

Study on the admixing of recycled C&D waste fine aggregates for partial replacement of sand and ceramic waste tile powder for partial replacement of OPC in foam concrete mixes

फोम कंक्रीट मिश्रण में रेत के आंशिक प्रतिस्थापन के लिए पुनर्चक्रित सी एंड डी अपशिष्ट महीन समुच्चयों और ओपीसी के आंशिक प्रतिस्थापन के लिए सिरेमिक अपशिष्ट टाइल पाउडर के मिश्रण पर अध्ययन



**Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment for the
Award of Degree**

Doctor of Philosophy

By

Rohit Rodhia

**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
(BANARAS HINDU UNIVERSITY)
VARANASI – 221005
INDIA**


Roll No- 19061009

Year 2025

CERTIFICATE

It is certified that the work contained in the thesis titled “Study on the admixing of recycled C&D waste fine aggregates for partial replacement of sand and ceramic waste tile powder for partial replacement of OPC in foam concrete mixes” by *Mr. ROHIT RODHIA* (Registration No. 19061009) has been carried out under my supervision and that this work has not been submitted elsewhere for a degree.

It is further certified that the student has fulfilled all the requirements of the Comprehensive Examination, Candidacy, and SOTA for the award of a Ph.D. degree.


26/09/2025

Prof. Brind Kumar

(Supervisor)

Department of Civil Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University), Varanasi

Supervisor


Department of Civil Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology (BHU)
Varanasi-221005

DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE

I, **ROHIT RODHIA**, certify that the work embodied in this thesis is my own bonafide work carried out by me under the supervision of **Prof. BRIND KUMAR** from July 2019 to May 2025 at the Department of Civil Engineering of the Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University), Varanasi. The matter embodied in this thesis has not been submitted for the award of any other degree/diploma. I also declare that I have faithfully acknowledged and given credit to the research workers wherever their works have been cited in my work in this thesis. I further declare that I have not willfully lifted any other's work, paragraphs, text, data, results, etc., reported in journals, books, magazines, reports, dissertations, thesis, etc., or available at websites and included them in this thesis and cited them as my own work.

Date: 26/09/2025

Place: IIT (BHU) Varanasi


ROHIT RODHIA

CERTIFICATE BY THE SUPERVISOR

I certify that the student's above statement is accurate to the best of my knowledge.


26/09/2025

Prof. Brind Kumar

Supervisor

Department of Civil Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University), Varanasi

Supervisor
Department of Civil Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology, (IIT)
Varanasi-221005


26.09.25
विभागाध्यक्ष/HEAD

जानपद अभियांत्रिकी विभाग
Department of Civil Engineering

भारतीय प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान (बी.एच.यू.)

Indian Institute of Technology, (BHU)

वाराणसी-221005 Varanasi-221005

Signature of Head of Department

COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE

Title of the Thesis: "*STUDY ON THE ADMIXING OF RECYCLED C&D WASTE FINE AGGREGATES FOR PARTIAL REPLACEMENT OF SAND AND CERAMIC WASTE TILE POWDER FOR PARTIAL REPLACEMENT OF OPC IN FOAM CONCRETE MIXES*"

Name of the Student: **Mr. Rohit Rodhia**

Copyright Transfer

The undersigned hereby assigns to the Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University) Varanasi all rights under copyright that may exist in and for the above thesis submitted for the award of the **Doctor of Philosophy** degree.

Date: 26/09/2025

Place: Varanasi



(Rohit Rodhia)

Note: However, the author may reproduce or authorize others to reproduce material extracted verbatim from the thesis or a derivative of the thesis for the author's personal use, provided that the source and the Institute's copyright notice are indicated.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Though only my name appears on the cover letter of this dissertation, a lot of wonderful people have contributed to reaching me to my destination. I wish to owe my sincere appreciation to all those people who helped me to accomplish several achievements during this span.

Firstly, I would like to bow my head to this Holy Land of Varanasi, where the combined divine power of *Kashi Vishwanath*, *Maa Durga* and *Sankat Mochan* provided me good health, knowledge, strength and capability to work hard at vicious time. Additionally, I would also like to thank “*Shrimad Bhagavad Gita*” who always boosted my moral toward my work on every occasion of negatory time. Medical science has greatly improved their vision about many organs of the human body but study about the MIND, which control other organs of the body, is only taught in “*Shrimad Bhagavad Gita*”.

I also bow my head with great respect and gratitude in the pious feet of “*Bharat Ratna Mahamana Pandit Madan Mohan Malaviya Ji*” for establishing this great historical institute for the center of excellence and knowledge, Banaras Hindu University (now Indian Institute of Technology (BHU) Varanasi for engineering studies) who facilitated me the opportunity to enhance and expand the horizon of knowledge.

I express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor *Prof. Brind Kumar*, Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University) Varanasi. I am fortunate to have a supervisor who gave me the liberty to express myself and at the same time the valuable guidance to recover when my steps faltered. I also want to acknowledge him for including me in many of the field visits which ultimately enhanced my knowledge and vision. I also learned from him how to take maximum

advantages from fewer facilities. Without his support, this dissertation would not have been possible, and I shall always be grateful to him for his guidance, valuable suggestions, and kind encouragement during my entire research work.

I am also grateful to my research committee members and Comprehensive examination members: **Dr. Tarun Verma (Mining Engineering)** and **Dr. Rosalin Sahoo (Civil Engineering)** for their instructions, valuable suggestions and support throughout the study.

I am much obliged to **Dr. Surya Kant Sahdeo**, Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineer, IIT Ropar, who provide me moral support and inspirations throughout the completion of this degree.

I extend my sincere gratitude to **Dr. Ankit Gupta**, Professor, and **Dr. Abhisek Mudgal**, Assistant Professor, for their constructive comments and valuable insights that greatly enriched my ideas. I am also thankful to **Dr. Agnivesh Pani** and **Dr. Anshuman Sharma**, Assistant Professors, IIT (BHU) Varanasi, for their kind guidance and encouragement. In addition, I acknowledge the valuable support provided by Mr. Roshan Bhandari and Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, the laboratory in-charges, whose assistance was indispensable to the successful completion of this work.

I am obliged to **Prof. Sasankasekhar Mandal**, Head, Department of Civil Engineering, IIT (BHU) Varanasi, for providing the necessary facilities and a pleasant working atmosphere in the department. I would also like to thank and express my heartfelt thanks and indebtedness to other faculty members of the Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, for their support and motivation.

I am sincerely thankful to my M. Tech. Supervisor **Prof. Kusam Sudhakar Reddy**, Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur, for encouraging me to do a Ph.D. I am also thankful to him for enhancing my knowledge of Highway Engineering.

I am also grateful to Library In-charge, Civil Engineering Library IIT (BHU) for allowing me to access vast literature resources. I am also grateful to Laboratory In-charge and the technicians of the following labs of our institute, other institutes and the construction company for allowing and helping me in performing laboratory works.

1. Transportation Engineering Laboratory, Civil Engineering Department IIT (BHU)
2. Structural Engineering Laboratory, Civil Engineering Department IIT (BHU)
3. Central Instrument Facility, IIT (BHU)
4. Ministry of Education, India
5. Hem Care Corporation, Rajkot (Gujrat)

I express my appreciation to **Mr. Sachin Ojha** (XRD, CIF, IIT (BHU) Varanasi) and **Mr. Aman Singh** (FESEM, CIF, IIT (BHU) Varanasi) for their continuous support.

I am deeply grateful to my family for their unwavering support and inspiration. My father, **Sh. Devi Dayal Rodhia**, and my late uncle, **Sh. Satyawan Rodhia**, instilled in me the values of honesty, punctuality, and the importance of physical and mental fitness. My mother, **Smt. Prem Rodhia (Maa)**, and **Smt. Sumitra Rodhia (Mummy)** embody selfless care and sacrifice for the well-being of our family. I am indebted to my elder brothers, **Mr. Ashish Kumar**, **Mr. Maneet Rodhia**, **Mr. Ravinder**, and **Mr. Mohit Rodhia**, as well as my sisters, **Mrs. Preeti** and **Miss Anjali**, for their constant motivation and encouragement. I also acknowledge the joy and strength brought to my life by my

nephews, **Jaiaditya (Nona)**, **Lakshyaraj (Kuki)**, **Bantu**, and my niece, **Kiyara (Kiyu)**. Their love and presence have been a source of immense strength throughout my journey.

I sincerely acknowledge the invaluable support, guidance, and encouragement of my seniors Dr. Arunabh Pandey, Dr. Gaurav Verma, and Dr. Jayvant Chaudhary; my colleagues Dr. Amar Deep Pandey, Mrudul Sonar, Dr. Rahul Ranjan, Deepak Rathore, Aakash Singh, Dr. Prabhakar Tripathi, Satyavrat Tripathi, Rohit Ranjan, Ronit Ranjan, Divakar Bhaiya, Gaurav Bhaiya, Utkarsh Mishra, Adarsh, and Dr. Ashish Chouksey; my juniors Khush Goyal, Bittu Kumar, Anshu Saxena, Gaurav Chaurasia, and Taha Ashraf Ansari; as well as my friends Dr. Kapil Malik, Rahul Meena, Utkarsh Naik and Dr. Shiwani, whose contributions have been instrumental during my Ph.D. journey.

Rohit Rodhia

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE	i
DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE	ii
COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
ABBREVIATIONS and SYMBOLS	xxiv
ABSTRACT	xxvi
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Foam Concrete	3
1.3 Historical Background of Foam Concrete	4
1.4 Applications of Foam Concrete	5
1.5 Objective of the study	7
1.6 Scope of the study	8
1.7 Scientific Novelty of study	11
1.8 Organization of the thesis	11
CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW	13
2.1 General	13
2.2 Material Components and Preparation	14
2.3 Proportioning and Preparation of FC mixes	16
2.4 Properties of foam concrete	18
2.4.1 Fresh state characteristics	18
2.4.1.1 Consistency	18
2.4.1.2 Stability	19
2.4.1.3 Workability	20
2.4.2 Hardened properties	21
2.4.2.1 Drying shrinkage	21
2.4.2.2 Density	22
2.4.2.3 Air-void system	22
2.4.2.4 Compressive strength	23
2.4.2.5 Flexural and split tensile strengths	24

2.4.2.6	Modulus of elasticity	26
2.4.3	Durability properties	27
2.4.3.1	Water absorption and permeability	27
2.4.3.2	Sorptivity and porosity	28
2.4.3.3	Resistance to aggressive environment	30
2.4.3.3.1	Resistance to chloride environment	30
2.4.3.3.2	Resistance to sulphate environment	31
2.5	Literature review on recycled waste admixed in FC mixes	32
2.5.1	Fly ash and bottom ash as OPC and natural river sand replacement	32
2.5.2	Slag as OPC and natural river and replacement	34
2.5.3	Silica fume as OPC	36
2.5.4	Palm oil fuel ash	37
2.5.5	Rice husk ash	39
2.5.6	Ceramic waste tile powder as OPC	40
2.5.7	Quarry dust waste	41
2.5.8	Recycled waste aggregates as natural river sand replacement from C&D plant	42
2.6	Summary	45
2.7	Need of the study	46
CHAPTER 3 : MATERIALS AND CHARACTERIZATION		49
3.1	Introduction	49
	Material procurement, aggregate properties and Control Mix Design	52
3.2	Materials Testing	55
3.2.1	Natural river sand and CD-RFA	55
3.2.2	Cementitious and supplementary sementitious materials	55
3.2.3	Potable water	55
3.2.4	Natural protein based foaming agent	56
3.3	Testing of foam concrete mixtures	56
3.3.1	Fresh state characteristics	56
3.3.2	Mechanical characteristics	56
3.3.3	Durability characteristics	57
3.3.3.1	Abrasion resistance	57
3.3.3.2	Density, porosity and volume of permeable voids	58
3.3.3.3	Chloride and sulphate attack	59
3.3.4	Microstructural investigation	61

3.3.4.1	Scanning electron microscope	61
3.3.4.2	X-Ray diffractometer	61
3.3.5	Formulation of mix design	62
3.3.6	Mix design	63
3.4	Selection of natural river sand and CD-RFA aggregates	63
3.5	Particle size distribution of natural river sand and CD-RFA aggregates	66
3.6	Aggregate properties	67
3.7	Cementitious materials	67
3.7.1	Characterization of ceramic waste tiles powder	69
3.8	Foaming agent	72
CHAPTER 4 : PRELIMINARY CHARACTERISTICS OF FOAM CONCRETE MIXES		
	73	
4.1	Introduction	73
4.2	Experimental setup	74
4.3	Results and Discussion	75
4.3.1	Study on flowability of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA and CWTP	75
4.3.1.1	Study of admixing CD-RFA on the flowability of FC mixtures	75
4.3.1.2	Effect of admixing ceramic waste tile powder (CWTP) on flowability of FC mixes	77
4.3.1.3	Study on foaming agent dosage in FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA and CWTP	79
4.3.1.4	Relation between flowability and foaming agent Dosage	82
4.3.1.5	Relationship between fresh density and foaming dosage of FC mixes	85
4.3.2	Hardened density of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA and CWTP	89
4.3.2.1	Effect of CD-RFA on density of FC mixes	89
4.3.2.2	Effect of CWTP on density of FC mixes	92
4.3.3	Relation between fresh, dry and Bulk density of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA and CWTP at different dilution ratio	95
4.3.3.1	Relation between fresh density and dry density	95
4.3.3.2	Relation between fresh density and bulk density	99
4.3.3.3	Relation between bulk and dry density of FC mixes	102
4.4	Summary	104
CHAPTER 5 : EFFECT OF OPTIMIZING FOAMING AGENT CONCENTRATION AND CD-RFA CONTENT ON FOAM CONCRETE MIXES		
	106	
5.1	General	106

5.2	Materials and Methodology for mix design of foam concrete admixed with CD-RFA	106
5.3	Results and Discussion	109
5.3.1	Effect of dilution ratio and CD-RFA on density of FC mixes	109
5.3.2	Effect of dilution ratio and CD-RFA on Volume of permeable voids and porosity	112
5.3.3	Effect of dilution ratio and CD-RFA on Water absorption of FC mixes	120
5.3.4	Sorptivity	122
5.3.5	Compressive strength	128
5.3.6	Split tensile and flexural strength	131
5.3.7	Abrasion Resistance	134
5.3.8	Change in mass when exposed to acidic environment	135
5.3.9	Change in strength after exposure to chloride and Sulphate solution	137
5.3.10	Microstructure properties of foam concrete	139
5.3.10.1	X-ray diffraction (XRD)	139
5.3.10.2	Scanning electron microscopy & energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy	142
5.4	Two-way Anova	147
5.5	Summary and Recommendations	149
5.5.1	Summary	149
5.5.2	Recommendations	151
5.5.3	Novel Contributions	152
CHAPTER 6 : EFFECT OF CERAMIC WASTE TILE POWDER ON MECHANICAL MICROSTRUCTURAL AND DURABLE CHARACTERISTICS OF FOAM CONCRETE MIXES		153
6.1	General	153
6.2	Designing, proportioning, and preparing mixtures for foam concrete	153
6.3	Stage I: Characterization of materials used in study	154
6.3.1	Stage II: foam concrete incorporating CWTP	154
6.4	Results and Discussion	155
6.4.1	Effect of ceramic waste tile powder (CWTP) on density	155
6.4.2	Effect of ceramic waste tile powder (CWTP) on water absorption	156
6.4.3	Effect of ceramic waste tile powder (CWTP) on porosity and volume of permeable voids	159
6.4.4	Effect ceramic waste tile powder (CWTP) on sorptivity	161
6.4.5	Effect of ceramic waste tile powder (CWTP) on compressive characteristics	164

6.4.6	Effect of ceramic waste tile powder on split tensile strength and flexural strength	165
6.4.7	Effect of ceramic waste tile powder (CWTP) on abrasion resistance	168
6.4.8	Effect of ceramic waste tile powder (CWTP) on change in mass of foam concrete mixes exposure to aggressive environment	170
6.4.9	Effect of ceramic waste tie powder (CWTP) on change in compressive strength of foam concrete mixes exposure to aggressive environment	173
6.4.10	Effect of ceramic waste tile powder (CWTP) on microstructural properties (Formation of hydrated products)	176
6.4.11	Effect of ceramic waste tile powder (CWTP) on X-ray diffraction (XRD)	177
6.4.12	Effect of ceramic waste tile powder (CWTP) on pore diameter and SEM analysis	178
6.4.13	Effect of ceramic waste tile powder (CWTP) on durability index	183
6.4.14	Categorising foam concrete mixes using DI	184
6.5	Summary	188
CHAPTER 7 : CONCLUSIONS AND SCOPE FOR FUTURE WORK		190
7.1	CONCLUSIONS	190
7.1.1	CD-RFA and CWTP as admixtures in FC mixes	190
7.1.2	Optimization of dilution ratio of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA	190
7.1.3	Fresh properties and hardened density of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA and CWTP	191
7.1.4	Mechanical characteristics of FC mixes	192
7.1.5	Water ingress properties of FC mixes	192
7.1.6	Air-voids in CWTP admixed FC mixes	193
7.1.7	Microstructural and crystallography of FC mixes	193
7.1.8	Durability characteristics of foam concrete mixes admixed with CD-RFA and CWTP	194
7.2	SCOPE FOR FUTURE WORK	196
References		197
Appendix		228
LIST OF PAPERS PUBLISHED ON THE BASIS OF THIS THESIS		244

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Physical properties of aggregates	67
Table 2: Chemical composition of materials used in study	68
Table 3: Physical properties of cement and pozzolans	69
Table 4: Strength Activity Index of mortar mix admixed with CWTP	71
Table 5: Mix details for foam concrete admixed with river sand and CD-RFA (for 1 m ³)	107
Table 6: EDS result of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA.....	147
Table 7: Two-way ANOVA analysis.....	148
Table 8: Mix proportion of FC mixes admixed with CWTP at dilution ratio of 1:40.	154
Table 9: EDX test results of FC mixes admixed with CWTP at dilution ratio of 1:40	177
Table 10: Results of average pore size, area and ferret diameter in FC mixes.....	180
Table 11: Durability Index of FC mixes admixed with CTWP	187
Table 12: Flowability and Foaming dosage of FC admixed with CD-RFA.....	228
Table 13: Flowability and Foaming dosage of FC admixed with CWTP.....	228
Table 14: Density of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA.....	229
Table 15: Density of FC mixes admixed with CWTP	230
Table 16: Hardened properties of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA	231
Table 17: Sorptivity data of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA at dilution ratio of 1:20	232
Table 18: Sorptivity data of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA at dilution ratio of 1:40	233
Table 19: Sorptivity data of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA at dilution ratio of 1:60	234

Table 20: Mechanical characteristics of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA at different dilution ratio.....	235
Table 21: Abrasion loss of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA at different dilution ratio	236
Table 22: Change in mass (%) of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA exposed to sulphuric and hydrochloric acid at dilution ratio of 1:20.....	236
Table 23: Change in mass (%) of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA exposed to sulphuric and hydrochloric acid at dilution ratio of 1:40.....	236
Table 24: Change in mass (%) of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA exposed to sulphuric and hydrochloric acid at dilution ratio of 1:60.....	237
Table 25: Loss in compressive strength of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA exposed to sulphuric acid at different dilution ratio	237
Table 26: Loss in compressive strength of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA exposed to hydrochloric acid at different dilution ratio	238
Table 27: Hardened properties of FC mixes admixed with CWTP at dilution ratio of 1:40	239
Table 28: Mechanical strength properties of FC mixes admixed with CWTP at dilution ratio of 1:40.....	240
Table 29: Sorptivity of FC mixes admixed with CWTP at dilution ratio of 1:40.....	241
Table 30: Change in mass (%) of FC mixes admixed with CWTP exposed to sulphuric and hydrochloric acid at dilution ratio of 1:40.....	242
Table 31: Change in mass ratio of FC mixes admixed with CWTP exposed to sulphuric and hydrochloric acid at dilution ratio of 1:40.....	242
Table 32: Loss in compressive strength of FC mixes admixed with CWTP exposed to sulphuric and hydrochloric acid at dilution ratio of 1:40.....	242

Table 33: Loss in compressive strength ratio of FC mixes admixed with CWTP exposed to sulphuric and hydrochloric acid at dilution ratio of 1:40243

Table 34: Abrasion loss of FC mixes admixed with CWTP at dilution ratio of 1:40..243

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1. Flowchart of methodology adopted in Chapter 5 of thesis.	50
Fig. 2. Flowchart of methodology adopted in chapter 6 of thesis.	51
Fig. 3. a) Photograph of CD-RFA used in the present study b) SEM image of CD-RFA at 25000x c) Photograph of natural river sand d) SEM image of natural river sand at 25000x e) XRD of CD-RFA and natural river Sand.....	65
Fig. 4. Gradation of river sand and CD-RFA passing 1.18 mm sieve.....	66
Fig. 5. SEM, XRD and EDS of CWTP.....	71
Fig. 6. Flowability variation of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA at different dilution ratio (error bars showing standard deviation).	77
Fig. 7. Flowability variation of FC mixes admixed with CWTP at different dilution ratio (error bars showing standard deviation).	79
Fig. 8. Foaming agent dosage variation of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA at different dilution ratio.....	80
Fig. 9. Foaming agent dosage variation of FC mixes admixed with CWTP at different dilution ratio.....	82
Fig. 10. Relationship between flowability and foaming dosage of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA at different dilution ratio.	84
Fig. 11. Relationship between flowability and foaming dosage of FC mixes admixed with CWTP at different dilution ratio.	85
Fig. 12. Relationship between fresh density and foaming dosage of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA at different dilution ratio.	88
Fig. 13. Relationship between fresh density and foaming dosage of FC mixes admixed with CWTP at different dilution ratio.....	88

Fig. 14. Density variation of FC mixes after 28 days curing at dilution ratio (1:20) (error bars represent standard deviation).	90
Fig. 15. Density variation of FC mixes after 28 days curing at dilution ratio (1:40) (error bars represent standard deviation).	91
Fig. 16. Density variation of FC mixes after 28 days curing at dilution ratio (1:60) (error bars represent standard deviation).	91
Fig. 17. Density variation of FC mixes after 28 days curing at dilution ratio (1:20) (error bars represent standard deviation).	93
Fig. 18. Density variation of FC mixes after 28 days curing at dilution ratio (1:40) (error bars represent standard deviation).	94
Fig. 19. Density variation of FC mixes after 28 days curing at dilution ratio (1:60) (error bars represent standard deviation).	94
Fig. 20. Relation between dry density and fresh density of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA at different dilution ratio.	98
Fig. 21. Relation between dry density and fresh density of FC mixes admixed with CWTP at different dilution ratio.	98
Fig. 22. Relation between bulk density and fresh density of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA at different dilution ratio.	100
Fig. 23. Relation between bulk density and fresh density of FC mixes admixed with CWTP at different dilution ratio.	102
Fig. 24. Relation between bulk density and dry density of FC mixes admixed with CD-RFA at different dilution ratio.	103
Fig. 25. Relation between bulk density and dry density of FC mixes admixed with CWTP at different dilution ratio.	104
Fig. 26. Workflow of the present study.	108

Fig. 27. Density variation at dilution ratio (1:20) (error bars represent standard deviation).	110
Fig. 28. Density variation at dilution ratio (1:40) (error bars represent standard deviation).	110
Fig. 29. Density variation at dilution ratio (1:60) (error bars represent standard deviation).	111
Fig. 30. Dry density variation with porosity at different dilution ratios (error bars represent standard deviation).	111
Fig. 31. Volume of permeable voids at different dilution ratios (error bars represent standard deviation).	114
Fig. 32. Porosity variation at different dilution ratios (error bars represent standard deviation).	115
Fig. 33. Volume of permeable voids variation with porosity at different dilution ratios.	115
Fig. 34. Void distribution of FC control mix at dilution ratio of 1:20.	116
Fig. 35. Void distribution of FC mix admixed with 50% CD-RFA at dilution ratio of 1:20.	116
Fig. 36. Void distribution of FC mix admixed with 100% CD-RFA at dilution ratio of 1:20.	117
Fig. 37. Void distribution of FC control mix at dilution ratio of 1:40.	117
Fig. 38. Void distribution of FC mix admixed with 50% CD-RFA at dilution ratio of 1:40.	118
Fig. 39. Void distribution of FC mix admixed with 100% CD-RFA at dilution ratio of 1:40.	118
Fig. 40. Void distribution of FC control mix at dilution ratio of 1:60.	119

Fig. 41. Void distribution of FC mix admixed with 50% CD-RFA at dilution ratio of 1:60.	119
Fig. 42. Void distribution of FC mix admixed with 100% CD-RFA at dilution ratio of 1:60.	120
Fig. 43. Water absorption at different dilution ratios and different CD- RFA replacement levels after 28 days curing (error bars represent standard deviation).	121
Fig. 44. Variation of water absorption with porosity at different dilution ratios.	122
Fig. 45. Sorptivity variation of foam concrete with different CD- RFA replacement levels at dilution ratio of 1:20.	124
Fig. 46. Sorptivity variation of foam concrete with different CD- RFA replacement levels at dilution ratio of 1:40.	125
Fig. 47. Sorptivity variation of foam concrete with different CD- RFA replacement levels at dilution ratio of 1:60.	126
Fig. 48. Sorptivity variation with dry density at different dilution ratios.	127
Fig. 49. Sorptivity variation with water absorption at different dilution ratios.	127
Fig. 50. Compressive strength at different curing days at dilution ratio (1:20) (error bars represent standard deviation).	129
Fig. 51. Compressive strength at different curing days at dilution ratio (1:40) (error bars represent standard deviation).	129
Fig. 52. Compressive strength at different curing days at dilution ratio (1:60) (error bars represent standard deviation).	130
Fig. 53. Compressive strength of foam concrete mixes at different proportions of CD-RFA at different dilution ratio and its comparison with control mix after 28 days water curing (error bars represent standard deviation.	130

Fig. 54. Split Tensile strength at Different dilution ratio (error bars represent standard deviation).	133
Fig. 55. Flexural strength at Different dilution ratio (error bars represent standard deviation).	133
Fig. 56. Density variation at different dilution ratios (error bars represent standard deviation).	134
Fig. 57. Change in mass after exposure to sulphate and chloride environment at dilution ratio (1:20) (error bars represent standard deviation).	136
Fig. 58. Change in mass after exposure to sulphate and chloride environment at dilution ratio (1:40) (error bars represent standard deviation).	136
Fig. 59. Change in mass after exposure to sulphate and chloride environment at dilution ratio (1:60) (error bars represent standard deviation).	137
Fig. 60. Loss in strength after exposure to sulphate environment at different dilution ratios (error bars represent standard deviation).	138
Fig. 61. Loss in strength after exposure to chloride environment at different dilution ratios (error bars represent standard deviation).	139
Fig. 62. a) XRD pattern of FC mixes for control mix, 50 % CD-RFA and 100 % CD-RFA at dilution ratio of 1:20 b) XRD pattern of FC mixes for control mix, 50 % CD-RFA and 100 % CD-RFA at dilution ratio of 1:40 c) XRD pattern of FC mixes for control mix, 50 % CD-RFA and 100 % CD-RFA at dilution ratio of 1:60.....	141
Fig. 63. a) SEM image of control mix for dilution ratio of 1:20 b) SEM image of 50% CD-RFA for dilution ratio of 1:20 c) SEM image of 100% CD-RFA for dilution ratio of 1:20 d) SEM images showing pore size in 100% CD-RFA for dilution ratio of 1:20..	144

Fig. 64. a) SEM image of control mix for dilution ratio of 1:40 b) SEM image of 50% CD-RFA for dilution ratio of 1:40 c) SEM image of 100% CD-RFA for dilution ratio of 1:40 d) SEM images showing pore size in 100% CD-RFA for dilution ratio of 1:40..	145
Fig. 65. a) SEM image of control mix for dilution ratio of 1:60 b) SEM image of 50% CD-RFA for dilution ratio of 1:60 c) SEM image of 100% CD-RFA for dilution ratio of 1:60 d) SEM images showing pore size in 100% CD-RFA for dilution ratio of 1:60..	146
Fig. 66. Density variation of foam concrete mixes incorporating CWTP (error bars represent standard deviation).	156
Fig. 67. Water absorption variation at different CWTP levels at dilution ratio of 1:40 (error bars represent standard deviation).	158
Fig. 68. Variation of water absorption with porosity at dilution ratio of 1:40.	158
Fig. 69. Porosity and volume of permeable voids at different CWTP levels at dilution ratio of 1:40 (error bars represent standard deviation).	160
Fig. 70. Porosity variation with volume of permeable voids at dilution ratio of 1:40.	160
Fig. 71. Relation between dry density and sorptivity of FC mixes at different CWTP levels at dilution ratio of 1:40.	162
Fig. 72. Sorptivity of foam concrete mixes at different CWTP levels at dilution ratio of 1:40.	163
Fig. 73. Compressive strength of FC mixes incorporating different CWTP at different curing days at dilution ratio of 1:40 (error bars represent standard deviation).	165
Fig. 74. Split tensile strength of FC mixes incorporating different CWTP at 28 days at dilution ratio of 1:40 (error bars represent standard deviation).	167
Fig. 75. Flexural strength of FC mixes incorporating different CWTP at 28 days at dilution ratio of 1:40 (error bars represent standard deviation).	167

Fig. 76. a) Mass loss (%) in abrasion test at different CWTP levels in FC mixes and b) Foam concrete specimens after abrasion test at dilution ratio of 1:40..... 169

Fig. 77. Relation between dry density and mass loss in abrasion test of CWTP in FC mixes at dilution ratio of 1:40..... 170

Fig. 78. Change in mass (%) at different CWTP levels due to exposure to sulphuric and hydrochloric acid solutions at dilution ratio of 1:40 (error bars represent standard deviation). 172

Fig. 79. Change in mass ratio (%) at different CWTP levels due to exposure to sulphuric and hydrochloric acid solutions at dilution ratio of 1:40 (error bars represent standard deviation). 172

Fig. 80. a) specimens after exposure to H₂SO₄ acid solutions and b) compressive strength of specimen subjected to H₂SO₄ exposure c) specimens after exposure to HCl acid solutions d) compressive strength of specimen subjected to HCl exposure at dilution ratio of 1:40. 173

Fig. 81. Compressive strength ratio (%) at different CWTP levels due to exposure to sulphuric and hydrochloric acid at dilution ratio of 1:40 (error bars represent standard deviation). 175

Fig. 82. Loss in compressive strength (%) at different CWTP levels due to exposure to sulphuric and hydrochloric acid solutions at dilution ratio of 1:40 (error bars represent standard deviation). 176

Fig. 83. XRD analysis of FC mixes incorporating different levels of CWTP at dilution ratio of 1:40..... 178

Fig. 84. a) Pore size distribution for control mix b) Pore size distribution for 50% CWTP mix c) Pore size distribution for 90% CWTP mix at dilution ratio of 1:40..... 181

Fig. 85. a) SEM image of control mix for dilution ratio of 1:40 b) SEM image of 10% CWTP for dilution ratio of 1:40 c) SEM image of 30% CWTP for dilution ratio of 1:40 d) SEM image of 50% CWTP for dilution ratio of 1:40. e) SEM image of 70% CWTP for dilution ratio of 1:40 f) SEM image of 90% CWTP for dilution ratio of 1:40 182

ABBREVIATIONS and SYMBOLS

FC	Foam concrete
CD-RFA	Recycled fine aggregate from construction and demolition waste
CWTP	Ceramic waste tile powder
SEM	Scanning Electron microscope
XRD	X-ray diffractometer
DI	Durability Index
OPC	Ordinary Portland cement
EMAS	Engineering material arrestor system
XRF	X-ray Fluorescence
ACI	American Concrete Institute
ASTM	American Society of Testing materials
SCM	Supplementary Cementitious Material
HPMC	Hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose
W/C	water to cement ratio
RFA	Recycled fine aggregate
FRFC	Fibre reinforced foamed concrete
E	Modulus of Elasticity
MPa	Mega Pascal
GPa	Giga Pascal
HCl	Hydrochloric acid
H ₂ SO ₄	Sulphuric acid
CSH	Calcium Silicate hydrates
CASH	Calcium Aluminate hydrate
GFC	Geo polymer foam concrete
SAC	Sulfoaluminate cement
MPC	Magnesium phosphate cement (MPC) foam concrete
LC ³	Limestone Calcined Clay Cement
NASH	Sodium-Aluminum-Silicate-Hydrate
FA	Fly ash
BA	Bottom Ash
CBA	Coal bottom ash
UPV	Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity
GBS	Unground blast furnace slag
GGBS	Ground granulated blast furnace slag
SF	Silica fume
FOPA	Fine oil palm ash
OPA	Oil palm ash
LWC	Light weight concrete
RHA	Rice husk ash
QD	Quarry dust

CDWRS	Construction and demolition waste residue soil
CDW	Construction and demolition waste
RA	Recycled aggregates
BIS	Bureau of Indian standard
SSD	Saturated surface dry weight
ITZ	Interfacial transition zone
Ca (OH) ₂ & (CH)	Calcium hydroxide
CM	Control mix with foam
WRA	waste recycled aggregates
CaCO ₃	Calcium Carbonate
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
SAI	Strength Activity Index
SiO ₂	Silica oxide
Al ₂ O ₃	Aluminium Oxide
Fe ₂ O ₃	Ferric Oxide
CaO	Calcium Oxide
MgO	Magnese Oxide
SO ₃	Sulphur trioxide
C ₃ S	Tricalcium silicate
C ₂ S	Dicalcium Silicate
EDX	Energy Dispersive X-ray

ABSTRACT

Solid waste landfills will continue to receive a large amount of waste due to the rapid increase in population worldwide. We can efficiently preserve our finite material resources by transforming a substantial quantity of solid waste into a viable alternative resource. An extensive study has been conducted on using two solid waste materials in concrete due to its widespread application as construction material for roads and buildings.

Foam concrete (FC) is emerging as a novel material. It is gaining good attention amongst academicians due to low self-weight and self-compacting nature. This technology consists of a concrete type in which entrained air is generated through use of foaming agent to a mixture of cement and fine aggregates. Different types of fine aggregate proportions, water-to-cement (w/c) and cement-to-sand ratio, and foam concentration provides an optimum balance for design of FC mixes. Studies in the literature have focused primarily on assessing the properties of the foam concrete. No mention is found on examining the effect of foaming agent dilution ratio, which is expected to play a crucial role in achieving the desired pore structure through optimum air entrainment. Foam concrete is rendered lightweight, and the need is to strike a balance between porosity achieved and the mechanical properties for its infield performance. Identification of appropriate foaming agents is another aspect of framing the technology for broader applications.

Recycled fine aggregates from construction and demolition (CD-RFA) waste processing plants was used for partial replacement of natural river sand below 1.18 size along with OPC. It is expected that the use of CD-RFA in foam concrete mixes would cater to the needs of sustainability. A comprehensive laboratory investigation for utilizing

CD-RFA in FC mixes is made in this research. CD-RFA was utilized in proportions of 0, 10, 30, 50, 70, and 100%, respectively by the mass of sand.

Three dilution ratios of protein based foaming agent viz. 1:20, 1:40 and 1:60 (water: foaming agent) were used to produce FC mixes. The fresh, mechanical, microstructural and durability properties of FC mixtures were studied. An extensive laboratory investigation reveals that the FC mixes at dilution ratio of 1:40 showed the best-balanced relationship between all the aforementioned parameters required for foam concrete. This is a novel finding. Depending on the concentration of the foaming agent, entrained air bubbles of different sizes are formed in FC mixes. These bubbles tend to collapse and merge during the mixing process with the dry ingredients. On drying, these bubbles may leave voids/pores in the FC mass thereby rendering light-weightness.

Results indicate that the replacement of natural sand up to 50% with CD-RFA is possible by mass of sand. However, the optimum results in terms of fresh, mechanical, microstructural and durability properties were obtained for 10% replacement level. The foam concrete mix prepared using recycled fines will help clearance of a large quantity of CD waste in volume terms, thereby benefiting the environment.

Admixing CD-RFA increases the water absorption of the FC mixes due to the adhered mortar on its surface during processing in the C&D plants. This impacts the porosity and sorptivity of the FC mixes as compared to the control FC mix prepared with sand, OPC and foam. These properties increase considerably as the CD-RFA replacement levels increases. Meanwhile, the incorporation of CD-RFA was found to negatively affect the mechanical properties of the FC mixes. However, the obtained values of compressive strength, flexural strength, and split tensile strength of 10% admixed CD-RFA were 14.17, 4.88 and 2.27 MPa, respectively at 28-day. These results

were within the ACI 523R 2014 recommended ranges of 8–22, 3–6.4, and 1–2.2 MPa, thereby rendering CD-RFA admixed foam concrete suitable for semi or non- structural as well as lightweight applications. The control FC mix attained compressive strength, flexural strength, and split tensile strength of 22.35, 4.68 and 2.18 MPa, respectively, which holds promise for its application for structural purposes. Of further interest is the flexural strength achieved by the FC mixes, holding promise for their use in parking lots that would keep the surface dry and help groundwater recharge. The hardened density, abrasion resistance, and resistance to sulphates and chloride attacks showed a perceptible decline for 10% admixed CD-RFA FC mixes.

SEM of FC specimens after 28 days show an irregular distribution of pore-sizes scattered all over the groundmass. The microstructure of foam concrete is influenced by several elements - including the foaming agent, component materials, and mixing technique. Formation of foam bubbles varies noticeably between the control mix and the mix with 100% CD-RFA replacement. This is because the admixing CD- RFA results in large surface area of pores/ voids and is adsorptive. The XRD reveals hydrated products such as portlandite (CH), calcium silicate hydrates (CSH), and unreacted calcite and dicalcium silicate. Samples with higher level of CD-RFA replacement show higher intensity of calcite, which resulted from carbonation of hydrated products as compared to control FC mixes. Also, as the percentage of CD-RFA increases in foam concrete, the concentration of CaCO_3 increases in the mix at every dilution ratio along with increase in porosity.

This study uses another waste named ceramic waste tile powder (CWTP) for partial replacement of OPC in foam concrete in different proportions varying from 10%, 30%, 50%, 70%, and 90% by mass of cement. Mixtures of the resulting cementitious material and natural river sand were considered. The development of compressive

strength over time (at 7, 28, and 90 days), flexural strength, and split tensile strength at 28 days were examined. The protein-based foaming agent was diluted in the proportion of 1:40 as obtained for CD-RFA.

It was found that with the increase in the proportion of CWTP, the porosity, volume of permeable voids, sorptivity and water absorption of foam concrete mixes increased significantly. At the same time, a downward trend in the mechanical properties were noted. However, the obtained values of compressive strength, flexural strength, and split tensile strength were found to attain peak value at CWTP admixing level of 30% with OPC attaining values of 14.94, 4.86 and 1.65 MPa, respectively. These were found to be well within the ACI 523 R 2014 recommended ranges of 8–22, 3–6.4, and 1–2.2 MPa. The FC mixes were evaluated for durability performance through the abrasion resistance using Cantabro test and exposure to an aggressive environment using 2% sulphuric and hydrochloric acid solution. Hardened density, abrasion resistance, and resistance to sulphates and chloride attacks increase with the increase of replacement level of CWTP in mixes. A durability criterion called *durability index* is introduced in this research to compare the durability of varying replacement levels of CWTP in FC mixes.