

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1 Pictorial view of diamond and graphite	4
Fig. 1.2 The crystal lattice of graphite (Goncharov 1958)	5
Fig.1.3 The time line of development of Generation I to IV reactors	8
Fig 1.4 Generation IV very high temperature gas-cooled reactor using graphite for neutron reflection and moderation within the reactor core.....	9
Fig. 1.5 The graphite reactor core components. (a) Advanced gas-cooled reactor (AGR) (United Kingdom) (b) Prismatic graphite fuel element from Fort St. Vrain research reactor	10
Fig. 2.1 Various basic loading modes in fracture mechanics (a) opening mode (b) sliding mode (c) tearing mode.....	34
Fig. 2.2 2D J-integral around a notch	37
Fig. 2.3: local cartesian coordinate system at a point s of the crack front.....	40
Fig. 3.1 Flow diagram to calculate the experimental based effective volume and effective surface area calculation using Weibpar and CARES in unimodular case and bimodular FE model followed by sub routine.	56
Fig. 3.2 Bimodular constitutive model: (a) Bilinear model when $E_T > E_C$ (b) bilinear model when $E_T < E_C$ and (c) non-linear model for actual condition	57
Fig. 3.3 Program flow chart for evaluation of neutral surface in a bimodular flexure Specimen	61
Fig. 3.4 The specimens making in the two orientations vertical (with gravity: Y-axis) and horizontal (against gravity: X-axis) within specific graphite block size	

1040x650x350mm ³	63
Fig. 3.5 Tensile specimen with fixture train	64
Fig. 3.6 Compressive specimen with fixture	65
Fig. 3.7 Stress-strain plot for large tensile test (specimen no. 01) from digital image co-relation	66
Fig. 3.8: Stress-strain plot for large compressive test (specimen no. 01) from digital image co-relation	68
Fig. 4.1 Four-point flexure specimen	80
Fig. 4.2 Three-point flexure specimen.....	81
Fig. 4.3 Beam subjected to uniform bending.....	81
Fig. 4.4 The rectangular cross-section of a beam having elemental area dA at a distance of y from neutral axis N_1N_2	81
Fig. 4.5 Differential elements	88
Fig. 4.6 Four-point 1/4 point flexure specimen	91
Fig. 4.7 Four-point 1/3 point flexure specimen	91
Fig. 4.8 Total 180 Small flexural specimens of square cross-section before testing.....	96
Fig. 4.9 Small and large size flexural specimens having square cross-section.	96
Fig. 4.10 Testing arrangement of flexural specimens having square cross-section applied to three point bend loading (a) Large size and (b) Small size specimen	97
Fig. 4.11 Testing arrangement of flexural specimens having square cross-section applied to four-point bend with 1/3 loading (a) Large size and (b) Small size specimen	100

Fig. 4.12 Testing arrangement of flexural specimens having square cross-section applied to four point bend with 1/4 loading (a) Large size and (b) Small size specimen 100

Fig.4.13. Weibull probability plot for small three point bend specimen best fit line for LIN2 (Linear regression with two parameter Weibull distribution), MLE2-B (Biased maximum likelihood estimator with two parameter Weibull distribution) and MLE2-U (Unbiased maximum likelihood estimator with two parameter Weibull distribution parameter Weibull distribution) (b) Weibull PDF and Normal PDF plot and the failure strength data plot in histogram form for unimodular strength characterization. (c) Weibull plot and (d) Histogram plot for bimodular strength characterization 109

Fig. 4.14. (a) Weibull probability of failure plot with best fit line for LIN2, MLE2-B, and MLE2-U (b) Weibull PDF and Normal PDF plot and the failure strength data plot in histogram form for unimodular and (c) Weibull probability plot, (d) Histogram plot for Bimodular strength characterization for large three-point bend specimen 112

Fig. 4.15. (a) Weibull probability of failure plot with best fit line for LIN2, MLE2-B, and MLE2-U (b) Weibull PDF and Normal PDF plot and the failure strength data plot in histogram form for unimodular and (c) Weibull probability plot, (d) Histogram plot for Bimodular strength characterization for small four point flexural 1/3 loading specimen..... 114

Fig. 4.16. (a) Weibull probability of failure plot with best fit line for LIN2, MLE2-B, and MLE2-U (b) Weibull PDF and Normal PDF plot and the failure strength data plot in histogram form for unimodular and (c) Weibull probability

plot, (d) Histogram plot for Bimodular strength characterization for large four point flexural 1/3 loading specimen.....	116
Fig. 4.17. (a) Weibull probability of failure plot with best fit line for LIN2, MLE2-B, and MLE2-U (b) Weibull PDF and Normal PDF plot and the failure strength data plot in histogram form for unimodular and (c) Weibull probability plot, (d) Histogram plot for Bimodular strength characterization for small four point flexural 1/4 loading specimen.....	118
Fig. 4.18. (a) Weibull probability of failure plot with best fit line for LIN2, MLE2-B, and MLE2-U (b) Weibull PDF and Normal PDF plot and the failure strength data plot in histogram form for unimodular and (c) Weibull probability plot, (d) Histogram plot for Bimodular strength characterization for large four point flexural 1/4 loading specimen.....	121
Fig. 4.19 Mesh distribution for finite element analysis	129
Fig. 4.20 Normal stress distribution in x- direction for (a) three point bend specimen and (b) four point bend specimen	130
Fig. 4.21 Von-Mises Stress distribution (a) three-point bend specimen and (b) four-point bend specimen	130
Fig. 4.22 Young's Modulus plot for (a) three point bend specimen and (b) four point bend specimen	131
Fig. 4.23 (a)Young's Modulus plot on middle surface of the beam for three point bend specimen and (b) the plane at which the Fig. (a) is plotted	132
Fig. 4.24 (a) Represent the line in vertical line on which normal stresses in X- direction is plotted (b) 50 mm from left end and (c) 30 mm from left end for three point bend specimen	133

Fig. 4.25 Neutral axis shift for a range of E_t/E_c ratio for three point bend specimen at the 30mm from left end of the beam.....	134
Fig. 4.26 (a)Variation of σ_x for a range of E_t/E_c ratio in three point bend specimen, (b) the two points in compression and tension are located in specimen	135
Fig. 5.1 Four-point general flexure specimen.....	146
Fig. 5.2 Three-point flexure specimen.....	146
Fig. 5.3 Beam subjected to uniform bending.....	147
Fig. 5.4 Four-point $\frac{1}{4}$ point flexure specimen	147
Fig. 5.5 Four-point $\frac{1}{3}$ point flexure specimen	147
Fig. 5.6 Cylinder cross-section for the effective volume and surface analyses. For the effective volume, the material in the shaded zone is integrated over the cross-section. For the effective surface analysis, the surface length $dS = Rd\phi$ is integrated over the periphery	148
Fig. 5.7 Ratio of Neutral axis shift to radius of circular x-section of the beam (ξ) for a range of E_T/E_C (α)	151
Fig. 5.8 Effect of bi-modularity on effective volume in four-point- $\frac{1}{4}$ point flexure specimen	155
Fig. 5.9 Effect of bi-modularity on effective volume in four-point- $\frac{1}{3}$ point flexure specimen.....	156
Fig. 5.10 Effect of bi-modularity on effective volume in three point bend specimen	157
Fig. 5.11 Effect of bi-modularity on effective volume in uniform bending specimen	158

Fig. 5.12 Effect of bi-modularity on effective surface in four-point- $\frac{1}{4}$ point flexure specimen	161
Fig. 5.13 Effect of bi-modularity on effective surface in four-point- $\frac{1}{3}$ point flexure specimen	162
Fig. 5.14 Effect of bi-modularity on effective surface in three point bend specimen	162
Fig. 5.15 Effect of bi-modularity on effective surface in uniform bending	163
Fig. 5.16 Cylindrical flexural specimen	170
Fig. 5.17 Three point flexure assembly for (a) large, (b) small bimodular cylindrical graphite specimens.....	170
Fig. 5.18 Four point $\frac{1}{3}$ loading flexure assembly for (a) large, (b) small bimodular cylindrical graphite specimens	171
Fig. 5.19 Four point $\frac{1}{4}$ loading flexure assembly for (a) large, (b) small bimodular cylindrical graphite specimens.....	171
Fig. 5.20 Quarter symmetry finite element mesh of the cylindrical four-point-flexure $\frac{1}{3}$ loading specimen. (a) Overall model with support blocks. (b) Mesh details at the load block	173
Fig. 5.21 Weibull plot for small three point bend specimen best fit line for LIN2 (Linear regression with two parameter Weibull distribution), MLE2-B (Biased maximum likelihood estimator with two parameter Weibull distribution) and MLE2-U (Unbiased maximum likelihood estimator with two parameter Weibull distribution parameter Weibull distribution) (b) Weibull PDF and Normal PDF plot and the failure strength data plot in histogram form	176
Fig. 5.22 (a) Weibull plot for large three point bend specimen best fit line for LIN2 (Linear regression with two parameter Weibull distribution), MLE2-B	

(Biased maximum likelihood estimator with two parameter Weibull distribution) and MLE2-U (Unbiased maximum likelihood estimator with two parameter Weibull distribution parameter Weibull distribution) (b) Weibull PDF and Normal PDF plot and the failure strength data plot in histogram form 177

Fig. 5.23 (a) Weibull plot for small four point flexural 1/3 loading specimen best fit line for LIN2 (Linear regression with two parameter Weibull distribution), MLE2-B (Biased maximum likelihood estimator with two parameter Weibull distribution) and MLE2-U (Unbiased maximum likelihood estimator with two parameter Weibull distribution parameter Weibull distribution) (b) Weibull PDF and Normal PDF plot and the failure strength data plot in histogram form 179

Fig. 5.24 (a) Weibull plot for large four point flexural 1/3 loading specimen best fit line for LIN2 (Linear regression with two parameter Weibull distribution), MLE2-B (Biased maximum likelihood estimator with two parameter Weibull distribution) and MLE2-U (Unbiased maximum likelihood estimator with two parameter Weibull distribution parameter Weibull distribution) (b) Weibull PDF and Normal PDF plot and the failure strength data plot in histogram form 151

Fig. 5.25 (a) Weibull plot for small four point flexural 1/4 loading specimen, best fit line for LIN2 (Linear regression with two parameter Weibull distribution), MLE2-B (Biased maximum likelihood estimator with two parameter Weibull distribution) and MLE2-U (Unbiased maximum-likelihood estimator with two parameter Weibull distribution parameter Weibull distribution) (b) Weibull PDF and Normal PDF plot and the failure strength data plot in histogram form 182

Fig. 5.26 (a) Weibull plot for large four point flexural $\frac{1}{4}$ loading specimen, best fit line for LIN2 (Linear regression with two parameter Weibull distribution), MLE2-B (Biased maximum likelihood estimator with two parameter Weibull distribution) and MLE2-U (Unbiased maximum likelihood estimator with two parameter Weibull distribution) (b) Weibull PDF and Normal PDF plot and the failure strength data plot in histogram form	166
Fig. 6.1 C-ring specimen in diametrically tension and compression (a) Diametrical compression (b) Diametrical tension	200
Fig. 6.2 Diametrical representation of part of C-ring specimen in pure bending in compression	201
Fig. 6.3 Radial shift of neutral axis in C-ring specimen subjected to compression and tension	204
Fig. 6.4 Application of force component on elemental area	205
Fig. 6.5 Effect of bimodularity on effective volume for C-ring applied in compression	210
Fig. 6.6 Effect of bimodularity on effective volume for C-ring applied in tension	210
Fig. 6.7 Representation of surface area elements	212
Fig. 6.8 Effect of bimodularity on effective surface for C-ring applied in compression	213
Fig. 6.9 Effect of bimodularity on effective surface for C-ring applied in tension.....	215
Fig. 6.10 The specimen representation of thick C-ring specimen ($d_o=50\text{mm}$, $d_i=30\text{mm}$ and $b=10\text{mm}$) and thin C-ring specimen ($d_o=50\text{mm}$, $d_i=40\text{mm}$ and $b=10\text{mm}$)	217

Fig. 6.11 The C-ring specimen tested in compression with (a) thin specimen ($d_o=50\text{mm}$ & $d_i=40\text{mm}$) and (b) thick specimen ($d_o=50\text{mm}$ & $d_i=30\text{mm}$).....219

Fig. 6.12 The C-ring specimen tested in tension with (a) thin specimen ($d_o=50\text{mm}$ & $d_i=40\text{mm}$) and (b) thick specimen ($d_o=50\text{mm}$ & $d_i=30\text{mm}$)220

Fig. 6.13 Specially design Fixtures of C-ring specimen during tensile test (a) Fixture train of one sided attachment to the specimen, (b) Cross head attachment yoke, (c) Chain Journal, (d) Chain (minimum 4), (e) Pins221

Fig. 6.14 Six mesh model for thick C-ring specimen during tensile test and compressive test (a) 800 elements, (b) 3072 elements, (c) 6400 elements, (d) 18000 elements, (e) 32000 elements, (f) 50000 elements223

Fig. 6.15 Six mesh model for thin C-ring specimen during tensile test and compressive test (a) 800 elements, (b) 3072 elements, (c) 6400 elements, (d) 18000 elements, (e) 32000 elements, (f) 50000 element.224

Fig. 6.16 First principal stress contour for the Compression test of (a) Thick C-ring specimen, (b)Thin C-ring specimen and Tension test of (c) Thick C-ring specimen , (d)Thin C-ring specimen.....225

Fig. 6.17 (a) Weibull plot for thin C-ring specimen tested in tension, best fit line for LIN2 (linear regression with two parameter Weibull distribution), MLE2-B (biased) and MLE2-U (unbiased maximum likelihood estimator with two parameter Weibull distribution parameter), (b) Weibull PDF (Probability density Function) and normal PDF plot and the failure strength data plot in histogram form, (c) Graphical representation of Weibull parameter with likelihood ratio ring and Bain-Antle confidence for unimodular and (d) for bimodular strength.....227

Fig. 6.18 (a) Weibull plot for thick C-ring specimen tested in tension, best fit line for LIN2 (linear regression with two parameter Weibull distribution), MLE2-B (biased) and MLE2-U (unbiased maximum likelihood estimator with two parameter Weibull distribution parameter), (b) Weibull PDF (Probability density Function) and normal PDF plot and the failure strength data plot in histogram form, (c) Graphical representation of Weibull parameter with likelihood ratio ring and Bain-Antle confidence for unimodular and (d) for bimodular strength.....230

Fig. 6.19 (a) Weibull plot for thin C-ring specimen tested in compression, best fit line for LIN2 (linear regression with two parameter Weibull distribution), MLE2-B (biased) and MLE2-U (unbiased maximum likelihood estimator with two parameter Weibull distribution parameter), (b) Weibull PDF (Probability density Function) and normal PDF plot and the failure strength data plot in histogram form, (c) Graphical representation of Weibull parameter with likelihood ratio ring and Bain-Antle confidence for unimodular and (d) for bimodular strength.....232

Fig. 6.20 (a) Weibull plot for thick C-ring specimen tested in compression, best fit line for LIN2 (linear regression with two parameter Weibull distribution), MLE2-B (biased) and MLE2-U (unbiased maximum likelihood estimator with two parameter Weibull distribution parameter), (b) Weibull PDF (Probability density Function) and normal PDF plot and the failure strength data plot in histogram form, (c) Graphical representation of Weibull parameter with likelihood ratio ring and Bain-Antle confidence for unimodular and (d) for bimodular strength.....234

Fig. 7.1 The SENB square cross-section (10X10mm ²) specimens having support length of 50mm (a) SENB specimen with the fixture and (b) Enlarge view of notch tip as per ASTM D7779 standard (ASTM D7779-11 2011) (c) Schematic of fracture specimen	262
Fig. 7.2 Load versus displacement plot for all thirty SENB specimens	267
Fig. 7.3 The plot between energy release rate and crack extension Δa	271
Fig. 7.4 Weibull distribution plot between Cumulative Probability of failure of cracked specimens against peak load and best fit line drawn with least square estimator (LIN2), biased (MLE2-B) and unbiased (MLE2-U) maximum likelihood estimator	277
Fig. 7.5 The probability density function plot with random data representation in bar chart with normal and Weibull distribution.....	282
Fig. 7.6 Configuration of crack tip $\{ \Gamma_1$ (arbitrary curve surrounding area A_1), Γ_c (curve along the crack surface), A_2 (fracture process region), Γ_2 (boundary of A_2) } around a region of infinitesimal thickness enclosing the Crack Front	278
Fig. 7.7 Representation of propagation of crack tip from O to O ₁ in (a) 2D boundary and (b) 3D domain	284
Fig. 7.8 Contour representation for evaluating J -integral	294
Fig. 7.9 FE mesh distribution for the edge cracked three-point bend equivalent numerical specimen	297
Fig. 7.10 The Von-Mises stress plot for graphite having bimodular ratio 1.51 and subjected to mean peak load 94.28 N	298
Fig. 7.11 Young's Modulus of elasticity plot for graphite having bimodular ratio 1.51 and subjected to mean peak load 94.28 N	298

Fig. 7.12 Comparison of overall 3D J -integral with its constitutive line and area integral for (a) Unimodular specimen (b) Bimodular specimen. (Bimodular Elasticity ratio = 1.51)	300
Fig. 7.13 Comparison of J_{Ic} (evaluated from experimental K_{Ic}) and G_{Ic}	301
Fig. 8.1 Three-point bend specimen with contours and boundary conditions.....	314
Fig. 8.2 Sectional plane for different $2Z/t$ ratio across the thickness of the body.....	315
Fig. 8.3 (a) Influence of mesh refinement on maximum displacements (b) Influence of mesh refinement on maximum Von Mises stress.....	319
Fig. 8.4 Finite element meshing of cracked bimodular flexural specimen.....	320
Fig. 8.5 Neutral surface shift for different bimodular material having same specimen dimension.....	322
Fig. 8.6 Normalized J vs. E_T/E_C ratio at all contours	322
Fig. 8.7 Normalized J vs. Load level for different E_T/E_C ratio.....	324
Fig. 8.8 (a) Two through-thickness points located in the specimen (b) Distribution of σ_x for a range of E_T/E_C ratio in three point bend specimen	325
Fig. 8.9 Representation of total J_e , line and area integral for seven contours for different planes having (a) $2z/t = 0.08$, (b) $2z/t = 0.48$ and (c) $2z/t=0.96$	327
Fig. 8.10 Variation of area integral for referred planes (Fig. 8.2) in the bimodular crack specimen.....	329
Fig. 8.11. Variation of Area integral for different $2Z/t$ planes for bimodular ratio (a) $E_T/E_C=0.7$, (b) $E_T/E_C=1.0$ and (c) $E_T/E_C=1.3$	332
Fig. 9.1. Configuration of crack tip $\{ \Gamma_1$ (arbitrary curve surrounding area A_1), Γ_c (curve along the crack surface), A_2 (fracture process region), Γ_2 (boundary of A_2)} around a region of infinitesimal thickness enclosing the crack front	339

Fig. 9.2 Representation of propagation of crack tip from O to O_1 in (a) 2D boundary and (b) 3D domain	339
Fig. 9.3 Integrating contours and applied boundary condition in the magnetic field	340
Fig. 9.4 (a) Sectional view and (b) Mesh distribution of cracked specimen model with steel housing enclosing the drive coil.....	348
Fig. 10.1 Representation of a point in dynamic equilibrium in 3D curvilinear system	355
Fig. 11.2 Configuration of crack tip $\{ \Gamma_A$ (arbitrary path surrounding area A), Γ_S^\pm (path along the curved crack surface), A_p (fracture process region), Γ_p (boundary of A_p) $\}$ around a region of infinitesimal thickness enclosing the Crack Front ..	358