (f) The histogram exhibit that the particle present in the powders were of different size and their average particle size decreased with the milling time..... 128

Figure 5.5: Area elemental mapping of 30 h of milled powder green compact sample of HES3..... 129

Figure 5.6: DSC thermogram of 30 h milled powder of non-equiatomic FeMnNiTiAlSiC high entropy steel heated up to 1050 °C. The figure shows the phase transformation occurs at T_1 , T_2 , T_3 and T_4 130

Figure 5.7: XRD pattern representing the phases formed during annealing of 30 h milled powder at temperature of 500 °C, 600 °C, 900 °C and 1100 °C. The phases formed were FCC solid solution along with the intermetallics Fe_5Si_3 type and

TiC (in between 600 °C - 900 °C). XRD pattern of the 1100 °C exhibits the change in the amount of phase fraction of the BCC and FCC..... 131

Figure 5.8: (a) XRD pattern of SPSed sample; (b) Enlarge view of the marked area between 48^o-58^o; (c) Reitveld refinement of XRD pattern of SPSed sample of the HES3; wR = 3.145 %, GoF = 1.32 and $\chi^2 = 1.75$. The phases formed after the sintering (SPS) were FCC as the major phase, BCC as secondary phase along with the intermetallics TiC and Fe₅Si₃ type..... 133

Figure 5.9: (a) and (b) SEM micrograph showing the microstructural features of the SPSed sample of the high entropy steel at different magnifications; (b) Microstructural region SPSed samples investigated for full area EDS analysis; (c) EDS spectrum corresponding to the full area scanning of the microstructure shown in (b). The light grey, dark grey, white and black contrast were marked as 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively in (b)..... 135

Figure 5.10: Elemental distribution and elemental overlay of SPSed sample of HES3. Mapping shows the uniform distribution of the individual elements..... 136

Figure 5.11: (a) Load-displacement (p-h) curve of SPSed sample of non-equiatomic FeMnNiTiAlSiC high entropy steel; (b) Optical micrograph representing the indent on the surface of SPSed sample; (c) Engineering stress-strain curve of the SPSed sample under compression at room temperature; (d) The radar diagram manifests the physical and mechanical properties of the SPSed sample. The stress and strain curve reveals the ultimate strength and strain of the present alloy were ~ 2300 ± 100 MPa and ~ 15 %, respectively..... 138

Figure 5.12: (a, b and c) SEM (SE) micrograph at different magnification of the fractured surface of SPSed sample of HES3. The micrograph shown the shallow dimple and cracks features..... 139

Figure 5.13: (a) Change in coefficient of friction as a function of time (s) for various normal load at 5 N, 10 N and 20 N of the SPSed sample; (b) Average coefficient of friction and specific wear rate against the various normal applied load..... 140

Figure 5.14: SEM (SE mode) micrographs at different magnification of wear fractured SPSed sample of HES3; (a and b) at 5 N; (c and d) at 10 N and (e and f) at 10 N... 141

Figure 5.15: Quantitative assessment of cell density on SPSed and control (316L) specimens on mesenchymal stem cell (MG-63) after 3, 5, and 7 days. The symbol (*) signifies that the statistically difference in the mean optical density (O.D.) among the SPSed sample of HES3 with the control sample (316L) treated for 3 days with 5 and 7 days of control and HES3 samples. The symbol (#) denotes the statistically differences in the O.D. among all the sample, treated for 5 days as with sample treated for 7 days. The symbol (●) symbolises the statistically differences in the O.D. among all the sample treated for 7 days with HES3 sample..... 143

Figure 5.16: Binary enthalpy (kJ/mol) values of all the binary pairs in the non-equiatomic FeMnNiTiAlSiC HES..... 146

Figure 5.17: (a) Property diagram of the non-equiatomic FeMnNiTiAlSiC high entropy steel; (b-f) The elemental composition in all the phases and their stability with respect to temperature..... 149

Figure 5.18: (a) Individual contribution of the various strengthening mechanism for FCC and B2-type phases, and experimental yield strength; (b) Percentage of the individual strengthening mechanism in the HES3..... 153

Figure 6.1: (a) Diffraction patterns of the milled powder of HEA1 samples at 0 h, 10 h, 20 h, 30 h and 40 h; (b) Enlarge view of the diffraction pattern in the range of $2\theta = 42^\circ - 46^\circ$. This shown the alloying behaviour of the elements with milling time, and finally forms the BCC and χ -phase type structure..... 159

Figure 6.2: (a) Diffraction patterns of the milled powder of HEA2 samples at 0 h, 10 h, 20 h, 30 h and 40 h; (b) Enlarge view of the diffraction pattern in the range of $2\theta = 42^\circ - 46^\circ$. This shows the dissolution of the elements with milling durations, and finally forms the dual-phase structure consists of BCC and χ -phase type structure..... 160

Figure 6.3: (a) Diffraction patterns of the milled powder of HEA3 samples at 0 h, 10 h, 20 h, 30 h and 40 h; (b) Enlarge view of the diffraction pattern in the range of $2\theta = 42^\circ - 46^\circ$. This displays the alloying behaviour of the elements with progress of milling time, and at the end of milling its forms the dual-phase structure contains of BCC and χ -phase type structure..... 161

Figure 6.4: (a-d) The microstructural features of the milled powder samples at 10 h, 20 h, 30 h and 40 h of the HEA1; (e) The particle size distribution (histogram plot) of the alloy at 10 h, 20 h, 30 h and 40 h. The size of the particles and average particle size are decreasing with the increase in milling time and it is clearly visible in the histogram plot..... 163

Figure 6.5:	(a-d) The microstructural features of the milled powder samples at 10 h, 20 h, 30 h and 40 h of the HEA2; (e) The particle size distribution (histogram plot) of the alloy at 10 h, 20 h, 30 h and 40 h. The decrease in size of the particles and average particle size with the increase in milling time is clearly visible in the histogram plot.....	164
Figure 6.6:	(a-d) The microstructural features of the milled powder samples at 10 h, 20 h, 30 h and 40 h of the HEA2; (e) The particle size distribution (histogram plot) of the alloy at 10 h, 20 h, 30 h and 40 h. The size of the particles and average particle size are in decreasing trend as the milling time is increases and this trend is clearly visible in the histogram plot.....	164
Figure 6.7:	Elemental mapping of the 40 h green pellet of the HEA1. This illustrates the two-contrast region i.e.; one is Fe rich (BCC phase) and another is Cr and Ti rich (χ -phase type).....	165
Figure 6.8:	Elemental mapping of the 40 h green pellet of the HEA2. This illustrates the two-contrast region i.e.; one is Fe rich (BCC phase) and another is Mn, Cr and Ti rich (χ -phase type).....	165
Figure 6.9:	Elemental mapping of the 40 h green pellet of the HEA3. This illustrates the two-contrast region i.e.; one is Fe rich (BCC phase) and another is Mn, Cr and Ti rich (χ -phase type).....	165
Figure 6.10:	(a, b and c) DSC curve of the 40 h milled sample of the HEA1, HEA2, and HEA3, respectively in between 200 °C to 1000 °C. This curve shows the exothermic events at 500 °C, 540 °C and 510 °C for HEA1, HEA2 and HEA3, respectively.....	167

- Figure 6.11:** (a) Diffraction pattern of the 25 °C, and annealed samples of the HEA1 at 400 °C and 600 °C; (b) Enlarged view in the 2θ range from 42°- 46°. The formation of FCC solid solution was correlated with exothermic events at 500 °C..... 167
- Figure 6.12:** (a) Diffraction pattern of the 25 °C, and annealed samples of the HEA2 at 400 °C and 600 °C; (b) Enlarged view in the 2θ range from 42°- 46°. The formation of FCC solid solution was associated with exothermic events at 540 °C..... 168
- Figure 6.13:** (a) Diffraction pattern of the 25 °C, and annealed samples of the HEA3 at 400 °C and 600 °C; (b) Enlarged view in the 2θ range from 42°- 46°. The formation of FCC solid solution was linked with exothermic events at 510 °C..... 168
- Figure 6.14:** (a, b, and c) Diffraction pattern of the spark plasma sintered sample of HEA1, HEA2, and HEA3, respectively. This shows the formation of BCC and FCC phase in SPSed sample..... 171
- Figure 6.15:** (a, b, and c) Reitveld refinement of the SPSed of HEA1, HEA2, and HEA3, respectively. This also confirms the formation of BCC and FCC along with χ -phase type as precipitates..... 172
- Figure 6.16:** (a, b, and c) The microstructural features (SEM-BSE) of the SPSed sample of HEA1, HEA2, and HEA3, respectively. This shows the two contrast features i.e., light and dark grey, which correspond with the BCC and FCC phase. The light and dark contrast features are marked as 1 and 2 for point EDS analysis..... 173
- Figure 6.17:** (a, b and c) Elemental mapping of the SPSed sample of HEA1, HEA2, and HEA3, respectively..... 174

(a) Depth of penetration Vs Indentation load; (b) compressive engineering stress and strain curve; (a1, a2, and a3) Optical micrograph of the corresponding indentation spot of the HEA1, HEA2, and HEA3, respectively..... 176

Figure 6.18: The microstructural features (SEM-SE) of the fractured surfaces after the compression test of the HEA1, HEA2, and HEA3, respectively..... 177

Figure 6.20: (a, b, and c) Curve between coefficient of friction vs time for the HEA1, HEA2, and HEA3, respectively; (d, e, and f) Coefficient of friction vs time at 20 N, 10 N, and 5 N, respectively..... 178

Figure 6.21: (a, b, and c) SEM (SE) of the worn surface features of the HEA1 at 5 N, 10 N, and 20 N load conditions, respectively; (d, e, and f) HEA2 at 5 N, 10 N, and 20 N, respectively; (g, h, and i) HEA3 at 20 N, 10 N, and 5 N, respectively..... 180

Figure 6.22: Concentration-dependent quantitative analysis (MTT assays) of control (316L) and SPSed samples of HEA1, HEA2, and HEA3 in mesenchymal stem cell (MG-63) after 3, 5, and 7 days. The symbol (*, ♦, ♥) symbolizes the statistically significance differences at $p \leq 0.05$ in the mean optical density (O.D.) among the HEA1, HEA2, and HEA3 with 316L treated for 3, 5, and 7 days, respectively. The statistically significance differences at $p \leq 0.05$ of HEA1 with HEA2 and HEA3 preserved for 3, 5 and 7 days (means (▲, Δ, ●)), respectively..... 182

Figure 6.23: (a) Property diagram of HEA1 (TCFE8); (b-e) Amount of component in the BCC_A2, BCC_A2#2, BCC_A2#3, and liquid, respectively where they are stable in the temperature range..... 187