

CONTENTS

Abstract	i
Acknowledgement	v
Nomenclatures	vi
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	xi

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1.	Preamble	1
1.2.	Literature Review	3
1.2.1.	Deregulated Power Market and its Operations	3
1.2.2.	Development of Power Market in India	7
1.2.3.	Centralized/Decentralized Decision Support for Social Welfare/Benefit Maximization in Deregulated Power Market	10
1.2.4.	Various Methodologies of Security Constraints Optimal Power Flow in Deregulated Power System	12
1.2.5.	Congestion Management Methodologies in Deregulated Power System	18
1.2.6.	Interior Point Optimization and its Application to Power System	24
1.2.7.	Particle Swarm Optimization and its Application in Power System	27
1.3.	Objective and scope of the Thesis	32
1.4.	Organization of the Thesis	34

II. SOCIAL WELFARE AND CONGESTION MANAGEMENT

2.1.	Introduction	36
2.2.	Deregulated Power Market and Role of Independent System Operator	38
2.2.1.	Reliability-Related Functions	40
2.2.2.	Market-Related Functions	40
2.3.	Power Markets and Operational Methodologies	41
2.3.1.	Indian Power Market	43
2.3.2.	Establishment of Power Exchange in India – IEX & PXIL	46
2.4.	Social Welfare and Optimal Power Flow in Power Markets	46
2.4.1.	Optimal Power Flow	48

2.4.2.	OPF Based Social Welfare Maximization	49
2.5.	Congestion Management	50
2.6.	Conclusion	52

III. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION OF CENTRALIZED AND DECENTRALIZED OPF BASED CONGESTION MANAGEMENT WITH SOCIAL WELFARE

3.1.	Introduction	53
3.2.	Centralized Decision Support based System Operation	54
3.3.	Decentralized decision support based system operation	55
3.4.	Problem Formulation for Congestion Management with Social Welfare	58
3.4.1.	Generator Cost Function	59
3.4.2.	Consumers Benefit Function	60
3.4.3.	Power Transfer Distribution Factor (PTDF) Calculation	62
3.4.4.	Power Loss Calculations	62
	3.4.4.1. Power loss calculation for COPF	62
	3.4.4.2. Power loss calculation for DOPF	63
3.4.5.	Mathematical Formulation for Centralized OPF (COPF)	64
3.4.6.	Mathematical Formulation for Decentralized OPF (DOPF) using Optimal Resource Allocation (ORA) Approach	66
3.4.7.	Congestion Management Methodology using DOPF	69
3.5.	DOPF Based Congestion Management Algorithm	69
3.6.	Conclusion	70

IV. SOLUTION BY INTERIOR POINT AND PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION METHODS

4.1.	Introduction	71
4.2.	Optimization Techniques for Optimal Power Flow	72
4.2.1.	Interior Point Optimization Technique	72
4.2.2.	Particle Swarm Optimization	76
4.2.1.	Mathematical Formulation and Performance of PSO	77
4.2.2.	Parameter Selections for PSO	79
	4.2.2.1. Maximum Velocity Selection	79
	4.2.2.2. Selection of Acceleration Constants	80
	4.2.2.3. Selection of Constriction Factor or Inertia Constant	81
4.3.	Solution for Problem Objective of Congestion Management with Social Welfare	82
4.3.1.	COPF Based Approach	82
4.3.2.	DOPF Based Approach	82

4.3.3.	IP Method Implementation for Problem Solution	83
4.3.4.	IP Based Solution Algorithm	84
4.3.5.	PSO Implementation for Problem Solution	85
4.3.6.	PSO Based Solution Algorithm	87
4.4.	Test Results and Discussion	89
4.4.1.	Test Systems Details	90
4.4.1.1.	Modified IEEE 30-Bus System	90
4.4.1.2.	Modified IEEE 118-Bus System	92
4.4.2.	Selection of IP and PSO Parameters	96
4.4.3.	Case Studies	97
4.4.3.1.	Case 1: Initial Schedules of Generators and Demands for COPF and DOPF before Congestion Management	97
4.4.3.2.	Case 2: IP and PSO based Economic Rescheduling using COPF after Congestion Management	98
4.4.3.3.	Case 3: IP and PSO based Economic Rescheduling using DOPF after Congestion Management	102
4.4.4.	Analysis of Social Welfares using COPF and DOPF	108
4.4.5.	Comparison of Computational Times of IP and PSO	116
4.5.	Conclusion	118

V. SOLUTION BY INTERIOR POINT INITIALIZED PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION METHOD

5.1.	Introduction	119
5.2.	Proposed IP-PSO Method	120
5.3.	IP-PSO Implementation and Algorithm for Problem Solution	121
5.4.	Test Results and Discussion	124
5.4.1.	Parameters Selection of Proposed IP-PSO Method	124
5.4.2.	Case Studies	125
5.4.2.1.	Case 1: Initial Schedules of Generators and Demands for COPF and DOPF before Congestion Management	125
5.4.2.2.	Case 2: IP-PSO based Economic Rescheduling using COPF after Congestion Management	126
5.4.2.3.	Case 3: IP-PSO Based Economic Rescheduling using DOPF after Congestion Management	131
5.4.3.	Analysis of Social Welfares for COPF and DOPF using IP-PSO	136
5.4.4.	Computational Times for COPF and DOPF using IP-PSO	138

5.5.	Comparison of IP-PSO and PSO Methods	139
5.5.1.	Comparison of Social Welfares using IP-PSO and PSO	140
5.5.2.	Comparison of Computational Times for IP-PSO and PSO	148
5.6.	Conclusion	143

VI. Conclusion

Suggestions for Future Work	146
Appendix	147
References	168
List of Publications	
Copies of Some main Papers Published/Accepted/Communicated	
Personal Profile	

Nomenclatures

P_{G_i} and Q_{G_i}	real and reactive powers of generator at bus i ;
P_{D_j} and Q_{D_j}	real and reactive power loads at bus j respectively;
P_i and Q_i	power injections at the node i ;
V_i	magnitude and angle of the voltage at bus i ;
θ_i	angle of the voltage at bus i ;
n	total number of buses in system;
G_{ij}	conductance of line connected between bus i and j bus;
B_{ij}	susceptance of line connected between bus i and j bus;
P_{ij} and Q_{ij}	real and reactive powers flow in line connected between bus i and j bus;
S_{ij}^{max}	maximum MVA limit of the transmission line connected between bus i and j ;
b_{ij}	line charging susceptance of transmission line connected between bus i and j ;
N_g	the number of generators bus;
N_d	the number of demand bus;
$P_i(x)$	bus active power mismatch equations;
$Q_i(x)$	bus reactive power mismatch equations;
$h(x)$	functional inequality constraints including line voltage magnitude constraints, simple inequality constraints of variables such as generator power, generator reactive power, transformer tap ratio;
t	the vector of transformer tap ratios;
B_j	the benefit function of j^{th} consumers;
C_i	the active power generation cost function of generator i ;
C_{Qi}	reactive power generation cost of generator i ;
D_j	consumer demand function at the consumer node j ;
a_i , b_i and c_i	predetermined cost coefficients of generator i ;
P_j	consumer price at the consumer node j ;

$P_{j,base}$ and $P_{D_j,base}$	base consumer price and base demand value at the consumer node j ;
$P_{L,ij}$ and $Q_{L,ij}$	the real and reactive power loss in the line connected between bus i and j ;
P_{Loss} and Q_{Loss}	the total active and reactive power loss in the system;
N_g	number of generator bus;
N_d	number of demand bus;
T	total number of transaction;
k	index for set of transaction in the system; ($k \in T$)
$P_{G_i}^k$	active power output of i^{th} generator in transaction k ;
$Q_{G_i}^k$	reactive power output of i^{th} generator in transaction k ;
$P_{D_j}^k$	active power demand by consumer j in transaction k ;
P_{Loss}^k	active power loss in transaction k ;
B_j^k	benefit function of demand j of transaction k ;
C_i^k	cost function of generator i of transaction k ;
C_{slack}	cost function of slack bus generator;
V_i	voltage magnitude of i^{th} bus;
V_i^{min} , and V_i^{max}	minimum and maximum voltage limits at bus i in the system;
P_l^k	power flow in l^{th} transmission line due to transaction k ;
P_l^{max}	maximum power flow limit of l^{th} transmission line;
$D(k)$	set of consumers in transaction k ; ($k \in T$)
$G(k)$	set of generators in transaction k ; ($k \in T$)
w^k	welfare of transaction k ;
α_l^k	resource allocation weighting matrix (RAWM) index for l^{th} line in k^{th} transaction;
λ_l^k	Lagrange multiplier of l^{th} line corresponding to k^{th} transaction;
K_i	Incremental transmission loss coefficients corresponding to bus i ;
z_l and z_u	slack variables;
λ , π_l and π_u	the vectors of Lagrange multipliers;
μ	barrier parameter;

p_{inq}	number of inequality constraints;
σ^{Iter}	centering parameter;
ρ^{Iter}	complementary gap;
ε	tolerance value;
$X_i = (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{in})$	the coordinates of particle i ;
$V_i = (v_{i1}, \dots, v_{in})$	the flight speed of particle i ;
c_1 and c_2	two positive numbers;
$rand_1$ and $rand_2$	two random numbers;
P_{best}^{Iter}	particle's best position until iteration $Iter^{th}$;
G_{best}^{Iter}	group's best position until iteration $Iter^{th}$;
W_{min} and W_{max}	are the initial and final weights;
$Iter_{max}$ and $Iter$	are the maximum and current number of iteration.

List of Figures

Fig. 2.1 Deregulation or restructuring of competitive market entities.	38
Fig. 2.2 Indian power market structure.	44
Fig. 2.3 Fixed Demand Request or inelastic demand bid model.	47
Fig. 2.4 Variable Demand Bidding or elastic demand bid model.	48
Fig. 2.5 Overall congestion management processes.	52
Fig. 3.1 Structure of centralized decision support based system operation in a multi-transaction market model.	55
Fig. 3.2 Structure of decentralized decision support based system operation in a multi-transaction market model.	57
Fig. 3.3 Consumer benefit and derivative of benefit	61
Fig. 3.4 Consumer demand for real power	61
Fig. 4.1 Graphical representation of IP algorithm.	73
Fig. 4.2 Graphical representation of the PSO algorithm.	79
Fig. 4.3 Contracts among power producers and consumers of modified IEEE-30 bus system with multi-transaction.	90
Fig. 4.4 Modified IEEE-30 bus system with multi-transaction power market model.	92
Fig. 4.5 Contracts among power producers and consumers of modified IEEE-118 bus system with multi-transaction.	93
Fig. 4.6 Modified IEEE-118 bus system with multi-transaction power market model.	95
Fig. 4.7 Comparisons of generation cost, demand benefits and social welfares in modified IEEE-30 bus system using COPF approach.	112
Fig. 4.8 Comparisons of generation cost, demand benefits and social welfares in modified IEEE-118 bus system using COPF approach.	112
Fig. 4.9 Comparisons of generation cost, demand benefits and social welfares in modified IEEE-30 bus system using DOPF approach.	113
Fig. 4.10 Comparisons in modified IEEE-118 bus system using DOPF approach.	115
Fig.4.11 Convergence of COPF by IP, PSO.	116
Fig.4.12 Convergence of DOPF by IP, PSO.	117
Fig. 5.1 Increments and decrements of generators and demands levels using IP-PSO based COPF in modified IEEE-30 bus system.	129

- Fig. 5.2 Increments and decrements of generators and demands levels using IP-PSO based COPF in modified IEEE-118 bus system. 52
- Fig. 5.3 Increments and decrements of generators and demands levels using IP-PSO based DOPF in modified IEEE-30 bus system. 134
- Fig. 5.4 Increments and decrements of generators and demands levels using IP-PSO based DOPF in modified IEEE-118 bus system. 135
- Fig. 5.5 Convergence of COPF and DOPF using IP-PSO for modified IEEE-30 bus system. 131
- Fig. 5.6 Convergence of COPF and DOPF using IP-PSO for modified IEEE-118 bus system. 139
- Fig. 5.7 Comparisons of welfares in modified IEEE-30 bus system using IP-PSO and PSO based COPF and DOPF. 140
- Fig. 5.8 Comparisons of welfares in modified IEEE-118 bus system using IP-PSO and PSO based COPF and DOPF. 141
- Fig. 5.9 Comparison of convergence of COPF and DOPF using PSO and IP-PSO for modified IEEE-30 bus system. 142
- Fig. 5.10 Comparison of convergence of COPF and DOPF using PSO and IP-PSO for modified IEEE-118 bus system. 142

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Worldwide Existing Electricity Markets and Their Operational Methodologies	42
Table 2.2	The Regulations and Their Impacts for Indian Power Market: An Overview	44
Table 2.3	The Objectives, Controls and Constraints of OPF Problems	49
Table 4.1	Cost and benefit function of utilities in using modified IEEE-30 bus system model	91
Table 4.2	Cost and benefit function of market model using modified IEEE-118 bus system	94
Table 4.3	Schedules of generators and demands modified IEEE-30 bus system using COPF	99
Table 4.4	Schedules of generators and demands of modified IEEE-118 bus system using COPF	100
Table 4.5	Increments/decrements of active power levels after rescheduling using IP and PSO based COPF in Modified IEEE-30 bus system	101
Table 4.6	Increments/decrements of active power levels after rescheduling using IP and PSO based COPF in Modified IEEE-118 bus system	101
Table 4.7	Line flows in congested lines of modified IEEE-30 bus system and modified IEEE-118 bus systems using COPF (in MW)	102
Table 4.8	Schedules of generators and demands modified IEEE-30 bus system using DOPF	104
Table 4.9	Schedules of generators and demands of modified IEEE-118 bus system using DOPF	105
Table 4.10	Increments/decrements of active power levels after rescheduling using IP and PSO based DOPF in Modified IEEE-30 bus system	106
Table 4.11	Increments/decrements of active power levels after rescheduling using IP and PSO based DOPF in Modified IEEE-118 bus system	106
Table 4.12	Line flows in congested lines of modified IEEE-30 bus systems using DOPF	107
Table 4.13	Line flows in congested line 80-81 of modified IEEE-118 bus systems using DOPF approach	107

Table 4.14	Social welfare of modified IEEE-30 bus system using COPF approach (\$/hr)	110
Table 4.15	Social welfare of modified IEEE-118 bus system using COPF approach (\$/hr)	110
Table 4.16	Social welfare of modified IEEE-30 bus system using DOPF approach	110
Table 4.17	Social welfare of modified IEEE-118 bus system using DOPF approach	111
Table 4.18	Computational times and number of iterations	117
Table 5.1	Schedules of generators and demands of load buses in modified IEEE-30 bus system using IP-PSO based COPF approach (MW)	127
Table 5.2	Schedules of generators and demands at load buses of modified IEEE-118 bus system IP-PSO based using COPF approach (MW)	128
Table 5.3	Line flows in congested lines of modified IEEE-30 bus system and modified IEEE-118 bus systems using IP-PSO based COPF	129
Table 5.4	Schedules of generators and demands modified IEEE-30 bus system using IP-PSO based DOPF approach	132
Table 5.5	Schedules of generators and demands of modified IEEE-118 bus system using IP-PSO based DOPF approach	133
Table 5.6	Line flows in selected lines of modified IEEE-30 bus systems using IP-PSO based DOPF approach	134
Table 5.7	Line flows in selected line 80-81 of modified IEEE-118 bus systems using IP-PSO based DOPF approach	134
Table 5.8	Social welfare of modified IEEE-30 bus system using IP-PSO based COPF approach (\$/hr)	136
Table 5.9	Social welfare of modified IEEE-118 bus system using IP-PSO based COPF approach (\$/hr)	137
Table 5.10	Social welfare of modified IEEE-30 bus system using IP-PSO based DOPF approach (\$/hr)	137
Table 5.11	Social welfare of modified IEEE-118 bus system using IP-PSO based DOPF approach (\$/hr)	138
Table 5.12	Computational times and number of iterations	142

Table A.I.1	Bus Data of IEEE-30 bus system	147
Table A.I.2	Branch Data of IEEE-30 bus system	148
Table A.I.3	Bus Data of IEEE-118 bus system	149
Table A.I.4	Branch Data of IEEE-118 bus system	151
Table A.II.1	RAWM indices of modified IEEE-30 bus system in DOPF	154
Table A.II.2	RAWM indices of modified IEEE-118 bus system in DOPF	155
Table A.III.1	Power flows in lines of modified IEEE-30 bus system using COPF (in MW)	158
Table A.III.2	Line flows in lines of modified IEEE-118 bus system using COPF (in MW)	159
Table A.III.3	Line flows in lines of modified IEEE-30 bus system using DOPF (in MW)	163
Table A.III.4	Line flows in lines of modified IEEE-118 bus system using DOPF (in MW)	164

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1. PREAMBLE

The introduction of competition in power market aims to make it more efficient. In fact, the power market is referred to as the ability of market participants to profitably maintain prices above a competitive level for a significant period of time. Out of various objectives for an efficient competitive market, the social welfare maximization is an important objective. In general, to achieve the objective of maximum social welfare, it is necessary that the market structure should be fair and equitable giving all market participants incentives to maximize the total profits in a power market. Now a days, multi-transaction structures have been established in the power market. In multi-transaction power market, each transaction has certain number of generators and demands of consumers which are coordinated by bilateral/multilateral contracts. The sellers and buyers can pair up to reach at an agreement on the detailed bilateral/multilateral contracts. In this type of power market both are participating in double sided auction market and moreover they trade the power through bidding process. Thus, they are making contracts for future periods of few weeks or longer, up to several years. This can also be setup for few hours to next day. Now, some of the power markets are establishing the contracts for minute-ahead contracts among the participants. Recently, researchers have included the benefits of consumers in market operations in order to achieve improved social welfare. However, involvement of consumers makes the system complex and thus the operation of transmission network becomes even more important. This may cause congestion problem in the network. Thus the congestion management is one the important issues and it becomes more complex due to multi-transaction in the power market.

One of the congestion management techniques is by rescheduling the generators output at their minimum cost. In the present scenario, subsequent to considering their benefits, the consumers play a vital role in the market. Managing the congestion by only controlling the generators alone may not fulfill the above objective. Therefore, it is utmost important to incorporate the