

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE	i
DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE	ii
COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
ABBREVIATIONS and SYMBOLS	xxiii
ABSTRACT	xxvi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 GENERAL	1
1.2 ROLE OF FLY ASH IN CONCRETE	6
1.3 ROLE OF MICRO SILICA IN CONCRETE	8
1.4 ROLE OF GGBS IN CONCRETE	9
1.5 ROLE OF CHEMICAL ADMIXTURE IN CONCRETE	10
1.6 SYNERGIC EFFECTS OF MINERAL AND CHEMICAL ADMIXTURE	11
1.7 OBJECTIVES OF RESEARCH WORK	12
1.8 ORGANIZATION OF THE DISSERTATION	13
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	15
2.1 GENERAL	15
2.2 LITERATURE REVIEW ON SECONDARY CEMENTITIOUS MATERIALS (SCMs)	16
2.2.1 Fly Ash (FA)	16
2.2.2 Effect of FA on Fresh Cement and Concrete	17
2.2.2.1 Consistency	17
2.2.2.2 Setting Time	18
2.2.2.3 Workability	20
2.2.3 Effect of FA on Properties of Hardened Concrete	22
2.2.3.1 Compressive Strength (CS)	22
2.2.3.2 Splitting Tensile Strength (STS)	25
2.2.3.3 Flexural Strength	27
2.2.4 Effect of FA on Durability Parameters	28
2.2.4.1 Water Absorption, Sorptivity, and Water Permeability	28
2.2.4.2 Carbonation	29

2.2.4.3	Sulfate attack	30
2.2.4.4	Corrosion Resistance	32
2.2.4.5	Effect of Acid Exposure	33
2.2.5	Ground Granulated Blast Slag (GGBS)	34
2.2.6	Effect of GGBS on fresh cement and concrete	34
2.2.6.1	Setting Time	35
2.2.6.2	Workability	35
2.2.7	Effect of GGBS on Properties of Hardened Concrete	36
2.2.7.1	Compressive Strength (CS)	36
2.2.7.2	Split Tensile Strength (STS)	37
2.2.7.3	Flexure Strength (FS)	38
2.2.8	Effect of GGBS on Durability Parameters	39
2.2.8.1	Permeability	39
2.2.8.2	Carbonation	39
2.2.8.3	Acid Attack	40
2.2.8.4	Corrosion Resistance	40
2.2.9	Mirco Silica (MS)	42
2.2.10	Effect of MS on Fresh Cement and Concrete	42
2.2.10.1	Workability	42
2.2.11	Effect of MS on Properties of Hardened Concrete	43
2.2.11.1	Compressive Strength	43
2.2.11.2	Splitting Tensile Strength (STS)	44
2.2.11.3	Flexural Strength (FS)	46
2.2.12	Effect of GGBS on Durability Parameters	47
2.2.12.1	Permeability	47
2.2.12.2	Carbonation	48
2.2.12.3	Acid Attack	49
2.2.12.4	Corrosion	51
2.3	LITERATURE REVIEW ON SUPERPLASTICIZER	53
2.3.1	The Marsh Cone Test	54
2.4	LITERATURE REVIEW ON MODELLING	56
2.5	CONCLUSION	59
CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS, SAMPLE PREPARATION AND METHODOLOGY		63
3.1	GENERAL	63
3.2	MATERIAL SELECTION	64

3.2.1	Binding Material	64
3.2.1.1	Normal Consistency	64
3.2.1.2	Initial and Final Setting Time	65
3.2.1.3	Soundness Test	66
3.2.1.4	Fineness	67
3.2.1.5	Specific Surface Area	67
3.2.1.6	Chemical Composition	68
3.2.1.7	Specific Gravity and Unit Weight of Cement/FA/GGBS/MS	68
3.2.1.8	Compressive Strength of Binding Material	69
3.3	MARSH CONE TEST	70
3.3.1	Methodology of Marsh cone test	70
3.3.1.1	Mixes Production	70
3.3.1.2	The optimum dosage of superplasticizer	73
3.3.1.3	Efficiency of Superplasticizer	73
3.4	AGGREGATES	75
3.4.1.1	Grain Size Analysis	75
3.4.1.2	Crushing Value Test	75
3.4.1.3	Impact Value Test	75
3.4.1.4	Specific Gravity And Water Absorption Test	76
3.4.1.5	Shape Test	76
3.5	MIX DESIGN CONCRETE	76
3.6	SLUMP TEST	77
3.7	STUDY ON MECHANICAL STRENGTH OF CONCRETE	77
3.7.1.1	Compressive Strength (CS)	77
3.7.1.2	Flexural Strength (FS)	78
3.7.1.3	Split Tensile Strength (STS)	78
3.8	STUDY ON DURABILITY PROPERTIES OF CONCRETE	79
3.8.1.1	Acidic Attack and Corrosion of Steel Bar	80
3.8.1.2	Carbonation	81
3.8.1.3	Water permeability	83
3.8.1.4	Microstructural analysis	84
3.9	PREDICTIVE MODELS BASED ON MACHINE LEARNING	85
3.10	CONCLUSION	85
CHAPTER 4 MATERIAL PROPERTIES AND MARSH CONE TEST		86
4.1	GENERAL	86

4.2	AGGREGATE	87
4.3	BINDING MATERIAL	87
4.3.1	Cement	87
4.3.1	Micro Silica (MS)	89
4.3.2	Fly Ash (FA)	89
4.3.3	GGBS	90
4.3.4	Superplasticizer	90
4.4	STUDY ON PROPERTIES OF BINDING MATERIAL	91
4.4.1	Consistency	91
4.4.2	Setting Time	92
4.4.3	Compressive Strength of Binding Material	94
4.5	MARSH CONE TEST	95
4.5.1	Effect of the Water-Binder Ratio	96
4.5.2	Effects of the Type of Mineral Admixture	97
4.5.3	Efficiency of Superplasticizer	100
4.6	CONCRETE MIX DESIGN	102
4.7	CONCLUSION	104
CHAPTER 5 STUDY ON MECHANICAL STRENGTH OF CONCRETE		106
5.1	GENERAL	106
5.2	COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (CS)	106
5.2.1	Effect of w/b	108
5.2.2	Effect of Mineral Admixture	111
5.2.3	Effect of Superplasticizer	113
5.2.4	Combined Effect of Superplasticizer (SP) and Mineral Admixture (MA)	118
5.3	FLEXURAL STRENGTH (FS)	123
5.3.1	Effect of w/b	124
5.3.2	Effect of Mineral Admixture	126
5.3.3	Effect of Superplasticizer	129
5.3.4	Combined Effect of Superplasticizer and Mineral Admixture	131
5.4	SPLITTING TENSILE STRENGTH (STS)	133
5.4.1	Effect of w/b	133
5.4.2	Effect of Mineral Admixture	134
5.4.3	Effect of Superplasticizer	140
5.4.4	Combined Effect of Superplasticizer and Mineral Admixture	140
5.5	CONCLUSION	142

CHAPTER 6 STUDY ON DURABILITY PROPERTIES OF CONCRETE	144
6.1 GENERAL	144
6.2 WATER PERMEABILITY	145
6.2.1 Effect of w/b	145
6.2.2 Effect of Mineral Admixture	149
6.2.3 Effect of SP	150
6.2.4 Combined Effect of SP and mineral admixture	154
6.3 EFFECTS OF ACCELERATED CARBONATION CURING	156
6.3.1 Compressive Strength	157
6.3.2 Carbonation Depth	160
6.3.2.1 Effect of w/b	160
6.3.2.2 Effect of Mineral Admixture	164
6.3.2.3 Effect of SP	169
6.3.2.4 Combined Effect of Mineral Admixture and Superplasticizer	174
6.4 EFFECTS OF ACIDIC ENVIRONMENT	178
6.4.1 Loss of Mass	179
6.4.1.1 Effect of w/b	179
6.4.1.2 Effect of Mineral Admixture	181
6.4.1.3 Effect of SP	183
6.4.1.4 Combined Effect of Mineral Admixture and Superplasticizer	184
6.4.2 Loss of Compressive Strength	185
6.4.2.1 Effect of w/b	188
6.4.2.2 Effect of Mineral Admixture	189
6.4.2.3 Effect of SP	190
6.4.2.4 Combined Effect of Mineral Admixture and SP	192
6.4.3 Efficiency of Admixtures as Inhibitors in Concrete	193
6.4.3.1 Effect of w/b	194
6.4.3.2 Effect of Mineral admixture	196
6.4.3.3 Effect of SP	198
6.4.3.4 Combined Effect of Mineral Admixture and SP	200
6.5 CONCLUSION	202
CHAPTER 7 PREDICTING THE 28-DAYS COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF OPC AND PPC PREPARED CONCRETE THROUGH HYBRID GA-XGB MODEL	204
7.1 GENERAL	204
7.2 APPLIED SOFT COMPUTING APPROCHES	205

7.2.1	Genetic Algorithm (GA)	205
7.2.2	eXtreme Gradient Boosting (XGBoost)	205
7.3	DATA COLLECTION, ANALYSIS AND PREPARATION	207
7.4	XGB Hyper-Parameters Tuning Through GA	210
7.5	PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PARAMETERS	212
7.6	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	213
7.6.1	Statistical Performance of GA-XGB Model	213
7.6.2	Visual Interpretation of GA-XGB Model	214
7.6.3	Validation of Proposed GA-XGB Model	217
7.6.3.1	1st Level Validation	217
7.6.3.2	2nd Level Validation	218
7.7	PREDICTION TOOL FOR 28-DAYS CS	221
7.8	CONCLUSION	222
CHAPTER 8 SUMMARY CONCLUSIONS AND SCOPE FOR THE FUTURE STUDY		224
8.1	GENERAL	224
8.2	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	224
8.3	RECOMMENDATIONS	230
8.4	SCOPE FOR THE FUTURE STUDIES	231
REFERENCES		233
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		256

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Brief comparison of cementitious materials [20]	4
Table 3.1 Mix proportions of the binder used in the study	72
Table 3.2 Different concrete mix	76
Table 4.1 Percentage passing of coarse and fine aggregates	88
Table 4.2 Mechanical properties of coarse and fine aggregates	88
Table 4.3 Notation used for different samples	91
Table 4.4 Physical properties of cement and cement with mineral admixture	92
Table 4.5 Mix proportions of the binder used in the study	95
Table 4.6 Flow time for OPC and mineral admixed cement paste without SP at different w/b	97
Table 4.7 Efficiency of superplasticizer for different pastes	101
Table 4.8 Mix design proportion by volume without SP of different concret mix	103
Table 4.9 Mix design proportion by volume with SP of different concret mix	103
Table 5.1 CS, FS, and STS of various concrete mix	110
Table 5.2 Change in CS of MA admixed concrete w.r.t control concrete without SP at different curing age	114
Table 5.3 Change in CS of mineral admixture with respect to control concrete with SP at different curing age	115
Table 5.4 Change in CS of SP concrete with respect to without SP concrete	117
Table 5.5 Change in CS of MA with SP admixed concrete with respect to control concrete without SP at different curing age	121
Table 5.6 Change in FS of MA admixed concrete w.r.t control concrete without SP at different curing age	129

Table 5.7 Change in FS of MA and SP admixed concrete w.r.t control concrete with SP at different curing age.....	129
Table 5.8 Change in FS of SP concrete with respect to without SP concrete.....	130
Table 5.9 Change in FS of with MA and SP admixed concrete w.r.t. control concrete without SP at different curing age.....	132
Table 5.10 Change in STS of MA admixed concrete w.r.t control concrete without SP at different curing age.....	137
Table 5.11 % change in STS of with MA and SP admixed concrete w.r.t. control concrete without SP at different curing age	138
Table 5.12 Change in STS of SP concrete with respect to without SP concrete	140
Table 5.13 Change in STS of with MA and SP admixed concrete w.r.t. control concrete without SP at different curing age.....	141
Table 6.1 Coefficient of permeability of different concrete mix at 28 and 90 days curing...	146
Table 6.2 Compressvive strength of concrete at 56 days of wc and 56 days of combined curing and at its carbonation depth and % increase in compressive strength	158
Table 6.3 Loss of mass(%) and loss of strength(%) under 90 days immersion in acid solution	182
Table 7.1 Statistical summary of concrete dataset prepared from OPC	208
Table 7.2 Statistical summary of concrete dataset prepared from PPC.....	208
Table 7.3 Optimal combination of XGB algorithm’s hyperparameters for OPC and PPC model.	212
Table 7.4 Statistical performance of GA-XGB model for OPC and PPC concrete.....	214
Table 7.5 Sample dataset of OPC prepared concrete.....	219
Table 7.6 Sample dataset of PPC prepared concrete.	220
Table 7.7 Dataset collected from [299] study.....	221

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Global OPC manufacture 2018 and predicted 2030	3
Figure 1.2 Particle size distribution of cementitious materials [21]	5
Figure 3.1 Flowchart of the Research	64
Figure 3.2 Vicat apparatus for setting time and standard consistency	65
Figure 3.3 Le-Chatelier's Flask	69
Figure 3.4 Cylinder for unit weight calculation	69
Figure 3.5 Casting of mortar cube	71
Figure 3.6 Compressive strength of mortar testing machine (UTM).....	71
Figure 3.7 Marsh Cone dimension.....	72
Figure 3.8 Experimental setup	72
Figure 3.9 Process of finding saturation dosage of SP	73
Figure 3.10 Flowchart for finding out efficiency of SP	74
Figure 3.11 150 mm cube casting demolding and testing	78
Figure 3.12 Curing and testing of FS.....	79
Figure 3.13 Testing of cylinder for STS	79
Figure 3.14 Casting, curing, testing setup of acid attack on concrete and steel	80
Figure 3.15 Experimental setup for aerated acidic attack.....	81
Figure 3.16 Water curing, accelerated carbonation curing and testing of 100 mm cube.....	82
Figure 3.17 Depth of carbonation in different concrete mix	83
Figure 3.18 Curing, experimental setup and testing of cube for water permeability.....	84
Figure 3.19 Thin section preparation.....	85
Figure 4.1 Chemical composition of OPC.....	89
Figure 4.2 SEM micrograph at 20,000 times magnification (1 μ m scale) of OPC.....	89

Figure 4.3 Chemical composition of MS.....	89
Figure 4.4 SEM micrograph at 20,000 times magnification (1µm scale) of MS.....	89
Figure 4.5 Chemical composition of FA.....	90
Figure 4.6 SEM micrograph at 20,000 times magnification (1µm scale) of FA	90
Figure 4.7 Chemical composition of GGBS	91
Figure 4.8 SEM micrograph at 20,000 times magnification of GGBS (1µm scale).....	91
Figure 4.9 SP vs. FT for different w/b S-I/C	98
Figure 4.10 SP vs. FT for different w/b S-II/MS	98
Figure 4.11 SP vs. FT for different w/b S-III/FA	98
Figure 4.12 SP vs. FT for different w/b S-IV/GGBS	98
Figure 4.13 SP dosage vs. flow time at 0.4 w/b ratio	100
Figure 4.14 SP dosage vs. flow time at 0.45 w/b ratio	100
Figure 4.15 SP dosage vs. flow time at 0.5 w/b ratio	101
Figure 4.16 SP dosage vs. flow time at 0.55 w/b ratio	101
Figure 4.17 SP dosage vs. flow time at 0.60 w/b ratio	101
Figure 4.18 Efficiency of superplasticizer on various pastes at different w/b.....	102
Figure 5.1 Compressive strength of different concrete mix	107
Figure 5.2 Effect of w/b on different concrete types on compressive strength	110
Figure 5.3 Comparison of CS of MA admixed concrete with plain concrete with varying w/b and curing age	114
Figure 5.4 Comparison of CS of MA and SP admixed concrete with SP concrete with varying w/b and curing age	115
Figure 5.5 Combined effect of SP and mineral admixture on CS at 7 days	122
Figure 5.6 Combined effect of SP and mineral admixture on CS at 28 days	122
Figure 5.7 Combined effect of SP and mineral admixture on CS at 90 days	123

Figure 5.8 Flexural strength of different concrete mix	124
Figure 5.9 Effect of w/b on different concrete types on flexural strength.....	125
Figure 5.10 Comparison of FS of MA admixed concrete with plain concrete with varying w/b and curing age	127
Figure 5.11 Comparison of FS of MA and SP admixed concrete with SP concrete with varying w/b and curing age	128
Figure 5.12 Combined effect of SP and mineral admixture on FS at 28 days.....	132
Figure 5.13 Combined effect of SP and mineral admixture on FS at 90 days.....	133
Figure 5.14 Splitting tensile strength of different concrete mix	135
Figure 5.15 Effect of w/b on different concrete types on splitting tensile strength.....	135
Figure 5.16 Comparison of STS of MA admixed concrete with plain concrete with varying w/b and curing age	139
Figure 5.17 Comparison of STS of MA and SP admixed concrete with SP concrete with varying w/b and curing age	139
Figure 5.18 Combined effect of SP and mineral admixture on STS at 28 days	141
Figure 5.19 Combined effect of SP and mineral admixture on STS at 90 days	142
Figure 6.1 Coefficient of permeability of concrete mix without SP and % variation w.r.t 0.4 w/b.....	148
Figure 6.2 Coefficient of permeability of concrete mix with SP and % variation w.r.t 0.4 w/b	148
Figure 6.3 CS vs coefficient of permeability at 28 & 90 d curing of Control concrete with and without SP.....	151
Figure 6.4 CS vs coefficient of permeability at 28 & 90d curing of GGBS admixed concrete with and without SP.....	152

Figure 6.5 CS vs coefficient of permeability at 28 d & 90d curing of FA admixed concrete with and without SP.....	153
Figure 6.6 CS vs coefficient of permeability at 28 & 90d curing of MS admixed concrete with and without SP.....	153
Figure 6.7 % variation in permeability coefficient of concrete mix at 0.4 w/b w.r.t C40 control concrete.....	154
Figure 6.8 % variation in permeability coefficient of concrete mix at 0.45 w/b w.r.t C45 control concrete.....	154
Figure 6.9 % variation in permeability coefficient of concrete mix at 0.5 w/b w.r.t C50 control concrete.....	155
Figure 6.10 % variation in permeability coefficient of concrete mix at 0.55 w/b w.r.t. C55 control concrete.....	155
Figure 6.11 Compressive strength of concrete without SP.....	159
Figure 6.12 Compressive strength of concrete with SP.....	159
Figure 6.13 SEM micrographs of concrete of mix SC40 after 56 days of combined curing.	163
Figure 6.14 SEM micrographs of concrete of mix SC50 after 56 days of combined curing.	163
Figure 6.15 SEM micrographs of concrete of mix C50 after 56 days of combined curing...	167
Figure 6.16 SEM micrographs of concrete of mix FA 50 after 56 days of combined curing	167
Figure 6.17 Carbonated compressive strength vs carbonation depth of various concrete samples without SP.....	168
Figure 6.18 Carbonated compressive strength vs carbonation depth of various concrete samples with SP.....	169
Figure 6.19 SEM micrographs of concrete of mix CM40 after 56 days of combined curing.....	170

Figure 6.20 SEM micrographs of concrete of mix SCM40 after 56 days of combined curing	171
Figure 6.21 Carbonated CS vs carbonation depth of control concrete with and without SP.	172
Figure 6.22 Carbonated CS vs carbonation depth of FA admixed concrete with and without SP	173
Figure 6.23 Carbonated CS vs carbonation depth of GGBS admixed concrete with and without SP	173
Figure 6.24 Carbonated CS vs carbonation depth of MS admixed concrete with and without SP	174
Figure 6.25 SEM micrographs of concrete of mix C40 after 56 days of combined curing...	176
Figure 6.26 SEM micrographs of concrete of mix SCF40 after 56 days of combined curing	176
Figure 6.27 SEM micrographs of concrete of mix SCB40 after 56 days of combined curing	176
Figure 6.28 Combined effect of MA and SP on CS, carbonation depth and % decrease in carbonation depth w.r.t control concrete at their respective w/b	177
Figure 6.29 Percentage loss of mass in concrete	180
Figure 6.30 CS of 118-d WC and 118- combined curing (28-d WC +118 –AC) and % LOS	190
Figure 6.31 Percentage loss of steel mass of different concrete mix	194
Figure 6.32 Steel weight loss and mineral admixture corrosion efficiency w.r.t control concrete without SP	197
Figure 6.33 Steel weight loss and mineral admixture corrosion efficiency w.r.t control concrete with SP	198

Figure 6.34 Steel weight loss and mineral admixture corrosion efficiency with SP w.r.t control concrete without SP	200
Figure 7.1 Combined histogram plot for input and output parameters of OPC and PPC concrete	208
Figure 7.2 Correlation plot of 28-days CS with other input parameters of OPC concrete	209
Figure 7.3 Correlation plot of 28-days CS with other input parameters of PPC concrete.....	210
Figure 7.4 Flow diagram for developing the hybrid GA-XGB model.	211
Figure 7.5 Scatter plot for GA-XGB model in TR and TS dataset of OPC and PPC concrete.	214
Figure 7.6 Violin plot for GA-XGB model in TR and TS dataset of OPC and PPC concrete.	215
Figure 7.7 Error bar plot for GA-XGB model in TR and TS dataset of OPC and PPC concrete	216
Figure 7.8 REC plot for GA-XGB model in TR and TS dataset of OPC and PPC concrete.	217
Figure 7.9 Robustness of GA-XGBOPC model through level-1 validation.....	218
Figure 7.10 Robustness of GA-XGBPPC model through level-1 validation.	218
Figure 7.11 Radar plot for GA-XGBOPC model through level-2 validation.....	219
Figure 7.12 Error frequency plot for GA-XGBOPC model through level-2 validation.....	219
Figure 7.13 Designed interface for predicting the 28-days CS of OPC and PPC prepared concrete.	222