

# Contents

<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>List of Abbreviations</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Hyperspectral Image . . . . .	1
1.2 High Performance Computing . . . . .	3
1.3 Hyperspectral Image Processing . . . . .	7
1.4 Hyperspectral Image Database . . . . .	31
1.5 Research Problems . . . . .	38
1.6 Research Motivation . . . . .	39
1.7 Research Scope and Objectives . . . . .	40
1.8 Novelty of the Research work . . . . .	42
1.9 Thesis Outline . . . . .	42
<b>2 Literature Review</b>	<b>45</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	45
2.2 Categorization of Hyperspectral Image Compression Algorithms . . . . .	47
2.2.1 Categorization based on methodology . . . . .	47
2.2.2 Categorization based on various parameters . . . . .	95
2.2.3 Evaluation metrics . . . . .	104
2.3 Discussion . . . . .	114
2.4 Conclusion . . . . .	121

---

<b>3</b>	<b>Multitemporal Hyperspectral Image Compression</b>	<b>123</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	123
3.2	Proposed Methodology . . . . .	125
3.3	Experiment results . . . . .	131
3.4	Conclusion . . . . .	137
<b>4</b>	<b>Parallel Lossless Hyperspectral Image Compression</b>	<b>139</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	139
4.2	Proposed Method . . . . .	142
4.3	Experimental Results . . . . .	149
4.4	Conclusion . . . . .	157
<b>5</b>	<b>Deep Learning based Compression Techniques</b>	<b>159</b>
5.1	Convolution Neural Network Based Lossy Compression of Hyperspectral Images . . . . .	159
5.1.1	Introduction . . . . .	159
5.1.2	Methodology . . . . .	161
5.1.3	Experiment Results . . . . .	167
5.1.4	Conclusion . . . . .	180
5.2	WaveTraNet: HSI Compression Model based on Wavelet Transform and Convolution Neural Network . . . . .	181
5.2.1	Introduction . . . . .	181
5.2.2	Proposed Algorithm . . . . .	182
5.2.3	Experiments . . . . .	194
5.2.4	Conclusion . . . . .	200
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions and Future Work</b>	<b>201</b>
6.1	Conclusion . . . . .	201
6.2	Future Research Work . . . . .	204
	<b>References</b>	<b>206</b>
	<b>List of Publications</b>	<b>230</b>

# List of Figures

1.1	Hyperspectral image with various objects and their spectral information	3
1.2	Digital Image processing . . . . .	7
1.3	Parallel image processing . . . . .	8
1.4	Organization of thesis . . . . .	44
2.1	Categorization of Hyperspectral Image Compression Algorithms . . . .	46
2.2	Categorization of Hyperspectral Image Compression Algorithms based on methodology . . . . .	47
2.3	Steps of transform-based algorithm . . . . .	49
2.4	Steps of prediction-based algorithm . . . . .	62
2.5	Encoding phase of vector-quantization technique . . . . .	74
2.6	Tensor decomposition compression technique . . . . .	80
2.7	Sparse based technique . . . . .	85
2.8	Multi-temporal hyperspectral image . . . . .	88
2.9	Steps of CNN based compression technique . . . . .	91
2.10	Chart representing number of algorithms in different category . . . . .	116
2.11	Statistics of metrics used by algorithms . . . . .	117
2.12	Statistics of datasets used by algorithms . . . . .	118
2.13	Suggestive general framework . . . . .	121
3.1	Multi-temporal Hyperspectral image . . . . .	124
3.2	Framework of proposed algorithm . . . . .	125
3.3	Neighboring pixels used for spatial decorrelation . . . . .	126
3.4	Representation of dataset used in the experiment . . . . .	131
3.5	Effect of increasing temporal bands on compression performance . . . .	133
3.6	Original and reconstructed HSIs of Nogueiro, Gualtar, and Sete_Fontes datasets . . . . .	134
3.7	Comparison with state of the art algorithms . . . . .	135

3.8	Compression performance for different spectral bands . . . . .	137
4.1	Identifying multiple level parallelism in an application . . . . .	140
4.2	Neighboring pixels used for calculating the local difference . . . . .	143
4.3	Pixels used for calculating the residual matrix . . . . .	144
4.4	Pixels used for spatial decorrelation in A-CRLS . . . . .	146
4.5	Grayscale image of CCSDS dataset used in the experiment . . . . .	150
4.6	Runtime of spatial and spectral decorrelation separately . . . . .	153
4.7	Total runtime vs number of processors . . . . .	153
4.8	Speedup vs number of processors . . . . .	155
4.9	Efficiency vs number of processors . . . . .	156
5.1	Parts of an autoencoder . . . . .	160
5.2	Architecture of the proposed algorithm . . . . .	162
5.3	RGB image of datasets used in the experiment . . . . .	167
5.4	Performance of the proposed autoencoder for Salinas' dataset . . . . .	170
5.5	Performance of the proposed autoencoder for Pavia dataset . . . . .	170
5.6	Performance of the proposed autoencoder for Indian Pines dataset . . . . .	171
5.7	Performance of the proposed autoencoder for Botswana dataset . . . . .	171
5.8	Comparison of compression ratio with state of the art algorithm . . . . .	173
5.9	Comparison of PSNR with state of the art algorithm . . . . .	174
5.10	7 <sup>th</sup> band of a) Original PU, b) Decompressed PU, c) Original IP, d) Decompressed IP . . . . .	174
5.11	Classification results for Salinas dataset . . . . .	175
5.12	Confusion matrix for original Pavia University dataset . . . . .	176
5.13	Confusion matrix for reconstructed Indian Pines . . . . .	176
5.14	Confusion matrix for Pavia University dataset . . . . .	179
5.15	Framework of the proposed algorithm . . . . .	181
5.16	Three level decomposition of DWT coefficients . . . . .	182
5.17	Compression and Classification results for Pavia University dataset . . . . .	198
5.18	Confusion matrix for Pavia University dataset . . . . .	198

# List of Tables

1.1	Parallel techniques for segmentation of images . . . . .	10
1.2	Parallel techniques for classification of HSI . . . . .	16
1.3	Parallel techniques for compression of HSI . . . . .	26
1.4	Library of Remote Sensing Hyperspectral image datasets . . . . .	35
2.1	Transform based HSI compression techniques . . . . .	55
2.2	Prediction based HSI compression techniques . . . . .	67
2.3	Vector Quantization based HSI compression techniques . . . . .	75
2.4	Compressive Sensing based HSI compression techniques . . . . .	78
2.5	Tensor Decomposition based HSI compression techniques . . . . .	82
2.6	Sparse Representation based HSI compression techniques . . . . .	86
2.7	Multi Temporal based HSI compression techniques . . . . .	90
2.8	Learning-based HSI compression techniques . . . . .	93
2.9	Categorization of compression algorithms based on various parameters	99
2.10	Datasets and Evaluation Metrics used by different algorithms . . . . .	109
3.1	Description of the dataset used to evaluate the algorithm . . . . .	131
3.2	Compression Performance for various values of temporal prediction bands (Bit per pixel) . . . . .	133
3.3	Compression Performance for different steps of the proposed algorithm (Bit per pixel) . . . . .	134
3.4	Compression performance for standard datasets by different algorithms (Bit per pixel) . . . . .	135
4.1	Description of dataset used in the experiment . . . . .	149
4.2	Mean absolute error and mean absolute percentage error of CCSDS dataset	152
4.3	Speedup, Efficiency and Run-time (in seconds) obtained on multiple processors . . . . .	152

---

4.4	Time taken (in seconds) to execute spatial decorrelation for CCSDS dataset by RLS algorithm . . . . .	154
4.5	Time taken (in seconds) to execute spectral decorrelation for CCSDS dataset by RLS algorithm . . . . .	154
5.1	Description of the dataset used to evaluate the algorithm . . . . .	168
5.2	Compression results obtained from different algorithms . . . . .	172
5.3	Classification results for Original and reconstructed HSI datasets . . .	177
5.4	Class-wise analysis of Original and reconstructed Salinas dataset . . . .	178
5.5	Class-wise analysis of Original and reconstructed Pavia University dataset	178
5.6	Class-wise analysis of Original and reconstructed Indian Pines data . .	179
5.7	Description of the dataset used to evaluate the algorithm . . . . .	194
5.8	Compression results on different datasets . . . . .	196
5.9	Classification results for Original and reconstructed HSI datasets on different training values . . . . .	199
5.10	Class-wise analysis of Original and Reconstructed Pavia University dataset	199