

# **Magnetic and photo induced hyperthermia performance of nanostructured ferrites for cancer treatment**



**Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment  
for the Award of degree**

*Doctor of Philosophy*

by

**Subham Kumar Shaw**

**DEPARTMENT OF METALLURGICAL ENGINEERING  
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
(BANARAS HINDU UNIVERSITY)  
VARANASI – 221005, INDIA**

**Roll No. 16141007**

**2022**

## **CERTIFICATE**

This is to certify that the revised thesis titled '*Magnetic and photo induced hyperthermia performance of nanostructured ferrites for cancer treatment*' by **Mr. Subham Kumar Shaw** in partial fulfillment for the award of Ph. D. in Department of Metallurgical Engineering, IIT (BHU), Varanasi is record of bona fide work carried out by him.

Date of Submission: 07/09/2022

Dr. N.K. Prasad  
(Supervisor)  
(Associate Professor)  
Department of Metallurgical Engineering  
Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi

Prof. Dr. P. Maiti  
(Co-supervisor)  
( Professor)  
School of Materials Science and Technology  
Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi

---

## **DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE**

I, **Subham Kumar Shaw**, certify that the work embodied in this thesis is my bonafide work and carried out by me under the supervision of **Dr. N.K. Prasad** and **Prof. Dr. P. Maiti** from **July 2016 to February 2022** at the '**Department of Metallurgical Engineering**', Indian Institute of Technology, Varanasi. The matter embodied in this thesis has not been submitted for the award of any other degree/diploma. I declare that I have faithfully acknowledged and given credits to the research workers wherever their works have been cited in my work in this thesis. I further declare that I have not willfully copied any other's work, paragraphs, text, data, results, etc., reported in journals, books, magazines, reports dissertations, thesis, etc., or available at websites and have not included them in this thesis and have not cited as my work.

Date: 07/09/2022

Place: Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi

(Subham Kumar Shaw)

## **CERTIFICATE BY THE SUPERVISOR**

It is certified that the above statement made by the student is correct to the best of my knowledge.

**Dr. N.K. Prasad** (Supervisor)  
(Associate Professor)  
Department of Metallurgical Engineering  
Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi

**Prof. Dr. P. Maiti** (Co-supervisor)  
( Professor)  
School of Materials Science and Technology  
Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi

**Professor and Head**  
Department of Metallurgical Engineering  
Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University)  
Varanasi – 221005 INDIA

---

## **COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE**

**Title of the Thesis: *'Magnetic and photo induced hyperthermia performance of nanostructured ferrites for cancer treatment'***

**Name of the Student: Subham Kumar Shaw**

### **Copyright Transfer**

The undersigned hereby assigns to the Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University), Varanasi all rights under copyright that may exist in and for the above thesis submitted for the award of the ***DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY***.

**Date: 07-09-2022**

**Place: IIT (BHU), Varanasi**

*(Subham Kumar Shaw)*

**Note: However, the author may reproduce or authorize others to reproduce material extracted verbatim from the thesis or derivative of the thesis for the author's personal use provided that the source and the institute's copyright notice are indicated.**

---



---

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all who have helped directly or indirectly in the completion of current work. I am very grateful and in debt to my supervisor Dr. N.K. Prasad and Prof. P. Maiti for giving their consistent help, encouragement, valuable discussions, and also the faith in me during the entire period of my research work. I am grateful to Dr. N. K. Prasad for his efforts in arranging the equipment required for characterizations which helped me to complete my research work.

Besides my supervisors, I would like to thank RPEC members: Dr. K. Chattopadhyay and Prof. K. Mandal for their insightful comments and encouragement.

I sincerely thank Director (IIT, BHU) Prof. Sunil Mohan, Head of the Department of Metallurgical Engineering, and the former Heads Prof. N. K. Mukhopadhyay and Prof. R. K. Mandal for providing the research facilities to accomplish my research in the Department.

I am grateful to the In charge and staffs of 'CIFC', IIT (BHU), Varanasi for XRD and XPS measurements. I am thankful to Mr. L. Singh and Mr. A.K.L Das for helping in the TEM study of  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and MnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. I am thankful to Mr. A. Sharma (IIT Bombay), for assisting in the TEM study of mesoporous Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Mr. Sher Singh Meena (Solid state physics division, BARC), Dr. Santosh K Gupta (Radiochemistry division, BARC) and Late Dr. C. L. prajapat (Technical physics division, BARC), for extending their facilities and expertise (Mössbauer, PL spectroscopy and magnetic characterization), without whom I would not be able to complete this work.

I am thankful to Dr. D. Dash and Dr. J. Kailashiya, (Department of biochemistry, IMS BHU) for extending their facilities and helping me in in-vitro studies.

I am thankful to my lab-seniors and lab-mates, Dr. S. K. Alla, Dr. A. Gangar, Mr. N. Sai. Anurag and Miss S. Pradhan for providing a healthy and cooperative work environment.

I am very much thankful to my friends, Dr. Raj, Mr. Aman, Mr. Vinay, Dr. Asnit, Mr. Anurag, Mr. Sankata, Mr. Awnish, Mr. Ankit, Mr. Chandrasekhar, Mr. Sharvan and Mr. Amit for providing healthy work environment and delightful moments which made the Ph.D journey smooth and cheerful.

Last, but not least, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my family, my parents Smt. Mira Devi and Late Shri Sanjiwan Shaw for educating me and their unconditional support and encouragement to pursue my interest.

I also wish to thank all my friends and the person whose names have not been mentioned in this piece of paper for extending their co-operation directly or indirectly.

(Subham Kumar Shaw)

## Table of Contents

List of Figures .....	iii
List of Tables .....	ix
Abbreviations.....	xi
Preface .....	xiii
Chapter 1: Introduction and literature review .....	3
1.1. Introduction.....	3
1.2. Magnetic properties at nanoscale .....	5
1.3. Magnetic fluid hyperthermia.....	7
1.4 Magnetic fluids.....	8
1.5 Obtaining monodisperse MNPs .....	10
1.6. Heating mechanism.....	14
1.6.1. Dependence on field amplitude and frequency of AMF .....	16
1.6.2. Dependence on size, shape and structure of MNPs.....	21
1.7. Heat output measurements (SLP).....	26
1.8. MNPs as photo-thermal agents .....	28
1.9. Motivation .....	30
1.10. Scope of Work.....	31
1.11. Objective of Work.....	32
Chapter 2: Experimental details.....	35
2.1. Materials.....	35
2.2. Synthesis procedure .....	35
2.2.1. $\gamma$ -Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> magnetic nanoflowers .....	35
2.2.2. MnFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoflowers .....	36
2.2.3. Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> mesoporous nanoparticles.....	36
2.3. Magnetic ferrofluid .....	37
2.4. Materials characterisation .....	38
2.4.1. X-ray diffraction (XRD).....	38
2.4.2. Mössbauer spectroscopy.....	38
2.4.3. Electron microscopy (EM) .....	39

2.4.4. Thermo gravimetric analysis (TGA).....	39
2.4.5. Magnetic measurements.....	39
2.4.6. DLS and Zeta potential .....	40
2.4.7. Heating ability.....	40
2.4.8. Photoluminescence (PL) and vis-NIR absorption spectroscopy.....	41
2.4.9. Photothermal transduction efficiency ( $\eta$ ) .....	41
2.4.10. In-Vitro studies .....	42
Chapter 3: $\gamma$ -Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoflowers.....	47
3.1. Introduction .....	47
3.2. Results and Discussion .....	48
3.3 Conclusion .....	67
Chapter 4: MnFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoflower.....	71
4.1. Introduction .....	71
4.2. Results and discussion .....	71
4.3. Conclusion .....	88
Chapter 5: Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> mesoporous nanoparticles.....	93
5.1. Introduction .....	93
5.2. Results and discussion .....	94
5.3. Conclusion .....	115
Chapter 6: Overall conclusion and future prospects .....	119
6.1 Conclusions .....	119
6.2 Future scope.....	121
References .....	123
List of Publications.....	141

## List of Figures

<i>Figure 3. 1: (a-f) Bright field TEM micrographs of MNFs (S13-S18).</i> .....	48
<i>Figure 3. 2: (a) XRD patterns of MNFs, (b) variation in the crystallite size and lattice parameter with NaOAc concentration.</i> .....	49
<i>Figure 3. 3: Dark field and high-resolution TEM images for (a, b) S13 and (c, d) S17 sample.</i> .....	50
<i>Figure 3. 4: SAED pattern for S13-S18 MNFs.</i> .....	51
<i>Figure 3. 5: Room temperature Mössbauer spectra of as prepared MNFs (S13-S18).</i> .....	53
<i>Figure 3. 6: TGA profile for S13-S18 MNFs.</i> .....	55
<i>Figure 3. 7: Room temperature magnetisation vs. field curve for (a) S13-S17 and (b) S18 MNFs.</i> .....	56
<i>Figure 3. 8: (a) DC magnetic parameters, (b) magnetisation at 250 Oe at 300 K for prepared MNFs.</i> .....	57
<i>Figure 3. 9: Temperature dependent (5 – 350 K) magnetic behaviour (ZFC-FC) for sample (a) S13 and (b) S17.</i> .....	57
<i>Figure 3. 10: (a) DLS – hydrodynamic size of S13, S15 and S17 ferrofluids and (b) pH dependent zeta-potential of S17 ferrofluid.</i> .....	58
<i>Figure 3. 11: (a) Temperature vs time curves of 5 mg/mL aqueous ferrofluids of all MNFs and (b) corresponding ILP values and inset shows the enlarged values of S13, S14 and S15 samples.</i> .....	59
<i>Figure 3. 12: Concentration dependent heating behaviour of sample S17 at AMF of (a, b) 250 Oe, 112 kHz and (c, d) 170 Oe, 330 kHz.</i> .....	61

<i>Figure 3. 13: (a) Temperature vs. time curves for 0.5 mg/mL aqueous suspension of S13 - S18 MNFs and (b) concentration dependent heating behaviour of aqueous suspension of sample S17 when exposed to NIR laser of wavelength 808 nm of 0.66 Wcm<sup>-2</sup> (solid symbol) and 0.33 Wcm<sup>-2</sup>(open symbol).</i> .....	62
<i>Figure 3. 14: (a) PL excitation (<math>\lambda_{em}=532</math> nm), (b) PL emission (<math>\lambda_{ex}=232</math> nm), (c) PL decay profile (<math>\lambda_{ex}=232</math> nm and <math>\lambda_{em}=530</math> nm) of S13 and S17 MNFs and (d) color coordinate diagram.</i> .....	64
<i>Figure 3. 15: (a) UV-vis absorption spectra of samples S13 and S17 and (b) heating and cooling curves for 0.5 mg/mL aqueous suspension of S17 with 0.66 and 0.33 Wcm<sup>-2</sup> laser irradiation.</i> .....	65
<i>Figure 4. 1: (a) Rietveld refined XRD pattern and (b) room temperature Mössbauer spectrum of MF powder sample.</i> .....	72
<i>Figure 4. 2: (a) Bright-field TEM image (the insets show the size distribution profile and morphology at higher magnification) and (b) SAED pattern for MF sample.</i> .....	74
<i>Figure 4. 3: (a) SEM-EDS elemental mapping for (i) Mn, (ii) Fe and (iii) O, (b) EDS spectrum and (c) elemental composition of MF powder sample.</i> .....	75
<i>Figure 4. 4: TGA thermograph curve of MF powder sample.</i> .....	76
<i>Figure 4. 5: High-resolution XPS spectra for (a) Fe 2p, (b) Mn 2p, (c) Fe 3p, (d) O 1s and (e) C 1s core-levels of MF powder sample.</i> .....	77
<i>Figure 4. 6: (a) Room temperature magnetisation vs. field curve and (b) temperature dependent (5 – 300 K) magnetic behaviour (ZFC-FC) for powder MF sample.</i> .....	78

Figure 4. 7: (a) DLS – hydrodynamic size profile and (b) pH dependent zeta-potential of particles in aqueous ferrofluid.....	80
Figure 4. 8: (a) Field intensity and frequency dependent temperature rise curves with time for aqueous ferrofluids of concentration 2 mg/mL, and (b) concentration dependent temperature rise curves at the AMF of 170 Oe, 330 kHz. ....	81
Figure 4. 9: Concentration dependent heating behaviour of aqueous suspension of MF when exposed to NIR laser of wavelength 808 nm of (a) $0.66 \text{ Wcm}^{-2}$ and (b) $0.33 \text{ Wcm}^{-2}$ power density. ....	83
Figure 4. 10: (a) Emission (Visible range), (b) Emission (NIR range) (c) excitation and (d) lifetime spectra of aqueous suspension of MF sample. ....	85
Figure 4. 11: (a) Concentration dependent UV-vis absorption spectra, and (b) heating and cooling curves for 0.5 mg/mL aqueous suspension under $0.33 \text{ Wcm}^{-2}$ laser irradiation. ....	87
Figure 5. 1: (a) Rietveld refined XRD pattern and (b) room temperature Mössbauer spectrum of powder sample.....	94
Figure 5. 2: (a) Bright-field TEM image (the insets show the size distribution profile), (b) high-magnification TEM image marked with the diameter, (c) HAADF-TEM image, (d) & (e) high-resolution TEM images and (b) SAED pattern of the meso-porous $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ sample.	97
Figure 5. 3: HAADF-STEM line profile of mesoporous $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ nanoparticles. ....	99
Figure 5. 4: Schematic representation of synthesis process.....	100
Figure 5. 5: (a & b) Bright-field and HAADF-TEM images of the sample obtained in solvent consisting of 75% DEG and 25% EG (route 2), (c) bright-field TEM images of the sample obtained in solvent 100% DEG (route 3) and (d) 100% EG (route 4). ....	100

<i>Figure 5. 6: (a) Bright-field TEM image and (b) SAED pattern of the sample obtained using anhydrous FeCl<sub>3</sub> through route 1.....</i>	<i>101</i>
<i>Figure 5. 7: (a) TGA curve, and (b) FT-IR spectrum of meso-porous Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles. .....</i>	<i>102</i>
<i>Figure 5. 8: (a) Magnetisation vs. field loops at 300 and 5 K and (b) temperature dependent (5 – 300 K) magnetic behaviour (ZFC-FC) of meso-porous Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.....</i>	<i>103</i>
<i>Figure 5. 9: HAADF-TEM images of meso-porous Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (obtained from route 1) displaying the chain formation. ....</i>	<i>104</i>
<i>Figure 5. 10: (a) DLS – hydrodynamic size profile and (b) pH dependent zeta-potential of particles in aqueous ferrofluid. ....</i>	<i>105</i>
<i>Figure 5. 11: (a) Concentration dependent temperature rise profile with time of aqueous ferrofluids at 170 Oe and 330 kHz, (b) corresponding ILP values of the ferrofluids, and concentration dependent heating behaviour of aqueous suspensions when exposed to NIR laser of power density (c) 0.33 Wcm<sup>-2</sup> and (d) 0.66 Wcm<sup>-2</sup>.....</i>	<i>106</i>
<i>Figure 5. 12: (a) PL excitation, (b) PL emission (Visible Region), (c) PL emission (NIR region) and (d) luminescence decay profile of mesoporous Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles.....</i>	<i>109</i>
<i>Figure 5. 13: (a) Visible region Color Index Diagram and (b) schematic showing mechanism of visible and NIR PL peak in mesoporous Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles. ....</i>	<i>110</i>
<i>Figure 5. 14: (a) Concentration dependent UV-vis absorption spectra, and (b) heating and cooling curve for 0.5 mg/mL aqueous suspension with 808 nm laser irradiation of power density 0.33 Wcm<sup>-2</sup>. ....</i>	<i>111</i>
<i>Figure 5. 15: Confocal microscopy images showing SiHa cells stained with phalloidin (green, for actin in cytoplasm) and propidium iodide (red, for nucleus) with and without MNPs incubation at different time intervals. ....</i>	<i>112</i>

<i>Figure 5. 16: MTT assays of SiHa cells with and without MNPs and PTT (laser treatment); ns: not significant, ****:<math>p \leq 0.0001</math>.....</i>	<i>113</i>
<i>Figure 5. 17: Fluorescence microscopy images showing SiHa cells stained with Calcine-AM (green) and propidium iodide (red, for nucleus) after PTT.....</i>	<i>114</i>



**List of Tables**

<i>Table 3. 1: Parameters obtained from Mössbauer spectra for as prepared MNFs recorded at room temperature. ....</i>	<i>54</i>
<i>Table 4. 1: Parameters obtained from room temperature Mössbauer spectrum of powder MF sample. ....</i>	<i>72</i>
<i>Table 4. 2: Summary of structural data of MF sample after Rietveld refinement. ....</i>	<i>73</i>
<i>Table 5. 1: Parameters obtained from room temperature Mössbauer spectrum of powder sample. ....</i>	<i>95</i>
<i>Table 5. 2: Magnetic parameters obtained from M-H loops of mesoporous Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> measured at 300 and 5 K. ....</i>	<i>103</i>



## Abbreviations

MNPs	Magnetic Nanoparticles
MNFs	Magnetic Nanoflowers
MFs	Magnetic fluids
EG	Ethylene Glycol
DEG	Diethylene Glycol
MFH	Magnetic Fluid Hyperthermia
PTT	Photothermal Therapy
NIR	Near Infrared
AMF	Alternating Current Magnetic Field
TEM	Transmission Electron Microscopy
BF	Bright Field
DP	Diffraction Pattern
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopy
EDS	Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy
XRD	X-ray Diffraction
XPS	X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy
TGA	Thermogravimetric analysis
PL	Photoluminescence



## Preface

As an alternative strategy, nanoparticles (NPs) mediated localized hyperthermia treatment modalities have shown most promising domino effect during clinical and various *in-vivo* and *in-vitro* studies. These localized treatment approach utilizes the heat generated by NPs in selective destruction of cancer cells under the application of a distant energy source. The malignant tumors are more sensitive to heat than that of the normal tissues due to its tortuous, disorganized vasculature which overflows with blind ends and abnormal bulges, these can be preferentially destroyed in 42 to 46 °C temperature range. Use of NPs offers several advantages. For example, NPs can easily pass into several tumours whose pore sizes are in 200–780 nm range increasing the effectiveness by delivering therapeutic heat directly to them. In addition, these NPs can be functionalized with cancer-specific binding agents and the possibility of NPs to form stable colloidal fluids allow for the intravenous or local hypodermal injection in the tumor tissues, resulting in obvious advantages such as less invasion, high targeting and low side effects. In the present-day nanoscale era the NPs assisted localized treatment based on magnetic- and photo-induced thermal therapy has gained much popularity in which heat is generated using either magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) or photo-sensitive NPs, respectively. However, the use of NPs in biomedical applications is conditioned to their associated toxicity in biological systems. The magnetic-induced thermal therapy employing MNPs is known as magnetic fluid hyperthermia (MFH) while photo-induced thermal therapy with photo-sensitive NPs is known as photo-thermal therapy (PTT).

Iron oxide nanoparticles (IONPs) owing to their excellent biocompatibility are considered the most suitable candidate for MFH and render them as prospective material for

several bio-applications including drug delivery, magnetic targeting, cell separation, magnetic resonance imaging and magnetic particle imaging. Moreover, their unique magnetic property allows them to be remotely controlled and guided to a specific site (tumour region) using a magnet to provide an on-demand toxic amount of heat by applying a high frequency alternating magnetic field (AMF). When triggered with an AMF, the heat is released due to hysteresis losses which arise from phase delay in magnetisation response of the material to the applied AMF. The heat released can be improved by increasing the area under the A.C. hysteresis loop, which depends on the saturation magnetisation and coercive field of magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs).

To reduce the possible toxic effect associated with foreign particles, the usage of a minimal amount of MNPs for MFH is highly advantageous and demand of the time. It could be achieved using magnetic materials with high intrinsic loss power (ILP, a parameter which express the heating efficacy) value. With high ILP values materials, a low amount would be required to attain the therapeutic temperature even at low field intensity and frequency. Moreover, it could also aid in the likelihood of handling metastatic malignance where the accumulation of MNPs is relatively low. In the past few years various strategies, which aim at enhancing the saturation magnetisation and anisotropy of the material have been suggested to improve the heating behaviour. It includes modifying the size, shape, structure and chemical composition of the IONPs. One specific structure, magnetic nanoflowers (MNFs) of iron oxide, is quite stirring as they have shown outstanding heating performance during MFH. In addition, various other MNPs have been also evaluated and were found to display effective heating during MFH.  $\text{MnFe}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles, in particular, have displayed heating and biocompatibility in line with IONPs. We believe their heating performance could further

be increased with nanoflower morphology as witnessed for iron oxide nanoflowers over iron oxide mono-core particles.

The PTT is centred on nanoparticles' ability to translate the electromagnetic radiation of near-infrared (NIR) wavelength to heat for the destruction of cancer cells. Various photo-sensitive nano-materials, such as gold, carbon nanotubes and graphene have been studied to explore their ability to generate heat during PTT. However, the clinical utilisation of these materials is limited by their non-specific distribution in normal tissues decreasing the accumulation of the photo-thermal agents in tumour sites and the potential *in-vivo* toxicity associated with non-biodegradable and bio-persistent behaviour. Recently, IONPs have demonstrated effective heating behaviour during PTT and that too at a much lower amount generally required during MFH. Moreover, a combined exposure of AMF and NIR irradiation can effectively enhance their heating output. Thus, biocompatible IONPs can substantiate as an effective photo-thermal material mitigating the limitations mentioned above. However, only a few reports are available on the heating effect of IONPs under NIR irradiation, and much of them were evaluated at higher power density than recommended for human use. A rigorous study is required to realise a high-performance MNPs which can effectively release heat under NIR irradiation of low power density, in addition to MFH.

This thesis as whole consists of six chapters:

Chapter-1 describes the literature on the structural and magnetic properties of MNPs, synthesis protocols to obtain mono-disperse MNPs, brief introduction to their application in cancer treatment through temperature rise, and factors governing the heating performance of such MNPs when exposed to AMF and NIR-laser.

Chapter- 2 provides the details of the materials and methods used for the synthesis of  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoflowers, MnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoflowers and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> mesoporous nanoparticles. This chapter also includes the characterization techniques employed for evaluation of properties of the samples.

Chapter- 3 deals with the evaluation of heating behaviour of  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> MNFs of different size by exposing with AMF and NIR laser in separate experiments. The use of microwave energy during synthesis provided the fast reaction kinetics, reducing the synthesis time to 90 min from several hours required in the solvothermal process for getting MNFs. Varying the concentration of sodium acetate allowed us to tune the size and crystallinity of nanoflowers. Better crystallinity of the nanoflowers was found to enhance the magnetic properties (viz. higher saturation magnetisation and relatively high coercive field) leading to better heating performance during MFH. A high ILP value of  $15.21 \pm 0.34 \text{ nHm}^2\text{kg}^{-1}$  attained for these nanoflowers. Better absorbance, limited radiative emission (or higher non-radiative relaxations), agglomeration and smaller size were the factors which found to affect the heating performance of nanoflowers during PTT.

Chapter-4 deals with the study of heating performance of manganese ferrite nanoflowers, which was obtained utilizing the solvothermal process, by exposing them to AMF during MFH and NIR irradiation for PTT. The nanoflowers displayed improved saturation magnetisation,  $\sim 75 \text{ emu/g}$  which could be due to the reduced surface disorder and possible magnetic ordering within the flowers. The highest ILP value of  $5.31 \pm 0.079 \text{ nHm}^2\text{kg}^{-1}$  observed at an AMF of amplitude 170 Oe and frequency 330 kHz is comparable to the values for Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoflowers reported earlier. The material showed a very high heating capability under NIR irradiation of low power density. The intense NIR photo-counts is one

reason for their excellent photo-thermal performance. The aqueous suspension of the nanoflowers showed good absorbance near NIR region and a photo-thermal conversion efficiency of ~63%. Under NIR irradiation the therapeutic temperature (~42-46 °C) was achievable even at a low concentration of 0.1 mg/mL.

Chapter- 5 comprises a variety of components under one umbrella wherein a highly mono-dispersed mesoporous Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs through solvothermal process. The material displayed an early saturation behaviour having high saturation magnetization value of ~83 emu/g at room temperature. A high ILP value of  $\sim 5.30 \pm 0.25 \text{ nHm}^2\text{kg}^{-1}$  was obtained during MFH, at an AMF of amplitude 170 Oe and frequency 330 kHz, for the aqueous ferrofluid of concentration 0.5 mg/mL. The ILP value decreased on increasing the concentration of the ferrofluid due to the effect of enhanced dipolar effect at higher concentrations. The material showed extraordinary heating behaviour under NIR (808 nm) irradiation of  $0.33 \text{ Wcm}^{-2}$  power density. The intense NIR photo-counts observed in NIR PL experiments, due to the trapped electrons in the tetrahedral site, is the primary reason for their excellent photothermal performance. The aqueous suspension of the mesoporous nanoparticles showed good absorbance near the NIR region and photothermal conversion efficiency of ~61%. The in-vitro studies advise that the mesoporous Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles could easily internalize in the cells and a high rate of cells death was noticed during PTT even at a concentration as low as 250 µg/mL for an exposure time of only 5 min.

Chapter-6 contains overall summary and major conclusions drawn from the present investigation. It also has some suggestions for the future work which may be explored further for better understanding as well as utilization of iron carbide based materials.

