LIST OF FIGURES

FIG. No.	TITLE	PAGE No.
Fig. 1.1	A cubic perovskite unit, cell ABO_3, on the right, (b) corner-sharing of BO_6 octahedra in perovskite structure, ABO_3 and (c) oxygen vacancy migration path in perovskite structure, ABO_3.	**2**
Fig. 1.2	Schematic representation of double perovskites $A_2BB'O_6$ unit cell and (b) single and double perovskite cubic structure, respectively.	**5**
Fig. 1.3	A schematic representation of the conductivity behaviour of an oxide ion conductor.	**21**
Fig. 2.1	Block diagram of various synthesis techniques.	**29**
Fig. 2.2	The image diagram representing the steps of solid state reaction method.	**30**
Fig. 2.3	Diagram representation of chemical reaction route with the appropriate steps.	**31**
Fig. 2.4	Block diagram of various characterization and analyses techniques.	**33**
Fig. 2.5	Schematic diagram of Bragg's law occurring through a crystal lattice.	**34**
Fig. 2.6	X-ray diffractrometer working representation with image of Rigaku (Miniflex II desktop).	**35**
Fig. 2.7	Photograph of density kit of Mettler-Toledo.	**36**
Fig. 2.8	Schematic diagram representation of SEM (scanning electron microscope) with image of ZEISS (EVO-18).	**37**
Fig. 2.9	Schematic diagram of FTIR spectrometer working with photograph of Shimadzu spectrometer (FTIR-8400S).	**38**
Fig. 2.10	Schematic diagram of XPS (X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy).	**39**
Fig. 2.11	A Schematic design of XPS (X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy) working with photograph of XPS setup (KRATOS Amicus model).	**40**

Fig. 2.12 Block diagram of DSC/TGA measurement along with setup image of Mettler Toledo (TGA/DSC1 STARe thermal analyzer). **41**

Fig. 2.13 A design of working and its corresponding photograph of dialatometry setup for TEC measurement (DIL 402 PC, NETZSCH). **42**

Fig. 2.14 Computer controlled automated impedance analyser setup along with sample holder and furnace (6500 P Wayne Kerr, UK). **43**

Fig. 2.15 The Probostat (Norecs, Norway) system impedance Analyzer (FRA, mod. SOLARTRON 1250 - Schlumberger), in a range of frequency between 10^{-1}–10^{5} Hz. **44**

Fig. 2.16 Equivalent circuit for a polycrystalline ceramic sample and corresponding plots of frequency response in the complex plane [Ref: R. Gerhardt, 1994]. **50**

Fig. 3.1 The X-ray diffraction pattern of $La_{1-x}Gd_xCrO_3$ ($0.00 \le x \le 0.20$) system. **54**

Fig. 3.2 Reitveld refinement of X-Ray diffraction pattern of $La_{1-x}Gd_xCrO_3$ ($0.00 \le x \le 0.20$) system. Here Yobs, Ycal, Yobs-Ycal and Bragg_Position represent the experimental data, calculated data, the difference of experimental and calculated data and Bragg's positions, respectively. **55**

Fig. 3.3 FTIR spectrum of $La_{0.99}Gd_{0.01}CrO_3$. **56**

Fig. 3.4 SEM micrograph of $La_{1-x}Gd_xCrO_3$ [(a) x= 0.00, (b) x= 0.01, (c) x= 0.05, (d) x= 0.10, (e) x= 0.15, and (f) x= 0.20] system. **57**

Fig. 3.5 Representative conductivity spectra of $La_{1-x}Gd_xCrO_3$ for (a) x = 0.01 and (b) x = 0.10, at a few temperatures. **58**

Fig. 3.6 Arrhenius representation of DC conductivity of $La_{1-x}Gd_xCrO_3$ ($0.00 \le x \le 0.20$). The symbols denote the experimental (calculated) data points and the solid lines represent the linear fit to the data points. **59**

Fig. 3.7 Variation of DC conductivity with dopant concentration x in the system $La_{1-x}Gd_xCrO_3$ ($0.00 \le x \le 0.20$). **62**

Fig. 3.8 XPS spectra of the Cr2p level in the system $La_{1-x}Gd_xCrO_3$ (x= 0.00, 0.01 and 0.20). **63**

Fig. 4.1 Rietveld refinement of X-ray diffraction pattern of various compositions (a) SNM00 (b) SNM05, (c) SNM10 and (d) SNM15. Here Yobs, Ycal, and Yobs-Ycal represent the experimental data, calculated data, and the difference of experimental and calculated data, respectively. **67**

Fig. 4.2 Variation in lattice parameters as a function of the dopant concentration for the system SNM-x ($0.00 \leq x \leq 0.15$). **69**

Fig. 4.3 Field emission scanning electron micrograph of chemically etched various compositions (a) SNM00, (b) SNM05, (c) SNM10 and (d) SNM15. **70**

Fig. 4.4 The variation of average TEC value for compositions SNM00, SNM05, SNM10 and SNM15. **71**

Fig. 4.5 XPS spectra for compositions SNM00, SNM05, SNM10 and SNM15: (a) Sr-3d, (b) Ni-2p, (c) Mo-3d and (d) O-1s. **73**

Fig. 4.6 The complex plane Impedance plots for the composition SNM05 at (a) 200 °C, (b) 275 °C, (c) 350 °C, (d) 425 °C, (e) 500 °C and (f) 600 °C. **75**

Fig. 4.7 The complex plane Impedance plots for compositions (a) SNM00 (b) SNM05, (c) SNM10 and (d) SNM15 at 250 ˚C. **77**

Fig. 4.8 Arrhenius $\log\sigma_t T$ vs. 1000/T plots of various compositions of the system SNM-x ($0.00 \leq x \leq 0.15$). **78**

Fig. 4.9 The plots of Resistances (R) vs. Temperature for the $Sr_2NiMoO_{6-\delta}$ (SNM00) sample in H_2 atmosphere. **79**

Fig. 4.10 Arrhenius plots of conductivity for the $Sr_2NiMoO_{6-\delta}$ (SNM00) sample in H_2 and air atmosphere. **80**

Fig. 5.1 The X-ray diffraction pattern of different samples (a) SLNM02, (b) SLNM04, (c) SLNM06, (d) SLNM08 and (e) SLNM10. Asterisk (*) indicates the $SrMoO_4$ phase. **84**

Fig. 5.2 Reitveld refinement of X-ray diffraction pattern of different samples (a) SLNM02, (b) SLNM04, (c) SLNM06, (d) SLNM08 and (e) SLNM10. In this refinement, Yobs, Ycal, and Yobs-Ycal represent **85**

the experimental data, calculated data, and the difference of experimental and calculated data, in that order.

Fig. 5.3 DSC/TG curve for sintered SLNM04 in N_2 atmosphere from RT to 1000 ˚C. **87**

Fig. 5.4 Field emission scanning electron micrograph of chemically etched various compositions (a) SLNM02, (b) SLNM04, (c) SLNM06, (d) SLNM08 and (e) SLNM10. **88**

Fig. 5.5 XPS spectra for compositions SLNM02, SLNM04, SLNM06, SLNM08 and SLNM10: (a) Mo-3d, and (b) O-1s. **89**

Fig. 5.6 The complex plane Impedance plots for the composition SLNM04: (a) 200 °C, (b) 275 °C, (c) 350 °C, (d) 475 °C, (e) 525 °C and (f) 600 °C. **91**

Fig. 5.7 The modulus spectra for the composition SLNM04 at: (a) 200 °C, (b) 250 °C, (c) 300 °C and (d) 350 °C. **92**

Fig.5.8 The complex plane Impedance plots for compositions: (a) SLNM02 (b) SLNM04, (c) SLNM06, (d) SLNM08, (e) SLNM10 and (f) combined plot for SLNM-x ($0.02 \le x \le 0.10$): at 375 °C. **94**

Fig.5.9 Arrhenius $\log\sigma_t T$ vs. 1000/T plots of various samples of the system SLNM-x ($0.02 \le x \le 0.10$). The inset depicts the variation of logarithms of conductivity with composition at 300 °C. **95**

Fig.5.10 Variation of pre-exponential factor σ_0 and activation energy with respect to doping concentration x of the system SLNM-x ($0.02 \le x$ 0.10). **97**

Fig.5.11 The plots of Resistances (R) vs. Temperature for the $Sr_{1.96}La_{0.04}NiMoO_{6-\delta}$ (SLNM04) sample in H_2 atmosphere. **98**

Fig.5.12 Arrhenius plots of conductivity for the $Sr_{1.96}La_{0.04}NiMoO_{6-\delta}$ (SLNM04) sample in H_2 and air atmosphere. **99**

Fig.5.13 Rietveld refinement of X-ray diffraction pattern of samples (a) SCNM01, (b) SCNM02, (c) SCNM03, (d) SCNM04 and (e) SCNM05. Here Yobs, Ycal, and Yobs-Ycal represent the experimental data, **102**

calculated data, and the difference of experimental and calculated data, respectively.

Fig.5.1 4 TGA/DSC curve for SCNM01 in N_2 atmosphere. **104**

Fig.5.15 Field emission scanning electron micrograph of chemically etched samples (a) SCNM01, (b) SCNM02, (c) SCNM03, (d) SCNM04 and (e) SCNM05. **105**

Fig.5.16: XPS spectra for compositions SCNM01, SCNM02, SCNM03, SCNM04 and SCNM05: (a) Mo-3d, and (b) O-1s. **106**

Fig.5.17 The complex plane Impedance plots for the sample SCNM01 at (a) 300 °C, (b) 400 °C, (c) 500 °C, and (d) 600 °C. **108**

Fig.5.18 Complex-plane modulus plots for the sample SCNM01 at (a) 300 °C, (b) 400 °C, (c) 500 °C and (d) 600 °C. **109**

Fig.5.19 The complex plane Impedance plots for samples compositions SCNM01, SCNM02, SCNM03, SCNM04 and SCNM05 at 500 °C. **110**

Fig.5.20 log σ_tT vs. 1000/T plots for the system SCNM-x ($0.01 \leq x \leq 0.05$). **111**

Fig.5.21 The plots of Resistances (R) vs. Temperature for the $Sr_{1.99}Ce_{0.01}NiMoO_{6-\delta}$ (SCNM01) sample in H_2 atmosphere. **113**

Fig.5.22. Arrhenius plots of conductivity for the SCNM01 sample in H_2 and air atmosphere. **113**

Fig.5.23. Reitveld refinement of X-ray diffraction pattern of different samples (a) SSNM01 (b) SSNM02, (c) SSNM03 and (d) SSNM04 and (e) SSNM05. In this refinement, Yobs, Ycal, and Yobs-Ycal represent the experimental data, calculated data, and the difference of experimental and calculated data, in that order. **116**

Fig.5.24 DSC/TG curve for sintered sample SSNM01 in N_2 atmosphere from RT to 1000 °C. **117**

Fig.5.25 Field emission scanning electron micrograph of fractured compositions (a) SSNM01, (b) SSNM02, (c) SSNM03, (d) SSNM04 and (e) SSNM05. **118**

Fig.5.26. XPS spectra for compositions SSNM01, SSNM02, SSNM03, SSNM04 **119**

and SSNM05: (a) Mo-3d and (b) O-1s.

Fig.5.27. The complex plane Impedance plots for the composition SSNM05: (a) 250 °C, (b) 300 °C, (c) 350 °C, (d) 400 °C, (e) 500 °C and (f) 600 °C. **121**

Fig.5.28. The complex plane Impedance plots for compositions: (a) SSNM01, (b) SSNM02, (c) SSNM03, (d) SSNM04, (e) SSNM05 and (f) combined plot for SSNM-x (0.01≤ x≤0.05): at 450 °C. **122**

Fig.5.29. Arrhenius logσT vs. 1000/T plots of various samples of the system SSNM-x (0.01 ≤ x ≤ 0.05). **123**

Fig.5.30. The plots of Resistances as a function of temperature for the sample SSNM05 in H_2 atmosphere. **125**

Fig.5.31. Arrhenius plots of conductivity for the SSNM05 sample in H_2 and air atmosphere. **126**

Fig.6.1. Arrhenius plots of conductivity for the SNM, SLNM04, SCNM01 and SSNM05 samples in H_2 atmosphere. The inset depicts the variation of conductivity with composition at 600 °C. **129**

* * *