

# List of figures

1.1	A) One-dimensional quantum spin chain with open boundary. A solid line connecting neighbouring spins indicates interaction. B) One-dimensional quantum spin chain with closed/periodic boundary. The first spin interacts with the last spin to form a closed loop. . . . .	8
1.2	Ferromagnetic exchange interaction (left). Antiferromagnetic exchange interaction (right). . . . .	11
1.3	Schematic representation of how to find the direction of the DMI vector. Here, two spins at $i$ and $j$ interact with each other through the SOC excited orbit of the diamagnetic atom in red colour. . . . .	13
1.4	Cup with a handle has only one hole, which is the loop forming the handle. A doughnut also has only one hole at the centre. (Figures generated using generative AI DALL.E by OpenAI [1]) . . . . .	14
1.5	Neel-type skyrmion (left) and Bloch-type skyrmion (right) . . . . .	20
1.6	Schematic diagram showing disordered spins going to an ordered arrangement through the application of magnetic field. . . . .	24
1.7	Schematic diagram showing non-analyticity in the rate function. . . . .	27
1.8	The two-dimensional lattice is divided into four regions A, B, C, and D. Care is given to avoid sharp features as much as possible . . . . .	41

- 2.1 PBC is applied to the 19 spins central super-cell(black unit of the lattice). Super cell is repeated due to the PBC such that it forms a larger triangular lattice. The solid bonds show bonds within the boundary and the dashed bonds represent PBC. The bonds of this lattice can be classified into three based on their orientation. Hence the direction of corresponding DMI vectors is also different for each one of these categories of bonds. Three types of bonds and direction of corresponding DMI vectors(dashed arrows) can be seen in the figure inset. . . . . 50
- 2.2 (A) Locations of spins and spin textures of the local magnetization of a system at different values of an applied magnetic field. Here  $D = 1$ ,  $J_1 = -0.5D$ ,  $J_2 = 0.1D$ . The colors quantify expectation values of  $\hat{S}_z$  components of specific spins. (B) The Fourier transform of the longitudinal spin correlation function for  $D = 1$ ,  $J_1 = -0.5D$ ,  $J_2 = 0.1D$ . The observed intensity peaks confirm the formation of non-trivial magnetic textures. (C) For  $D = 1$ ,  $J_1 = -0.5D$ ,  $J_2 = 0.1D$ , the quantum scalar chirality remains constant between magnetic field values of  $0.46D$  and  $0.86D$ . (D) A phase diagram showing ferromagnetic, skyrmionic and helical phases using the scalar chirality in the  $J_2 - B$  parametric space. . . . . 51

2.3	Chirality and magnetization vs field for first few eigen states for $D = 1, J_1 = -0.5D, J_2 = 0.1D$ . Here in the panel GS stands for the ground state, and ES stands for excited states. In GS, ES-1, ES-2, and ES-3, we can identify the scalar chirality $C_\psi$ with the almost constant value (at $C_\psi \sim 0.5$ ) corresponding to a certain range of the magnetic field $B$ . For the GS the range of $B$ is $B \sim 0.48D$ to $B \sim 0.91D$ . In ES-1 it is $B \sim 0.48D$ to $B \sim 0.89D$ . In ES-2 it is $B \sim 0.48D$ to $B \sim 0.85D$ . In ES-3 it is $B \sim 0.59D$ to $B \sim 0.79D$ . We notice that for higher excited energy levels the range of the plateau is diminished, and at ES-4 we completely lost the plateau. An important fact is that already for the first excited state ES-1, we observe fluctuation in the case of the strong field $B \sim 0.9D$ . For higher excited states ES-2 and ES-3 fluctuations are enhanced and features of the quantum skyrmion state are absent. . . . .	52
2.4	Quench protocol is applied on ground state initiated with $D = 1, J_1 = -0.5D, J_2 = 0.1D, B = 0.85D$ . (A) Time evolution of rate function for skyrmionic to polar phase transition. At $t = 0$ DMI is turned off (set $D = 0$ ). The peak of rate function at $t = 9.71, 30.1$ etc. shows nonanalytic behaviour. (B) Time evolution of rate function for skyrmionic to Helical phase transition. At $t = 0$ the applied field, $B$ is turned off. Here we do not find any nonanalyticity. . . . .	56
3.1	Three bond types(solid lines connecting the dots) and the direction of corresponding DMI vectors(dashed arrows). . . . .	61
3.2	Partitioning of the system for calculating the TEE. Different colours identify corresponding subsystems $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , and $\delta$ . . . . .	65

- 3.3 (a-b) Fourier transform of the longitudinal structure factor calculated for (a)  $J_2 = 0.2|J_1|$ ,  $B = 1.24|J_1|$  and (b)  $J_2 = 0.8|J_1|$ ,  $B = 3.0|J_1|$ . (c-d) TEE and  $C_\psi$  vs applied field for (c)  $J_2 = 0.2|J_1|$  and (d)  $J_2 = 0.8|J_1|$ . (e-f) Chirality zoomed for  $J_2 = 0.2|J_1|$  and (f)  $J_2 = 0.8|J_1|$ . For all the graphs,  $D = 2|J_1|$ ,  $J_1 = -1$ . . . . . 67
- 3.4 (a) The linear part of TEE is plotted for two different  $J_2$ s. This shows that for larger  $J_2$ , the slope is less. Note that in this plot, the axes do not signify absolute values of the quantities; the purpose is to compare the slopes only. (b) The slope of the linear part of TEE,  $m_l$  vs the parameter  $J_2$ .  $D = 2|J_1|$ ,  $J_1 = -1$  are used for all of the graphs. . . . . 68
- 4.1 a) The von Neumann entropy of a quenched state from skyrmionic phase to ferromagnetic phase was investigated. The ground state of the system was prepared in the skyrmionic phase with a magnetic field strength of  $0.85D$ . Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya Interaction (DMI) term was then turned off, and the ground state was allowed to evolve under quench. b) The von Neumann entropy of quenched state from skyrmionic phase to helical phase. The system was initially prepared in the skyrmionic phase with a magnetic field strength of  $0.85D$ . At time  $t = 0.0$ , the magnetic field  $B$  was turned off, and the ground state was allowed to evolve. c) Wootters' distance,  $\omega_d$ , between states undergoing quenches from skyrmionic to ferromagnetic/helical phase. In all cases, values of  $D = 1$ ,  $J_1 = -0.5D$ , and  $J_2 = 0.1D$ . . . . . 86
- 4.2 The rate of change of entanglement entropy and the rate function of the return probability are compared for a system of 19 spins. . . . . 87

- 4.3 a) Magnetization of a quenched state from a skyrmion to a ferromagnetic phase. b) *Magnetization of quenched state from skyrmion to helical phase.* In all the cases  $D = 1$ ,  $J_1 = -0.5D$ , and  $J_2 = 0.1D$ . Dashed lines in the plots show the equilibrium values of the corresponding quenched state. . . 87
- 4.4 a) *Scalar chirality of quenched state from skyrmion to ferromagnetic phase.* We made the ground state of the system to be in skyrmionic phase with  $B = .85D$ , then we turned off the DMI term  $D$ , and the ground state evolved under the quench.  $C_\psi$  is observed for a long time duration. Here we see that the expectation value oscillates around the equilibrium value of the helical phase. b) *Chirality of quenched state from skyrmion to helical phase.* Here also we start the system at skyrmion phase with  $B = 0.85D$ . At time  $t = 0.0$  magnetic field  $B$  is turned off. Then the ground state is evolved and  $C_\psi$  is measured.  $C_\psi$  decays to the equilibrium value and oscillates slightly. In all the cases  $D = 1$ ,  $J_1 = -0.5D$ , and  $J_2 = 0.1D$ . . . 88
- 4.5 a) *Topological entanglement entropy of quenched state from skyrmion to ferromagnetic phase.* We made the ground state of the system to be in the skyrmionic phase with  $B = 0.85D$ , then we turned off the DMI term  $D$  and let the ground state evolve under the quench.  $\mathcal{S}_{topo}$  is observed for a long time duration. b) *Topological entropy of quenched state from skyrmion to helical phase.* Here also we start the system at skyrmion phase with  $B = 0.85D$ . At time  $t = 0.0$  magnetic field  $B$  is turned off. Then the ground state is evolved and  $\mathcal{S}_{topo}$  is measured. Unlike the quench to a ferromagnetic phase when quenched to a helical phase the topological entropy shows an oscillating pattern. In all the cases  $D = 1$ ,  $J_1 = -0.5D$ , and  $J_2 = 0.1D$ . . . . . 89

5.1	Schematics of the heating/cooling cycle (left) and the plasmonic skyrmion lattice (right). . . . .	94
5.2	(A) Topological Index, $C$ vs. $D$ magnitude for various anisotropy constant values. (B) Winding parameter, $Q$ vs. $D$ magnitude for various anisotropy constant values. . . . .	99
5.3	(A) An instance of $p_{m,n}^{\tau}$ is plotted for the first few eigenstates for $\Delta = 0.25J$ , $D_0 = 0.0J$ and $D_1 = 0.4J$ . We see that only $m = n$ terms have a significant contribution. Here, the initial state is a skyrmion state, and it is evolved within the parameter range where the system remains in the skyrmionic ground state. (B) Here we plot the same quantity for $\Delta = 0.75J$ . At $\Delta = 0.75J$ the irreversible entropy tends to settle at a large value after a similar evolution process as in (A). Here we see that the diagonal terms have diminishing magnitude, also off-diagonal terms have significant contribution compared to (A). . . . .	109
5.4	Geometrical and dynamical phases obtained by wave functions during the adiabatic evolution in the skyrmion phase. Results are obtained for different $n^{th}$ states. . . . .	110
5.5	The efficiency of the quasi-static plasmonic skyrmion quantum heat engine $\eta$ , and efficiency of the finite time cycle which includes effect of the small irreversible work $\eta_{ir}$ as a function of temperature of the the hot bath $T_H > T_L$ . $D_0 = 0J$ , $D_1 = 2J$ , $T_L = 0.14$ , $\Delta = 0.25J$ . . . . .	111
A.1	<b>How to calculate critical exponent associated to a nonanalyticity.</b> (A) Rate function showing nonanalyticity. (B) Zoomed in on the first nonanalytic point at $t \sim 43.24$ . (C) $\ln( \Delta\mathcal{L} )$ vs. $\ln( \Delta t )$ : Red line with green dots is observed data, black dashed line is the straight line approximation. . . . .	144

- A.2 **(A) Critical exponent in DQPT of a  $3 \times 3$  square lattice.** (I) Rate function showing nonanalyticity. (II) Zoomed in on a nonanalytic point at  $t \sim 45.44$ . (III)  $\ln(|\Delta\mathcal{L}|)$  vs.  $\ln(|\Delta t|)$ : Red line with green dots is observed data, black dashed line is the straight line approximation. **(B) Critical exponent in DQPT of a  $4 \times 4$  square lattice.** (I) Rate function showing nonanalyticity. (II) Zoomed in on a nonanalytic point at  $t \sim 45$ . (III)  $\ln(|\Delta\mathcal{L}|)$  vs.  $\ln(|\Delta t|)$ : Red line with green dots is observed data, black dashed line is the straight line approximation. . . . . 145
- A.3 **Nonanalytic rate function and the calculation of exponent for a 7-spin triangular lattice.** (A) Rate function is showing nonanalyticity. (B) Zoomed in on nonanalytic point at  $t \sim 43.58$ . (C)  $\ln(|\Delta\mathcal{L}|)$  vs.  $\ln(|\Delta t|)$ : Red line with green dots is observed data, black dashed line is the straight line approximation. . . . . 146
- A.4 Critical exponents  $\alpha$  in DQPT of three similar 2d models vs. system size  $L$  around the same time domain  $43.24 < t_c < 45.44$ . All the cases show a universal exponent around 0.5. . . . . 147
- B.1 The degeneracy of the ground state,  $\Gamma$  is plotted against the applied field. We see that at low  $B$  values,  $GS$  has a degeneracy of  $\Gamma = 6$ . At very high  $B$  values, the degeneracy breaks and  $\Gamma = 1$ . Here for instance we considered  $J_1 = -1.0, J_2 = 0.2|J_1|, D = 2.0|J_1|$ . . . . . 150
- B.2 First six eigen energies plotted against the parameter  $B$  for (a)  $J_2 = 0.0|J_1|, D = 2.0|J_1|$  and (b)  $J_2 = 0.5|J_1|, D = 2.0|J_1|$ . We see that they are degenerate up to a certain limit in  $B$ . The degeneracy breaks after a limit when  $B$  is increased in both cases. . . . . 151

B.3	$G_{\parallel}(\mathbf{q})$ is calculated for each of the 6 degenerate ground state; (a) $J_2 = 0.0 J_1 $ , $B = 0.4 J_1 $ , $D = 2.0 J_1 $ and (b) $J_2 = 0.2 J_1 $ , $B = 0.4 J_1 $ , $D = 2.0 J_1 $ . The non-collinearity of spins exists in all these states, as indicated by bright spots. Both (a) and (b) are instances where the system shows a six-fold degeneracy and is in the helical phase. . . . .	152
B.4	$C_{\psi}$ for first six energy eigen vectors vs $B$ for $J_2 = 0.2 J_1 $ , $D = 2.0 J_1 $ . . .	153
B.5	$\mathcal{S}_{topo}$ for first six energy eigen vectors plotted against $B$ for $J_2 = 0.2 J_1 $ , $D = 2.0 J_1 $ . . . . .	154
B.6	(a-b) shows the left (a) and right (b) boundary separating linear part and fluctuating parts of TEE for $J_2 = 0.2 J_1 $ , $D = 2.0 J_1 $ . (c-d) shows the same for $J_2 = 0.8 J_1 $ , $D = 2.0 J_1 $ . . . . .	155
B.7	The correlation function $G_{\parallel}(r_{ji})$ v/s $r_{ji}$ between sites $i$ and $j$ . The legend $(J_2, B)$ represents the respective parameters in $ J_1 $ units. . . . .	156
B.8	For $J_2 = 0.2 J_1 $ and $D = 2.0 J_1 $ fidelity of states $\langle \psi_i  $ and $ \psi_j \rangle$ corresponding to the values of magnetic field $B_i$ and $B_j$ is calculated. . . . .	157
B.9	$\mathcal{S}_{topo}$ is calculated for (a) $N = 7$ , (b) $N = 13$ and (c) $N = 19$ . . . . .	158
B.10	Schematic of the lattices considered a) $N=7$ and b) $N=13$ . . . . .	158
C.1	The partitioning of the system for computing the entanglement entropy. Shaded region visually represents the subsystem A considered for calculating $\mathcal{S}_A$ . . . . .	159
C.2	The partitioning of the system for computing the topological entanglement entropy (TEE) is depicted in the figure. Each subsystem, identified by different colors, corresponds to $P$ , $Q$ , $R$ , and $S$ . . . . .	160
C.3	EE production rate and rate function compared for system size $3 \times 3$ . . . .	161
C.4	EE production rate and rate function compared for a quantum spin system formed in a $4 \times 4$ square lattice. . . . .	162

- 
- D.1 Occupation probabilities  $P_n(D, T_H)$  for the first few low-lying states  $|n\rangle$ .  
System initiated at the equilibrium Gibbs thermal state  $\rho(D_0, T_H)$  (system  
at temperature  $T_H = 0.5$  initiated with DMI parameter value  $D = D_0 = 0$ ). 164
- D.2 Dependence of energy levels on the DMI constant  $E_n(D)$  (system at tem-  
perature  $T_H = 0.5$  initiated with DMI parameter value  $D = D_0 = 0$ ). . . . 165