

CERTIFICATE

It is certified that the work contained in the thesis titled “ **STUDY OF FLOW FIELD AND SCOURING AROUND SPUR DYKE IN REVERSE BEND MEANDERING CHANNEL**” by “*MR. RAVI PRAKASH TRIPATHI*” has been carried out under my supervision and that this work has not been submitted elsewhere for a degree.

It is further certified that the student has fulfilled all the requirements of Comprehensive Examination, Candidacy, and SOTA for the award of Ph.D. Degree.



Signature: Supervisor

Dr. K.K. Pandey

Dept. of Civil Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology BHU Varanasi

DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE

I, "**RAVI PRAKASH TRIPATHI**", certify that the work embodied in this thesis is my novel bonafide work and carried out by me under the supervision of "**DR. KAMLESH KUMAR PANDEY**" from "**July 2015**" to "**August 2021**", at the "**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING**", **Indian Institute Of Technology (BHU), Varanasi**. The matter embodied in this thesis has not been submitted for the award of any other degree/diploma. I declare that I have faithfully acknowledged and given credits to the research workers wherever their works have been cited in my work in this thesis. I further declare that I have not will fully copied any other's work, paragraphs, text, data, results, etc., reported in journals, books, magazines, reports dissertations, theses, etc., or available at websites and have not included them in this thesis and have not cited as my own work.

Date: 23 Aug. 2021



Signature of the Student

Place: Varanasi

(Ravi Prakash Tripathi)

CERTIFICATE BY THE SUPERVISOR

It is certified that the above statement made by the student is correct to the best of my knowledge.



Supervisor
Dr. K.K. Pandey
Dept. of Civil Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology BHU Varanasi



Signature of Head of Department

COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE

Title of the Thesis: Study of Flow Field and Scouring Around Spur Dyke in Reverse Bend Meandering Channel.

Name of the Student: Ravi Prakash Tripathi

COPYRIGHT TRANSFER

The undersigned hereby assigns to the Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University) Varanasi all rights under copyright that may exist in and for the above thesis submitted for the award of the *"DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY"*.

Date: 23 Aug, 2021

Place: Varanasi



Signature of the Student

(Ravi Prakash Tripathi)

Note: However, the author may reproduce or authorize others to reproduce material extracted verbatim from the thesis or derivative of the thesis for the author's personal use provided that the source and the Institute's copyright notice indicated.

...Dedicated To My Family

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I bow with great respect and gratitude in front of this historical and august institute and centre of excellence and knowledge, the Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi for giving me this opportunity to enhance and expand my horizons of knowledge.

Foremost I express my sincere thanks and gratitude to my supervisor *Dr. K. K. Pandey*, Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, for his constant guidance, valuable suggestions, and kind encouragement during my association with their research group.

I am equally grateful to my research committee members and comprehensive examination member: *Dr. P. R. Maiti* and *Prof. Subir Das* for their instructions, valuable suggestions and support throughout the entire process of study.

I am thankful to *Prof. P.K.S. Dikshit*, Head, Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, for providing necessary facilities during my research work and for providing a pleasant working atmosphere in the department.

I would also like to thank and express my heartfelt thanks and indebtedness to other faculty members of Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi for their support and motivation.

I cordately thankful to Dr. Bhawana Arora Assistant Professor (English), Department of Applied Sciences, REC Sonbhadra, for their language editing in my thesis work.

I would like to thanks Dr. Manish Pandey Assistant Professor Dept. of Civil Engineering NIT Warangal for their valuable suggestions.

I would like to thank my friends Padam Ji Omar, Nitesh Gupta, Jitendra Patel, Navneet,

Rahul Soni, Mayank, Sarvesh, Miraj, Satyam, and my colleague Prashant Pandey, Ashish Mishra, Dr. P. K. Verma, Dr. Uday Pratap Singh Sir for their valuable comments, critic and suggestions throughout this journey.

The acknowledgements are not complete till I express gratitude to my father *Mr. Dhruv Kumar Tripathi* who encouraged me to pursue this course regardless of number of obstacles in my life always provided me a formidable mental support, my mother *Mrs. Sarita Tripathi* who has been an epitome of selfless care during my life and my brothers Sandeep Tripathi, Pradeep Tripathi, Rudresh Tripathi, Piyush, Abhinesh, Aayush, Prashant, for his continued motivation and inspiration throughout this journey. This thesis could not be completed without her encouragement, patience, continuous support and love. Thank you for being there for me.

Lastly and above all, deeply grateful to the invisible yet present helping hands of Lord “Shiva”, with which he has made my life complete and full of meaning.



Ravi Prakash Tripathi

ABSTRACT

A spur dyke is a manufactured hydraulic structure that extends outward from the river bank into the water stream and protects the bank from erosion by deflecting the flow away from the bank. It is beneficial in enhancing an aquatic habitat by creating stable pools in unstable water streams, amplifying the river navigation conditions by deepening the main channel bed and securing water supply by maintaining suitable flow discharge and water stream. Spur dykes are commonly built at an angle or perpendicular to the revetment or channel bank. To optimize design parameters of spur dyke it is essential to discuss the dimensions and geometric characteristics of scour holes, including erosion in vertical and longitudinal dimension. With their physical environment indicator near spur dyke such as deep pool shoal and the flow structure. The working of spur dyke in enhancing morphological diversities of the riverine system and its importance in flow diversion to prevent bank erosion has gained the significant attention of the researcher community. Various researchers have done lots of work in straight channel spur dyke, and the curved channel or channel bends. A comprehensive study for analyzing the flow field pattern with and without spur dyke along with inflow Froude number, bed resistance, and spur dyke parameters on flow field has been conducted in this work. To achieve this objective of the experiment, a meandering channel with two bends of reverse order and central angle of the bend was kept 180° to obtain maximum deflection. Two consecutive bends were connected to the flume's straight inlet and outlet channels in the experimental setup. The downstream tail gate controlled the water depth of the channel and the sharp-crested rectangular weir measured the discharge. The maximum deflection of the flow field was observed in the reverse meandering channel by experimental findings. Consequently, it generates high turbulence and secondary flow condition for simulating the maximum scour around the dyke. Secondary flow transported the sediment

toward the inner bank, creates few dunes near the inner wall. It was observed that the local scour hole extended in case of high discharge and high Froude number.

The diverted flow on the spur dyke head is attributed to the return current flow toward the river bank. Experimental findings indicate that scour depth increases with Froude number and also with the location of the spur dyke from the entry of the channel bend. Temporal variation of scour depth was the longitudinal trend with time. Empirical relation for temporal and maximum scour depth developed by experimental finding is the function of Froude number and the position of the structure in the channel bend. This relationship provides more reliable results with other proposed using data from physical model analysis. The new relationship equation shows good accuracy with the experimental data of $R^2 = 0.895$ with a percentage error ranging in between 1.28%-18.33%.

Along with this, we see that the proposed equation overestimates the maximum scour depth with the average factor of 1.19, 1.41, and 2.2 for Masjedi et al (2011). In the thesis, the flow characteristics near around T-shape spur dyke situated in a reverse meandering channel having a rigid bed are simulated using Renormalization Group (RNG) $k - \varepsilon$ turbulence model with an ANSYS 2018 Fluent software. To solve the model in 3D ways we used Navier-Stroke's equation based on the principle of conservation of mass and momentum within a moving fluid. For studying the flow characteristics, Computational Fluid Dynamics was applied with all geometric parameters, and the turbulence was simulated using (RNG) $k - \varepsilon$ equations of the model. In simulation, the structured meshes are used with different diameters and the diameter of the mesh is high at the exit channel for obtaining accuracy in the result. In this study, we mainly focus on the effect of Froude number on flow pattern and several other characteristics like velocity distribution, flow separation, bed shear stress distribution. The final result of this research work is compared with the condition when no structure is present in the channel and the result of

our non-dimensional equation is very much fitted with the other previous equations having a significantly minor error.

In thesis, the focus will also turn on the new soft programming used to predict maximum scour depth with the extended version of Gene programming the GEP. The GEP is an alternative approach in modeling, which predicts the maximum scour depth. Introducing the GEP model can be applied to different boundaries with the part-full flow, and approaches give excellent results compared to previously existing data. The result of the GEP model gives standard data and shows very little error for tested data ($R^2 = 0.94$, $MAE = 0.06566$, and $MSE = 0.0056$). Therefore, the outcomes of the present study are more useful for a realistic estimation of various performance measurement parameters and may be used as a valid input to different simulation approaches.

Table of Contents

CERTIFICATE	i
CERTIFICATE BY THE SUPERVISOR	ii
COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
ABSTRACT	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS USED	xx
CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General.....	1
1.2 Classification of spur dyke.....	2
1.3 Flow structure around a spur dyke.....	3
1.4 Flow structure in the scour area	4
1.5 Gene expression programming	5
1.6 Need of the study	6
1.7 Objectives	6
1.8 Organization of thesis	7
CHAPTER 2	9
LITERATURE REVIEW	9

2.1 Introduction.....	9
2.2 Flow pattern around a spur dyke – a general review	10
2.3 Factors affecting the scour around a spur dyke located in the bend	15
2.4 Empirical formulation of scour around single spur Dyke.....	22
2.5 Bed topography around the spur dyke	29
2.6 Numerical investigation of flow and scour process around spur dyke in bend ..	32
2.7 Gene Expression Programming	35
2.8 Summary and Conclusion.....	37
CHAPTER 3	39
EXPERIMENTAL AND EMPIRICAL STUDIES.....	40
3.1 Introduction.....	39
3.2 The equilibrium scour depth	39
3.2.1 Dimensional Analysis and similitude	40
3.2.2 Temporal Variation of scour depth	40
3.3 General Equations for equilibrium scour in meandering channel with time	40
3.4 Experimental setup.....	42
3.4.1 Measuring Equipment.....	44
3.4.2 Geometry of T-shaped Spur Dyke used in the Experiment	45
3.5 Procedure	46
3.6 Results and discussions.....	49
3.6.1 Flow characteristics in general.....	49

3.6.2 Bed Topography.....	49
3.6.3 Temporal and maximum scour depth	51
3.6.4 Mathematical formulation for temporal and maximum scour depth	54
3.7 Validation results	55
3.8 Conclusion	56
CHAPTER 4	59
NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION.....	59
4.1 Introduction.....	59
4.2 Numerical modelling	63
4.2.1 Equation Formation	63
4.3 Computational meshing of 180° reverse bend channel.....	65
4.4 Result and Discussions	69
4.4.1 Velocity distribution	69
4.4.2 Flow separation zone	74
4.4.3 Bed shear stress distribution	76
4.5 Verification.....	79
4.6 Conclusion	81
CHAPTER 5	82
GENE EXPRESSION PROGRAMMING FOR SCOUR.....	82
5.1 Introduction.....	82
5.2 An overview of Gene Expression Programming (GEP)	84

5.3 Non-linear Regression for Maximum scour depth.....	89
5.4 Prediction of scour depth using GEP model.....	89
5.4.1 The Error Measures.....	92
5.5 Development of ANN model.....	93
5.6 Result and discussion of GEP.....	94
5.7 Conclusions.....	95
CHAPTER 6	97
CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE	98
6.1 Further scope of study.....	99
REFERENCE	101
List of Publication	118

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Value of constants in Equation 2.11	25
Table 4.1 Value of constants in RNG k- ϵ model	65
Table 4.2 Some general parameters of volume meshing used.....	67
Table 4.3 Value of grid dependency test.....	70
Table 4.4 m/L and n/L values at z/D =0.25 and 0.75 for flow separation around the spur Dyke (Case 2).	76
Table 4.5 Maximum value of bed shear-stress at the convex tips (side) of bends	78
Table 5.1 Parameters for optimizing the GEP model.	91
Table 5.2 Data ranges (Pandey et al. 2015)	92
Table 5.3 Sensitivity Analysis (GEP) of Independent Parameters for the Testing.....	94

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Classification of spur dyke (Zhang, and Nakagawa, 2008).....	2
Figure 1.2 Plan views of Flow Patterns at a Spur dyke or Exposed Groin (Copeland, 1983)	4
Figure 1.3 Flow zones around spur dyke (Zhang, and Nakagawa, 2008)	4
Figure 1.4 Longitudinal section passing through the spurs Dyke.....	5
Figure 2.1 Typical plan views of a channel bend.	12
Figure 2.2 Flow contour near the water surface in 90° bend with spur dyke located at (a) 30° and (b) 75° (Fazli et al., 2008).....	13
Figure 2.3 Vortices formed around T-shaped spur dyke located at $\theta = 45^\circ$ in the 90°channel (Ghodsian et al., 2009).....	14
Figure 2.4 Vorticity and secondary flow variation around T-shaped spur dyke located at 75° in 90° bend (Vaghefi et al., 2017a).....	14
Figure 2.5 Scour depth variation with shear velocity (V_*),	16
Figure 2.6 Variation of D_m/y with L/W in (a) 90° bend; (b)180° bend.....	18
Figure 2.7 Variation of D_m/y with B/W for T-shaped spur dyke located in (a) 90° bend (Vaghefi et al., 2012); (b) 180° bend (Masjedi et al., 2010c).....	19
Figure 2.8 Variation of D_m/y with R_c/W for T-shaped spur dyke in a 90° bend, $Fr = 0.47$, $L/W = 0.15$ (Vaghefi et al., 2012).....	19
Figure 2.9 Variation of D_m/y with Fr in (a) 90° bends; (b) 180° bends.....	20

Figure 2.10 Variation of scouring parameters with L/W for T-shaped spur dyke in 90° bend, Fr = 0.33, $\theta = 45^\circ$ (Ghodsian et al., 2009)	21
Figure 2.11 Variation of (a) V_0/y^3 with B/L and Fr for T-shaped dyke, $\theta = 75^\circ$ (Ghodsian et al., 2009), (b) h/y with Fr for straight dyke (Fazli et al., 2008) in 90°bend.....	21
Figure 2.12 Variation of total length (c+d) and width (a) of scour with Fr and θ for straight dyke in 180° channel bend (Rashedipoor et al., 2012)	22
Figure 2.13 Comparison of the observed value of maximum scour depth with Equation (2.1) by (Fazli et al., 2008)	26
Figure 2.14 Comparison of the observed value of maximum scour depth with Equation (2.3) (Masjedi et al., 2010b).....	26
Figure 2.15 Comparison of the observed value of maximum scour depth with Equation (2.8) by (Masjedi et al., 2010c).....	27
Figure 2.16 Comparison of the observed value of maximum scour depth with Equation (2.10) (Masjedi et al., 2011).....	27
Figure 2.17 Comparison of the observed value of maximum scour depth with Equation (2.11) by (Vaghefi et al., 2012).....	28
Figure 2.18 (a) Lateral and (b) longitudinal bed profile for a straight spur dyke located at $\theta = 45^\circ$ in a 90°channel bend (Fazli et al., 2008).....	30
Figure 2.19 Longitudinal bed profile variation for a T-shaped spur dyke located in the 90° channel bend (Vaghefi et al., 2012).....	32
Figure 3.1 A schematic diagram of the reverse bend rectangular meander channel	43
Figure 3.2 Cross-section of channel.....	44

Figure 3.3 Current meter.....	45
Figure 3.4 Plan of T-shape spur dyke.....	45
Figure 3.5 Placement of T-shaped spur dyke at 60° location in a laboratory channel ...	46
Figure 3.6 Meandering channels.....	47
Figure 3.7 Bed topography for spur located at (a) $\theta = 30^\circ$; and (b) $\theta = 120^\circ$	50
Figure 3.8 Scour contour around spur dyke located at $\theta = 30^\circ$, (a) $Fr = 0.35$; and (b) $Fr = 0.50$	51
Figure 3.9 Temporal scour depth variation for spur dyke located at $\theta = 30^\circ, 60^\circ, 120^\circ$, and 150° , respectively.....	52
Figure 3.10 Variation of ds_m/y with Froude number for different locations of spur dyke	53
Figure 3.11 Variation of ds_m/y Vs. θ	54
Figure 3.12 Comparison of the calculated and observed value of maximum scour depth	55
Figure 3.13 Comparison of the calculated and observed value of maximum scour depth (from other result.)	56
Figure 4.1 Numerical model for case 2 (i.e., spur dyke located at 75°).	66
Figure 4.2 Computational mesh grid for (a) case 1: Without spur dyke, and (b) case 2: With spur dyke.....	67
Figure 4.3 Velocity contour for case 1 (without spur dyke) for Froude number = 0.29 at (a) 25%; and (b) 75% of water depth.....	70
Figure 4.4 Velocity contour for case 1 (without spur dyke) for Froude number = 0.86 at (a) 25%; and (b) 75% of water depth.....	70

Figure 4.5 Velocity streamline for case 1 (without spur dyke) at 75% of water depth for Froude number = (a) 0.29; and (b) 0.86.....	71
Figure 4.6 Velocity contour for case 2 (with spur dyke) for Froude number = 0.29 at (a) 25%; and (b) 75% of water depth	71
Figure 4.7 Velocity contour for case 2 (with spur dyke) for Froude number = 0.86 at (a) 25%; and (b) 75% of water depth	72
Figure 4.8 Flow velocity vector around spur dyke (case 2) for Froude number = 0.29 at location(a) 50% of spur dyke length from the outer bank of the first bend, and (b) along the spur dyke’s wing (or 100%) of spur dyke length.....	73
Figure 4.9 Flow velocity vector around the spur dyke (case 2) for Froude number = 0.86 at location (a) 50% of spur dyke length from the outer bank of the first bend; and (b) along the spur dyke’s wing (or 100%) of spur dyke length.....	74
Figure 4.10 A schematic diagram of flow separation around spur dyke (adopted from Vaghefi et al., 2019).....	74
Figure 4.11 (a) $(m+n)/L$ vs z/D ; and (b) $(m+n)/L$ vs Fr for flow separation in a straight outlet channel (Case 1).....	76
Figure 4.12 Bed shear-stress distribution in case 1 (without spur dyke) for Froude No. = (a) 0.29; (b) 0.86	78
Figure 4.13 Bed shear-stress distribution in case 2 (with spur dyke) for Froude No. = (a) 0.29; (b) 0.86.....	79
Figure 4.14 Comparison of experimental and numerical results of (a) longitudinal velocity; and (b) lateral velocity at a longitudinal section located at 58% of channel width from the outer bank of a 90° channel bend	80

Figure 5.1 Gene expression algorithm flow diagram.....	83
Figure 5.2 Expression tree for the gene shown in Equation (5.1).....	85
Figure 5.3 Observed versus predicted relative scour depth	95

ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS USED

a = Width of scour

$a_1, a_2, a_3,$ and a_4 = Constant

B = Wing length of spur dyke

c = Upstream length of scour

d = Downstream length of scour

d_z = Scour depth at any time instant t

d_s = Temporal scour depth

d_{sm} = Maximum scour depth

d_{50} = Median grain size

D_{gr} = Dimensionless grain size = $D \left(\frac{g(s-1)}{v^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$

D_m = Maximum scour depth

F_r = Froude Number = $\frac{V_s}{\sqrt{g\Delta d}}$

g = Acceleration due to gravity $\left(\frac{L}{T^2} \right)$

k_i Where $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,$ and 6 = Constant

L = Length of spur dyke

l = Wing length of spur dyke

R_c = Central radius of the bend

R^2 = Coefficient of correlation

S_0 = Bed slope

s = Ratio of mass density of sediment to that of the fluid

t_e = Time required reaching equilibrium or maximum scour depth

t_s = Time of scouring

V = Approach velocity

V_{*c} = Critical shear velocity

V_0 = Scour volume

V = Average velocity

V_c = Critical velocity

v = mean unidirectional flow velocity $\left(\frac{L}{T}\right)$

W = Width of channel

y = Approach flow depth

ϕ = Maximum dimension of scour parameters

τ = Bed shear stress

θ = Location of spur Dyke in the bend

α = Angle of spur Dyke with bank

μ = Dynamic viscosity of the fluid

ρ_s = Density of sediment

ϕ = Friction angle of sediment

θ = Location of spur Dyke in the bend

Δ = Relative density of sediment in water = $\left(\frac{\rho_s}{\rho}\right) - 1$

ρ = Fluid density,

ρ_s = Buoyant sediment density

AI Artificial Intelligence

ANN Artificial Neural Network

1D One-Dimensional

2D Two-Dimensional

3D Three-Dimensional

ET Expression Tree

GA Genetic Algorithm

GEP Gene Expression Programming

GP Genetic Programming

MAE Mean Average Error