

# Table of contents

<b>List of figures</b>	<b>xx</b>
<b>List of tables</b>	<b>xxv</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Definition of Remote Sensing . . . . .	1
1.2 Concept and basis of Remote Sensing . . . . .	2
1.3 Microwave Remote Sensing . . . . .	5
1.3.1 Wavelength/Frequency . . . . .	6
1.3.2 Polarization . . . . .	8
1.3.3 Incidence angle . . . . .	9
1.4 Optical Remote Sensing . . . . .	10
1.4.1 Solar Irradiation . . . . .	11
1.4.2 Spectral Reflectance Signature . . . . .	11
1.5 Theory and models of electromagnetic backscattering: . . . . .	14
1.5.1 Biophysical and soil-surface parameters . . . . .	15
1.5.2 Vegetation Scattering Model . . . . .	19
1.5.3 Soil-Surface Scattering Model . . . . .	22
1.6 Literature review . . . . .	23
1.7 Motivation . . . . .	28

---

1.8	Scope and Research Objective . . . . .	30
1.9	Thesis Outline . . . . .	31
<b>2</b>	<b>Materials and Methodology</b>	<b>35</b>
2.1	Satellite Data: Key Traits and Attributes . . . . .	35
2.1.1	Optical data images . . . . .	35
2.1.2	SAR satellite data . . . . .	36
2.2	Ground truth data acquisition . . . . .	40
2.2.1	LAI measurement . . . . .	40
2.2.2	Soil moisture ( $m_v$ ) and surface roughness measurement . . . . .	40
2.3	Methodology . . . . .	41
2.3.1	Microwave backscattering modelling . . . . .	42
2.4	Polarimetric Analysis . . . . .	44
2.4.1	Scattering, Covariance and Coherency Matrix . . . . .	45
2.4.2	Calculation of different polarimetric index . . . . .	48
2.4.3	Degree of Polarization . . . . .	49
2.4.4	Dual Polarized Radar Vegetation Index (DpRVI) . . . . .	49
2.4.5	Polarimetric radar vegetation index (PRVI) . . . . .	49
2.4.6	Radar vegetation index (RVI) . . . . .	50
2.5	Data Fusion . . . . .	50
<b>3</b>	<b>Synergy of dual – polarimetric radar vegetation descriptor and Gaussian processes regression algorithm for estimation of leaf area index</b>	<b>52</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	52
3.2	Study area and data used . . . . .	55
3.2.1	Study area . . . . .	55
3.2.2	In-situ measurements . . . . .	56

3.2.3	Satellite data . . . . .	56
3.3	Methodology . . . . .	57
3.3.1	LAI estimation based on radiative transfer model within SNAP biophysical processor . . . . .	57
3.3.2	Computation of dual-polarized radar vegetation index (DpRVI) . . . . .	58
3.4	Gaussian processes regression (GPR) . . . . .	59
3.4.1	Performance metrics . . . . .	60
3.5	Results and discussion . . . . .	61
3.5.1	Validation of LAI retrieved from SNAP biophysical processor . . . . .	61
3.5.2	Determination of DpRVI using S1 SAR images . . . . .	63
3.5.3	LAI estimation using trained GPR-LAI model and validation . . . . .	63
3.5.4	Sensitivity analysis of the GPR-LAI model . . . . .	66
3.6	Conclusion . . . . .	67
<b>4</b>	<b>Incorporation of first-order backscattered power in Water Cloud Model for im- proving the Leaf Area Index and Soil Moisture retrieval using dual-polarized Sentinel-1 SAR data</b> . . . . .	<b>69</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	69
4.2	Study area and data used . . . . .	74
4.2.1	Study area . . . . .	74
4.2.2	Field data observation . . . . .	75
4.2.3	Satellite data . . . . .	77
4.3	Methodology . . . . .	78
4.3.1	SAR data processing . . . . .	78
4.3.2	Estimation of vegetation, soil, and interaction scaling constant parameter . . . . .	79
4.4	Modified WCM model . . . . .	83

---

4.4.1	Modified surface scattering Oh model . . . . .	84
4.5	Vegetation-soil scattering model (VSSM) . . . . .	85
4.5.1	Parametrization and calibration of WCM . . . . .	87
4.6	The sensitivity analysis of the proposed mWCM . . . . .	88
4.7	Results . . . . .	91
4.7.1	Investigation of relation between vegetation and <b>m</b> . . . . .	91
4.7.2	Calculation of backscattering coefficient and WCM inversion . . .	92
4.7.3	Calculation of backscattering coefficients and WCM inversion after including first-order scattering . . . . .	94
4.8	Conclusion . . . . .	97
<b>5</b>	<b>An improved volume power approach to estimate LAI from optimized dual- polarized SAR decomposition</b> . . . . .	<b>102</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	102
5.2	Study area . . . . .	105
5.3	Materials . . . . .	106
5.3.1	Field sampling . . . . .	106
5.3.2	Satellite . . . . .	107
5.4	Methods . . . . .	108
5.4.1	Theoretical background . . . . .	108
5.4.2	Freeman-Durden decomposition method . . . . .	110
5.4.3	Odd-bounce and volume scattering . . . . .	110
5.4.4	Decomposition for dual polarization . . . . .	113
5.5	Definition of different volume power (VP) indices . . . . .	117
5.5.1	Volume power modeling . . . . .	118
5.5.2	Model parametrization and inversion . . . . .	120
5.6	Results . . . . .	120

---

5.6.1	H-alpha decomposition for dual polarization . . . . .	120
5.6.2	Calculation of degree of polarization . . . . .	121
5.6.3	Volume power characterization . . . . .	122
5.6.4	Analysis of VP, DVP, and AVP and retrieval of LAI . . . . .	124
5.7	Conclusion . . . . .	126
<b>6</b>	<b>Fusion of optical and SAR data using three approaches for the estimation of LAI with modified Integral Equation model</b>	<b>128</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	128
6.2	Study area and datasets . . . . .	130
6.2.1	Study area . . . . .	130
6.2.2	Satellite data . . . . .	130
6.2.3	In-situ measurement . . . . .	131
6.3	Methodology . . . . .	132
6.3.1	LAI calculation from optical data . . . . .	132
6.3.2	Simulation of LAI from SAR datasets through WCM . . . . .	133
6.3.3	Modified integral equation model . . . . .	134
6.3.4	WCM parametrization and inversion . . . . .	135
6.3.5	Fusion approach for optical and SAR data . . . . .	137
6.4	Result and discussion . . . . .	138
6.4.1	LAI estimation from SAR and Optical data . . . . .	138
6.4.2	Fusion of LAI estimated from the SAR and optical data . . . . .	139
6.5	Conclusion . . . . .	141
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion, Summary and Future Work</b>	<b>142</b>
7.1	Conclusion . . . . .	142
7.2	Summary . . . . .	143

Table of contents	<b>xix</b>
7.3 Major takeaways from the research . . . . .	145
7.4 Limitation . . . . .	146
7.5 Future work . . . . .	147
<b>References</b>	<b>151</b>

# List of figures

1.1	The electromagnetic spectrum. . . . .	3
1.2	The impact of the SAR frequency spectrum on the ability to penetrate different surfaces. Greater wavelengths result in increased penetration in different kinds of terrain. . . . .	7
1.3	Representations of horizontal and vertical polarizations of a plane electromagnetic wave. . . . .	8
1.4	Solar Irradiance Spectra: Above the Atmosphere and at Sea Level . . . .	12
1.5	Reflectance Spectrum of Five Land Cover Types. . . . .	13
1.6	Standard Vegetation Reflectance Spectrum. Labeled arrows denote key wavelength bands utilized in optical remote sensing of vegetation: A: blue band, B: green band; C: red band; D: near IR band; E: short-wave IR band.	14
1.7	Definition of Leaf Area Index. . . . .	16
1.8	Different scattering mechanism over vegetation. . . . .	20
1.9	Illustration of Water Cloud Model. . . . .	21
1.10	Brief illustration of different soil scattering models. . . . .	23
1.11	The phenomenon of reflection and transmission of radar waves when interacting with a planar surface. $\theta_i$ , $\theta_r$ and $\theta_t$ are incident, reflected, and transmitted angles, respectively. . . . .	24
1.12	Scattering of electromagnetic radiation from rough surface. . . . .	24

---

2.1	Illustration of measurement of (a) soil moisture through HydraGO device and (b) surface roughness employing plate insertion technique . . . . .	41
2.2	Different simulation scenarios for different scattering processes. . . . .	41
2.3	Interaction between the radar signal and distinct layers. . . . .	43
2.4	Components of scattering in model-based decomposition: Surface scattering (Left), Double-bounce scattering (Middle) and Volume scattering(Right). . . . .	45
3.1	The location of the district of Varanasi and the study areas in the false-color composite picture created by the S2 image. . . . .	55
3.2	Validation of SNAP-derived LAI against ground measured LAI. . . . .	62
3.3	Spatio-temporal maps of DpRVI simulated from the dual-polarised S1 SAR datasets for VV-VH polarisation mode. . . . .	63
3.4	Validation of GPR-derived LAI from DpRVI and S2 TOA images against in-situ data. . . . .	64
3.5	The spatio-temporal maps of LAI from S2 TOA spectral data using trained TOA-GPR. model. . . . .	64
3.6	LAI's spatio-temporal maps were obtained from DpRVI datasets using the trained DpRVI-GPR model. . . . .	65
3.7	Comparative analysis of estimated LAI using Taylor plot. . . . .	66
4.1	Study area showcasing locations (comprising location 1 in red 2 in pink and 3 in yellow) with sampling points, providing a comprehensive view of the entire study region. . . . .	75
4.2	The procedure of measuring (a) soil moisture using HydraGO, (b) surface roughness profile. . . . .	76
4.3	Temporal variation of ground measured LAI and soil moisture from January to March 2020. . . . .	77
4.4	The variation of scaling constant $f_{veg}$ , $f_{soil}$ and $f_{inter}$ shown against the time. . . . .	82

---

4.5	Incorporating the vegetation- soil layer interaction scattering component in the traditional WCM. . . . .	85
4.6	Behavior of $\sigma_{veg}^0$ (blue), $\tau^2\sigma_{soil}^0$ (green) and $\tau^2\sigma_{inter}^0$ (orange) at VH polarization against LAI with different values of soil moisture (SM), (a) 0.38, (b) 0.25, (c) 0.10 keeping surface roughness (s) and correlation length (l) constant. . . . .	89
4.7	Behavior of $\sigma_{veg}^0$ (blue), $\tau^2\sigma_{soil}^0$ (green) and $\tau^2\sigma_{inter}^0$ (orange) at VV polarization against LAI with different values of soil moisture (SM), (a) 0.38, (b) 0.25, (c) 0.10 keeping surface roughness (s) and correlation length (l) constant. . . . .	90
4.8	The variation of $m$ from January to March 2020. The graphical presentation (upper left) of the $m$ shows that its value decreases as the crop gets denser. The following images are time-series satellite images that illustrate the $m$ 's declining value. . . . .	91
4.9	Estimated backscattering coefficient (a) For VV polarization, (b) For VH polarization against SAR backscattering coefficient. . . . .	93
4.10	Estimated LAI from model inversion for (a) VV polarization, (b) VH polarization and validated against in-situ datasets. . . . .	93
4.11	Estimated SM from model inversion for (a) VV polarization, (b) VH polarization and validated against in-situ datasets. . . . .	94
4.12	Estimated backscattering coefficient for (a) VV polarization and (b) VH polarization against SAR backscattering coefficient. . . . .	95
4.13	Estimated LAI for (a) VV polarization and (b) VH polarization. Against in-situ LAI. . . . .	96
4.14	. Estimated SM from model inversion for (a) VV polarization, (b) VH polarization and validated against in-situ datasets. . . . .	96

---

4.15	The two sets of spatial-temporal maps at (a) VV polarization and (b) VH polarization show the LAI estimation in the large landscape. . . . .	98
4.16	The two sets of spatial-temporal maps at (a) VV polarization and (b) VH polarization show the SM estimation in the large landscape. . . . .	99
5.1	The image depicts three distinct study sites within the location. . . . .	106
5.2	Calculation of scattering power components from modified Freeman-Durden decomposition. . . . .	114
5.3	The $H - \alpha$ plane from full-polarization classification. It is divided into distinct zones corresponding to different physical properties. . . . .	116
5.4	Temporal variation of the decomposition parameters entropy and alpha of the study region. . . . .	121
5.5	Degree of polarization over three study dates for the study pixels over study region. . . . .	122
5.6	This figure displays the variations in the volume power behavior of pixels within the designated study region for three different algorithms: (a) FDVP, (b) DVP, and (c) AVP. . . . .	123
5.7	Illustrates a comparison between the estimated and simulated volume power within the study region, as obtained through the use of three different imaging techniques: (a) FDVP, (b) DVP and (c) AVP. . . . .	124
5.8	The leaf area index (LAI) results were obtained through the use of three different techniques: (a) FDVP, (b) DVP and (c) AVP. . . . .	125
6.1	Study area showcasing Location 1 (comprising site 1 in red and site 2 in yellow) and Location 2 with sampling points, providing a comprehensive view of the entire study region. . . . .	131
6.2	Evaluation of soil backscattering coefficient's (a) Sensitivity for $\sigma_{soil}^{VH}$ and (b) Sensitivity for $\sigma_{soil}^{VV}$ with Gaussian and exponential correlation functions. . . . .	135

- 
- 6.3 (a) Shows the estimated and observed LAI at VV and VH polarizations  
(b) Shows the estimated LAI calculated from PROSAIL RTM and plotted  
against the observed LAI. . . . . 138
- 6.4 Comparison of LAI estimated from the (a) PCA (b) Regression plotted  
against the ground measurements. . . . . 139
- 6.5 (a) Shows the accuracy of the estimated LAI from the deep learning against  
the ground LAI data. (b) Shows the training and validation loss over time. 140
- 6.6 Spatio-temporal maps showing the variation of LAI at the large landscape. 141