

CERTIFICATE

It is certified that the work contained in the thesis titled "**Decision Support System for Evaluating the Environmental Sustainability of a Smart City**" by "Shruti" has been carried out under our supervision and that this work has not been submitted elsewhere for a degree.

It is further certified that the student has fulfilled all the requirements of Comprehensive Examination, Candidacy and SOTA for the award of Ph.D. Degree.

Prof. Prabhat Kumar Singh
(Supervisor)
Department of Civil Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology (BHU),
Varanasi-221005

Dr. Anurag Ohri
(Co-Supervisor)
Department of Civil Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology (BHU),
Varanasi-221005

DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE

I, **SHRUTI**, certify that the work embodied in this thesis is my own bonafide work and carried out by me under the supervision of **Prof. Prabhat Kumar Singh** and **Dr. Anurag Ohri** from December- 2015 to May-2021, at the **Department of Civil Engineering**, Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi. The matter embodied in this thesis has not been submitted for the award of any other degree/diploma. I declare that I have faithfully acknowledged and given credits to the research workers wherever their works have been cited in my work in this thesis. I further declare that I have not willfully copied any other's work, paragraphs, text, data, results, etc., reported in journals, books, magazines, reports dissertations, thesis, etc., or available at websites and have not included them in this thesis and have not cited as my own work.

Date:

Signature of the student

Place: Varanasi

(Shruti)

CERTIFICATE BY THE SUPERVISOR(S)

It is certified that the above statement made by the student is correct to the best of our knowledge.

Prof. Prabhat Kumar Singh
(Supervisor)

Department of Civil Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology (BHU),
Varanasi-221005

Dr. Anurag Ohri
(Co-Supervisor)

Department of Civil Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology (BHU),
Varanasi-221005

Signature of Head of Department
"SEAL OF THE DEPARTMENT"

COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE

Title of the Thesis: Decision Support System for Evaluating the Environmental Sustainability of a Smart City

. **Name of the Student:** Shruti

Copyright Transfer

The undersigned hereby assigns to the Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University), Varanasi all rights under copyright that may exist in and for the above thesis submitted for the award of the “*Doctor of Philosophy*” degree.

Date:

Signature of the student

Place: Varanasi

(Shruti)

Note: However, the author may reproduce or authorize others to reproduce material extracted verbatim from the thesis or derivative of the thesis for author's personal use provided that the source and the Institute's copyright notice are indicated.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deep sense of gratitude and indebtedness to my research supervisor and mentor **Prof. Prabhat Kumar Singh** for their meticulous guidance and wholehearted cooperation in carrying out this thesis. Furthermore, his stimulating encouragement and invaluable suggestions at each step were instrumental in giving final shape to this work besides giving me full freedom to carry out the research work independently. I consider myself fortunate to have the opportunity to work under his par excellence guidance.

With most profound gratitude and great humility, I extend my gratefulness to my Co-Supervisor **Dr. Anurag Ohri**. He is a rare blend of scientific caliber and a person full of knowledge. He has been there all the time encouraging motivating and inspiring me.

I am thankful to my Research Progress Evaluation Committee (RPEC) members **Prof. Goutam Banerjee** and **Dr. R.S. Singh** for their cooperation and for giving suggestions in the improvement of my research work.

My sincere thanks to **Prof. P.K.S. Dikshit** (Head, Department of Civil Engineering, IIT (BHU), Varanasi and all the **faculty members** of Department of Civil Engineering, IIT (BHU) for their kind support and for providing necessary facilities and a congenial working atmosphere in the Department.

It will never be possible for me to pay for the sacrifices of my parents who always encouraged and supported me to go in for higher studies. I am also grateful to my husband

Dr. Nishant Singh for his patience and untiring attitude during the preparation of this thesis.

I also thank all those who helped me directly or indirectly in the completion of this work.

Last but not the least; I thank the Almighty **GOD** for his blessings without which this research could not be achieved.

Shruti

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Certificate		i
Declaration		iii
Copyright Transfer Certificate		v
Acknowledgment		vi
Table of Contents		viii
List of Figures		xi
List of Tables		xiv
Abbreviations		xvi
Preface		xvii
Chapter-1	INTRODUCTION	1–9
	1.1 Growth of Cities in India	1
	1.2 Smart Cities Mission (SCM) in India	3
	1.3 Decision Support System (DSS)	4
	1.3.1 Environmental Decision Support System (EDSS)	4
	1.4 Transformation of Cities across the globe	6
	1.5 Research Issues	7
	1.6 Objectives	8
	1.7 Organization of Thesis	9
Chapter-2	LITERATURE REVIEW	11-31
	Cluster I	11-23
	2.1 Sustainable Cities	12
	2.2 Smart Cities	17
	Cluster II	24-27
	2.3 Differences between Sustainable Cities and Smart Cities	24
	2.4 Developed Countries Perspectives: From ‘Sustainable Cities’ to ‘Smart Sustainable Cities’	26
	2.5 Developing Countries Perspectives: From ‘Smart	27

	Cities'to 'Sustainable Smart Cities'	
	Cluster III	28-32
	2.6 Decision Support System (DSS) for Smart Cities	28
	2.7 State of the Art and Challenges in the area of Smart Cities development	30
Chapter – 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	33-52
	3.1 Evaluating Environmental Sustainability of a Smart City	33
	3.1.1 Selection of Indicators for Environmentally Sustainable Smart Cities	35
	3.1.2 Assigning weights for the indicators	36
	3.1.3 Benchmarking of selected indicators	37
	3.1.4 Calculation of Smart City Environmental Sustainability Index (SCESI)	38
	3.2 Framework of Decision Support System (DSS) for evaluating the Environmental Sustainability of a Smart City	40
	3.2.1 Theoretical concept of DSS-ESSC and its benefits	41
	3.3 Working Principle of Application	43
		46
	3.3.1 Basic Data	50
	3.4 Sensitivity Analysis	
Chapter – 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	53-115
	Cluster I	53-75
	4.1 Indicators for Environmentally Sustainable Smart Cities (ESSC)	53
	4.1.1 Indicators shortlisted from SCM guidelines	54
	4.1.2 Additional environmental indicators screened from literature on Sustainable Cities	59
	4.1.3 Finalized domains and their corresponding indicators	65
	4.2 Assigning weights for the indicators	67
	4.3 Benchmarking of selected indicators	69
	4.4 Grouping of indicators and Domain Indices (DIs)	70
	4.4.1 Solid Waste Management Index (SWMI)	75
	4.4.2 Water Supply Management Index (WSMI)	75
	4.4.3 Sewerage, Sanitation and Storm water Management Index (SSSI)	76

4.4.4 Ambient Environment Condition Index (AECI)	76
Cluster II	78-96
4.5 Validation of DSS-ESSC using data of Varanasi (a city under SCM)	78
4.5.1 Probable Measures of Improvement	83
4.6 Application of the DSS-ESSC on representative 5 cities of India under SCM	89
4.6.1 Application of the DSS-ESSC on additional 10 cities of India under SCM	93
Cluster III	97-109
4.7 Five Year Phased Intervention Plan for developing Smart Cities towards ESSC	99
4.8 Sensitivity Analysis	102
4.8.1 Effect of Removing Indicators with high weights	103
4.8.2 Effect of Removing Indicators with low weights	104
4.9 Summary of observations	110
Chapter-5 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE	116-124
5.1 Research Contributions	116
5.2 Research contribution to existing literature	120
5.3 Implications for practice	121
5.4 Implication of research for policy formulation	122
5.5 Limitations	123
5.6 Scope of future studies	123
REFERENCES	125
List of Research Publications	133
Appendix A	134

List of Figures

Figure No.	Figure Caption	Page No.
Figure 1.1	Maslow's hierarchy of needs	2
Figure 1.2	Conceptual diagram of an Environmental Decision Support System (EDSS)	5
Figure 1.3	Transformation of Cities in Developed and Developing Countries	7
Figure 2.1	Wheel Model of Smart Cities	18
Figure 2.2	SMELTS Framework for Smart City Initiative	19
Figure 3.1	Four Infrastructural Pillars (Social, Physical, Institutional and Economic) of Smart Cities	34
Figure 3.2	Developing Smart City Environmental Sustainability Index (SCESI)	34
Figure 3.3	Indicator Selection Process for Environmentally Sustainable Smart Cities (ESSC)	35
Figure 3.4	Skeletal structure for Smart Cities Environmental Sustainability Index (SCESI)	39
Figure 3.5	Structure of DSS-ESSC	42
Figure 3.6	Model-View-Template (MVT) Architecture	44
Figure 3.7	Screenshot of welcome page	46
Figure 3.8	Screenshot of description page	47
Figure 3.9	Screenshot of query page	47
Figure 3.10	Screenshot of Solid Waste Management page	48
Figure 3.11	Screenshot of Water Supply Management page	48
Figure 3.12	Screenshot of Sewerage Sanitation and Storm water management page	49

Figure 3.13	Screenshot of Ambient Environment Condition page	49
Figure 3.14	Methodology for Sensitivity Analysis	50
Figure 4.1	Screenshot of Solid Waste Management Index (SWMI)page	79
Figure 4.2	Screenshot of Solid Waste Management Index (SWMI) page	79
Figure 4.3	Screenshot of Water Supply Management Index (WSMI) page	80
Figure 4.4	Screenshot of Water Supply Management Index (WSMI) page	80
Figure 4.5	Screenshot of Sewerage Sanitation and Storm water management Index (SSSI) page	81
Figure 4.6	Screenshot of Sewerage Sanitation and Storm water management Index (SSSI) page	81
Figure 4.7	Screenshot of Ambient Environment Condition Index (AECI) page	82
Figure 4.8	Screenshot of Ambient Environment Condition Index (AECI) page	82
Figure 4.9	Screenshot of Result Page of Varanasi City	83
Figure 4.10	Indicator Scores, Domain Indices and SCESI of Delhi	91
Figure 4.11	Indicator Scores, Domain Indices and SCESI of Patna	92
Figure 4.12	Indicator Scores, Domain Indices and SCESI of Varanasi	92
Figure 4.13	Indicator Scores, Domain Indices and SCESI of Allahabad	92
Figure 4.14	Indicator Scores, Domain Indices and SCESI of Bhubaneswar	93
Figure 4.15	Domain Indices and SCESI of selected cities	93
Figure 4.16	Indicator Scores, Domain Indices and SCESI of Vishakhapatnam	95
Figure 4.17	Indicator Scores, Domain Indices and SCESI of Bangalore	96

Figure 4.18	Indicator Scores, Domain Indices, and SCESI of Surat	96
Figure 4.19	Indicator Scores, Domain Indices, and SCESI of Indore	96
Figure 4.20	Indicator Scores, Domain Indices, and SCESI of Muzaffarpur	97
Figure 4.21	Indicator Scores, Domain Indices, and SCESI of Agartala	97
Figure 4.22	Indicator Scores, Domain Indices, and SCESI of Jaipur	97
Figure 4.23	Indicator Scores, Domain Indices, and SCESI of Agra	98
Figure 4.24	Indicator Scores, Domain Indices, and SCESI of Kanpur	98
Figure 4.25	Indicator Scores, Domain Indices, and SCESI of Lucknow	98
Figure 4.26	Phased intervention and improvements in DIs and SCESI for Delhi	100
Figure 4.27	Phased intervention and improvements in DIs and SCESI for Patna	100
Figure 4.28	Phased intervention and improvements in DIs and SCESI for Varanasi	101
Figure 4.29	Phased intervention and improvements in DIs and SCESI for Allahabad	101
Figure 4.30	Phased intervention and improvements in DIs and SCESI for Bhubaneswar	102
Figure 4.31	Variation of percentage error in SCESI with decreasing number of indicators due to non availability of high weight parameters	110
Figure 4.32	Variation of percentage error in SCESI with decreasing number of indicators due to non availability of low weight parameters	110

List of Tables

Table No.	Table Content	Page No.
Table 2.1	Indicator frameworks and their characteristics	15
Table 2.2	Smart Cities Environmental Indicators	20
Table 2.3	Environmental indicators in Sustainable Cities and Smart Cities Frameworks	24
Table 3.1	Indicator Score/Domain Index/ SCESI and Environmental Sustainability Condition	39
Table 3.2	Modules and sub-modules of DSS-ESSC	42
Table 4.1	Environmental indicators in Smart Cities Mission of Government of India	55
Table 4.2	Appropriateness of Environmental Indicators used in Smart Cities Mission of GOI based on WBED (2002) Criteria	57
Table 4.3	Additional environmental indicators screened through literature	61
Table 4.4	Appropriateness of 20 Additional Environmental Indicators for Sustainable Cities based on WBED (2002) criteria	62
Table 4.5	Appropriateness of Environmental Indicators for Sustainable Cities based on CITYkeys Indicators criteria	63
Table 4.6	Environmental indicators suggested for ESSC framework	64
Table 4.7	Finalized domains and their corresponding indicators	66
Table 4.8	Expert opinion on the scale of 1(Least Important) to 5 (Most Important)	68
Table 4.9	Weight of selected indicators	69
Table 4.10	Benchmarking of indicators	71
Table 4.11	Probable improvement measures for SWM indicators	84
Table 4.12	Probable improvement measures for WSM indicators	86
Table 4.13	Probable improvement measures for SSS indicators	87

Table 4.14	Probable improvement measures for AEC indicators	88
Table 4.15	Classification of Environmental Sustainability status of smart cities based on SCESI	90
Table 4.16	DIs, SCESI and Environmental Sustainability Category of five selected cities	91
Table 4.17	DIs, SCESI and Environmental Sustainability Category of ten selected cities	95
Table 4.18	Estimating Errors introduced in calculation of domain indices due to non-availability of High weight indicators	106
Table 4.19	Estimating Errors introduced in calculation of domain indices due to non-availability of Low weight indicators	107
Table 4.20	Environmental Domain(s) under ‘Poor (P)’ and ‘Critically Low (CL)’ categories of environmental sustainability and Priority Indicators	112
Table 4.21	Environmental Domain(s) under ‘Poor (P)’ and ‘Critically Low (CL)’ categories of environmental sustainability and Priority Indicators	113

ABBREVIATIONS

Ambient Environment Condition	AEC
Benchmarked Indicator Value	BIV
Decision Support System for Environmentally Sustainable Smart Cities	DSS-ESSC
Domain Indices	DI
Environmentally Sustainable Smart Cities	ESSC
Government of India	GOI
Indicator Score	IS
Information and Communication Technology	ICT
Sewerage, Sanitation and Storm water Management	SSS
Smart Cities Mission	SCM
Smart City Environmental Sustainability Index	SCESI
Solid Waste Management	SWM
Water Supply Management	WSM
World Bank Environment Development	WBED

PREFACE

The Government of India (GOI) initiated Smart Cities Mission in June 2015. There is a growing consensus that the initiatives taken under Smart Cities Mission (SCM) in India should be used as an opportunity to prepare models for ‘Environmentally Sustainable Smart Cities (ESSC)’ for the developing world. While developed countries have earlier worked for ‘Sustainable Cities’ and are now moving towards ‘Smart Sustainable Cities’, the conditions in developing countries are moving from ‘Cities’ to ‘Smart Cities’ and then towards ‘Sustainable Smart Cities’. SCM guidelines in its current form appear to emphasize more on social and economic development as well as governance issues using modern tools of Information and Communication Technology (ICT). Accordingly, the concept of Smart Cities is criticized due to a weak connectivity with the environmental sustainability quotient. Such conditions demand planning for ‘Environmentally Sustainable Smart Cities (ESSC)’ with an understanding that social and economic objectives are well engrained as part of being ‘smart’.

In order to develop a system of evaluation for current conditions, and guide the process through benchmarking of services and attaining conditions of ‘Environmentally Sustainable Smart Cities (ESSC)’ in India, the overall aim of the present study is to develop a software-based Decision Support System for Environmentally Sustainable Smart Cities (DSS-ESSC).

Identification and finalization of indicators to be used in the framework for evaluation of Environmentally Sustainable Smart Cities in the given context is the first objective of the present study. The analyses indicate that SCM guidelines of GOI, in its present form includes 14 performance parameters related with environment, out of which 11 qualify the criteria required for being considered as environmental indicator as per World Bank Environment Development (WBED) considerations and 3 of them do not meet the requirements in terms of clarity of design and quantification for development costs. Further, a set of 20 additional

environmental performance indicators is identified from the available literature on the subject related with requirements of ‘Sustainable Cities’, many of which are generally used as monitoring parameters in various programs of GOI. Subjecting these 20 additional indicators for suitability as environmental indicators based on WBED criteria and CITYkeys indicators criteria results in finalizing a set of 13 indicators that meet all requirements and qualify to be used in the development of a framework for DSS-ESSC. Amongst them, 10 indicators are already part of monitoring programs of the Ministry of Urban Development (MoUD), 2 have been suggested by the Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS 2016), and 1 considered important by Japan International Corporation Agency (JICA 2016). Thus, taking 11 environmental indicators from Smart Cities Mission Guidelines of India and 13 selected indicators for Sustainable Cities perspectives, a total of 24 environmental indicators are finalized to be used in the framework of DSS-ESSC. The proposed DSS-ESSC is a user-friendly tool that can be easily accessed on url smartcitydss.pythonanywhere.com. The application evaluates a city’s performance on a set of 24 selected environmental indicators, broadly grouped under four domains: Solid Waste Management (SWM), Water Supply Management (WSM), Sewerage, Sanitation and Storm water Management (SSS), and Ambient Environment Condition (AEC).

A model has been developed to arrive at a ‘Smart City Environmental Sustainability Index (SCESI)’ which is the second objective of the study. It is developed on a 0-100 increasing scale, and based on decreasing SCESI value, the city’s environmental sustainability have been classified under 5 categories: Excellent (>80), Good (60-80), Fair (40-60), Poor (20-40) or Critically Low (<20).

The entire process of reaching SCESI value is done in four steps: i. Selection of Indicators for Environmentally Sustainable Smart Cities, ii. Assigning weights for the indicators, iii. Benchmarking of selected indicators and iv. Calculation of Smart City Environmental Sustainability Index (SCESI). All domains have been considered equally important.

Indicators within a domain have been assigned differential weights based on Delphi Technique. Benchmarking is done for each of the finalized indicators based on best attainable standards. Benchmarked Indicator Value (BIV) of each indicator is obtained on 0 to 100 convertible scale and classified into 4 categories: Excellent, Good, Average, and Poor. Using the weight of the indicator and the benchmarked indicator value (BIV), Indicator Score (IS) is obtained, which can be used to understand the current environmental position of the city with respect to that indicator. This can be used as a tool for identification of areas of urgent attention and investment for improvements and maximum environmental gains which is the third objective of the study. Summation of indicator scores (ISs) gives respective domain indices (DIs). SCESI is the arithmetic mean of four DIs: SWMI, WSMI, SSSI and AECI.

For test check of applicability and interpretation of results using this framework, five cities of India, which are currently being developed under SCM (Delhi, Patna, Allahabad, Varanasi, and Bhubaneswar), have been examined. The analyses indicate that while three of them (Delhi, Allahabad, and Bhubaneswar) are found in Fair (SCESI=40-60) category of environmental sustainability, two (Varanasi and Patna) are in Poor (SCESI=20-40) category. Comparing 4 DIs (SWMI, WSMI, SSSI, AECI) for each of the 5 selected cities (Total 20 DIs), 2 are found in Good (SSSI and AECI for Allahabad), 11 in Fair (SWMI for Delhi and Bhubaneswar; WSMI for all 5 selected cities; SSSI for Delhi and Varanasi; AECI for Patna and Bhubaneswar), 5 in Poor (SWMI for Patna, Varanasi, Allahabad; SSSI for Patna and Bhubaneswar) and 2 in Critically Low (AECI for Delhi and Varanasi) categories of environmental sustainability.

For policy makers, it is advisable to prioritize environmental domains under Critically Low (CL) and Poor (P) categories to attain 'Fair' conditions sequentially with judicious distribution of funds for stepped improvements. Attaining 'Good' category is the minimum

target for all the domains. Thus, Ambient Environment Condition (AEC) is found under Critically Low (CL) category for Delhi (AECI= 11.40) and Varanasi (AECI= 12.40). Similarly, Solid Waste Management (SWM) is found under Poor category for three cities: Allahabad, Varanasi and Patna (SWMI= 20.70, 20.70 and 25.70 respectively) whereas and Sewerage, Sanitation and Storm water Management (SSS) is under Poor category for Patna and Bhubaneswar (SSSI= 39.52 and 35.00 respectively).

For time bound planning, a target-based approach may be followed for improving the existing environmental conditions, particularly for domains under Critically Low (CL), Poor (P) and 'Fair' categories. Accordingly, a phased intervention plan of 5 years (first three years followed by next two years) has been suggested to achieve the target of Environmentally Sustainable Smart Cities in the country. Once a 'Good' level of environmental domain sustainability and overall SCESI (>60) are attained, further improvements may be targeted for better quality of urban life. Thus while maintaining and further improving other domains, AEC followed by SSS should be the priority domains for Delhi; SWM and SSS for Patna; AEC followed by SWM for Varanasi; SWM followed by WSM for Allahabad; and SSS followed by AEC for Bhubaneswar. Analyses and study of indicator scores (ISs) suggest that ambient sound level reduction followed by surface water quality management in Delhi and Varanasi; wastewater recycling in Bhubaneswar; improving degree of segregation under SWM for Allahabad and scientific disposal of MSW in Patna are the most urgent priority actions to improve the environmental conditions.

The analyses indicates that the framework developed for evaluating environmental sustainability of a smart city through Smart Cities Environmental Sustainability Index (SCESI) appears giving justifiable and acceptable results. Thus, as an extension of application, 10 additional Indian cities under current SCM list from different zones of the country representing varying climatic conditions (Jaipur, Agra, Kanpur, Lucknow,

Muzaffarpur, Agartala, Vishakhapatnam, Bangalore, Surat and Indore) were selected for studying the possible wider use of developed DSS-ESSC and SCESI. The results indicated that SCESI of Vishakhapatnam, Bangalore, Surat and Kanpur fall in 'Fair' category, while Muzaffarpur, Agartala, Jaipur, Agra and Lucknoware found in 'Poor' category. The only city that manages to secure 'Good' category is Indore. Accordingly, domains of priority and areas of urgent interventions and improvements under 5 years phased development program can be identified.

Overall, the present study puts forward a frame work for understanding and evaluating the environmental sustainability of Smart Cities under development in India. The software based DSS-ESSC application and model for calculating 'Smart Cities Environmental Sustainability Index (SCESI)' may be used as a monitoring and diagnostic tool for planning and management of services connected with environmental dimension in the city life. The application may also be used for performance evaluation and decision-making tool for identification of priority areas for actions and investment in Smart Cities development in line with the vision of ensuring environmental sustainability.