

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

---

CERTIFICATE.....	i
DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE.....	ii
COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE.....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	x
LIST OF TABLES.....	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	xv
ABBREVIATIONS .....	xviii
ABSTRACT.....	xxiv
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Need for recycling.....	1
1.2 Recycled asphalt pavement (RAP) .....	3
1.3 Advantages and challenges of recycling.....	4
1.4 Rejuvenators .....	8
1.5 Research Gaps.....	9
1.6 Objectives of the Research.....	10
1.7 Organisation of Thesis .....	11
CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE REVIEW .....	13
2.1 Preface .....	13
2.2 Requirements of a rejuvenator .....	13
2.3 Classification .....	20
2.4 Tracking and optimising rejuvenators.....	24
2.5 Performance of rejuvenated recycled mixes .....	27
2.5.1 Fatigue resistance .....	27
2.5.2 Rutting resistance .....	32
2.5.3 Moisture resistance.....	36
2.5.4 Modulus.....	37
2.6 Problem statement.....	38
CHAPTER 3. MATERIALS AND RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....	40
3.1 Preface .....	40
3.2 Material properties .....	40
3.2.1 Asphalt binder .....	40
3.2.2 Aggregates.....	41
3.3 Rejuvenators description.....	41
3.4 Simulating RAP in laboratory.....	42

3.4.1	Field RAP.....	43
3.4.2	Drawbacks of the existing characterisation methods .....	45
3.5	RTFO .....	46
3.6	PAV .....	47
3.7	Recycled binder blends fabrication.....	49
3.8	Experimental methodology.....	52
3.8.1	Tests .....	56
CHAPTER 4.	SUITABILITY OF EXISTING AGING PROTOCOL .....	69
4.1	Preface .....	69
4.2	Aging of asphalt mixes .....	72
4.3	Tests .....	74
4.4	Results.....	74
4.4.1	Marshall stability.....	74
4.4.2	Rutting resistance .....	75
4.4.3	Fatigue resistance .....	76
4.4.4	Moisture resistance.....	78
4.4.5	Resilient modulus.....	79
4.5	Discussion .....	80
4.6	Conclusions.....	82
CHAPTER 5.	WASTE ENGINE OIL: EFFECT OF SOURCE .....	84
5.1	Preface .....	84
5.2	MOTIVATION AND SCOPE .....	87
5.3	MATERIALS.....	89
5.4	EXPERIMENTAL TESTS .....	90
5.4.1	High temperature performance grade test .....	90
5.5	RESULTS .....	91
5.5.1	FTIR Spectroscopy.....	91
5.5.2	High temperature performance grade test .....	93
5.5.3	Rutting performance.....	95
5.5.4	Fatigue performance.....	96
5.5.5	Moisture resistance.....	97
5.6	ANALYSING THE EFFECT OF REJUVENTOR SOURCE AND RAP CONTENT.....	98
5.7	CONCLUSIONS .....	100
CHAPTER 6.	CHARACTERISATION AND COMPARITIVE EVALUATION OF REJUVENATORS.....	102
6.1	Preamble .....	102
6.2	Experimental framework .....	104
6.3	TESTS.....	106

6.3.1	Task-1: Tests on rejuvenators .....	106
6.3.2	Task-2: Optimising the dosage of rejuvenator .....	107
6.3.3	Task-3 Tests on recycled binder blends and mixtures .....	115
6.4	RESULTS .....	117
6.4.1	Task-1: Rejuvenator test results .....	117
6.4.2	Task-2: Optimising the dosage of rejuvenator .....	123
6.4.3	Task-3: Recycled binder and mixture test results .....	141
6.4.4	Ranking of rejuvenators .....	144
6.5	CONCLUSIONS .....	147
CHAPTER 7.	DURABILITY OF RECYCLED MIXES .....	150
7.1	Preamble .....	150
7.2	TESTS.....	152
7.3	RESULTS .....	152
7.3.1	Fatigue performance.....	152
7.3.2	Rutting performance.....	155
7.3.3	Moisture resistance.....	158
7.3.4	Resilient Modulus .....	160
7.4	DISCUSSION.....	162
7.5	CONCLUSIONS .....	163
CHAPTER 8.	CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RECOMENDATIONS .....	165
8.1	Preface .....	165
8.2	Conclusions.....	165
8.2.1	Suitability of the existing aging protocol .....	165
8.2.2	Effect of source of waste engine oil.....	166
8.2.3	Optimum dosage and evaluation of rejuvenators .....	166
8.2.4	Durability of recycled mixes .....	167
8.3	Summary.....	167
8.4	Future recommendations.....	168
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	.....	169
REFERENCES	.....	171