

Chapter 5

Convergence analysis of new complex Durrmeyer operators

5.1 Introduction

In 2017, Chen et al. [44] have introduced a new modification of Bernstein operators depending on shape parameter $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ that provides more modeling flexibility in Bernstein operators, as follows:

$$P_m^\alpha(\Psi; x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_{m,j}^\alpha(x) \Psi\left(\frac{j}{m}\right), \quad (5.1)$$

where Ψ is a continuous function defined on $[0, 1]$ and the m th degree of α -Bernstein basis function $b_{m,j}^\alpha(x)$ are defined by $b_{1,0}^\alpha(x) = 1 - x$, $b_{1,1}^\alpha(x) = x$ and

$$b_{m,j}^\alpha(x) = \left[\binom{m-2}{j} (1-\alpha)x + \binom{m-2}{j-2} (1-\alpha)(1-x) + \binom{m}{j} \alpha x(1-x) \right] x^{j-1} (1-x)^{m-j-1}, \quad m \geq 2, x \in [0, 1].$$

Clearly, $P_m^\alpha(\Psi; x)$ are positive linear operators for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. In particular, at $\alpha = 1$, the α -Bernstein operators (5.1) yields Bernstein operators (1.1). Further, Mohiudine et al. [90] have introduced a new sequence of α -Bernstein-Kantorovich operators (5.1) to approximate the integrable functions. Within this series, Kajla and Acar [68] have explored the Durrmeyer variant of the operators (5.1). These operators

are defined as follows:

$$\bar{P}_m^\alpha(\Psi; x) = (m+1) \sum_{j=0}^m b_{m,j}^\alpha(x) \int_0^1 q_{m,j}(t) \Psi(t) dt. \quad (5.2)$$

The authors also delved into the examination of local approximation techniques, error estimation utilizing the Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness, and the convergence of these operators towards specific functions, accompanied by illustrative graphics.

Cetin [42] have discussed the geometric properties of the complex variant of the α -Bernstein operators (5.1) defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{B}_m^\alpha(\Psi; z) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_{m,j}^\alpha(z) \Psi\left(\frac{j}{m}\right)$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and Ψ is a complex-valued analytic function in an open disk centered at the origin with $R > 1$. For $j = 0, 1, \dots, m \in \mathbb{N}$, the complex Bernstein basis function is defined by $q_{m,j}(z) = \binom{m}{j} z^j (1-z)^{m-j}$ and the complex α -Bernstein basis function $b_{m,j}^\alpha(z)$ of m th degree is defined as $b_{1,0}^\alpha(z) = 1-z$, $b_{1,1}^\alpha(z) = z$ and

$$b_{m,j}^\alpha(z) = \left[\binom{m-2}{j} (1-\alpha)z + \binom{m-2}{j-2} (1-\alpha)(1-z) + \binom{m}{j} \alpha z (1-z) \right] z^{j-1} (1-z)^{m-j-1}, \quad m \geq 2.$$

Moreover, many researchers have delved into analyzing the quantitative approximation characteristics of various complex versions of positive linear operators, see, [14, 43, 60, 63, 71, 81, 82] and references cited therein. Motivated by the above works, we introduce a complex variant of α -Bernstein Durrmeyer operator (5.2), as

follows:

$$\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(\Psi; z) = (m+1) \sum_{j=0}^m b_{m,j}^\alpha(z) \int_0^1 q_{m,j}(y) \Psi(y) dy, \quad (5.3)$$

We first establish a recurrence relation for the moments of the operators (5.3) and then establish some quantitative upper estimation on some compact disks. At the end, we establish qualitative Voronovskaja-type results for the newly introduced operators as well as their derivatives and estimate the exact order of approximation using Big- θ notation.

5.2 Recurrence relation

Let for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, denote $e_s(z) = z^s$. It is obvious that our defined operator (5.3) preserves e_0 and e_1 . For the other moments, we have the following recurrence relation:

Lemma 5.1. *The following recurrence relation holds for all $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_{s+1}; z) &= \frac{z(1-z)}{m+s+2} (\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; z))' + \frac{mz+s+1}{m+s+2} \mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; z) \\ &\quad - \frac{z}{m+s+2} G(e_s; z) + \frac{1-z}{m+s+2} H(e_s; z), \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where, $G(e_s; z) = (m+1) \sum_{j=0}^m (1-\alpha) q_{m-2,j}(z) (1-z) \int_0^1 q_{m,j}(y) y^s dy$ and $H(e_s; z) = (m+1) \sum_{j=0}^m (1-\alpha) z q_{m-2,j-2}(z) \int_0^1 q_{m,j}(y) y^s dy$.

Proof. Let us denote $\mathcal{I} = \int_0^1 q_{m,j}(y)y^s dy$. Now, from the definition of our operator, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; z) &= (m+1) \sum_{j=0}^m b_{m,j}^\alpha(z) * \mathcal{I} \\
&= (m+1) \sum_{j=0}^m (1-\alpha) q_{m-2,j}(z)(1-z) * \mathcal{I} \\
&\quad + (m+1) \sum_{j=0}^m (1-\alpha) z q_{m-2,j-2}(z) * \mathcal{I} + (m+1) \sum_{j=0}^m \alpha q_{m,j}(z) * \mathcal{I} \\
&= G(e_s; z) + H(e_s; z) + J(e_s; z) \quad (\text{say}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
G'(e_s; z) &= (m+1) \sum_{j=0}^m (1-\alpha) (q_{m-2,j}(z)(1-z))' * \mathcal{I} \\
&= \frac{(m+1)(1-\alpha)}{z(1-z)} \sum_{j=0}^m (1-z) q_{m-2,j}(z) (j - (m-1)z) * \mathcal{I} \\
&= \frac{1-m}{1-z} G(e_s; z) + \frac{(m+1)(1-\alpha)}{z(1-z)} \sum_{j=0}^m j(1-z) q_{m-2,j}(z) * \mathcal{I}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that, $\mathcal{I} = \binom{m}{j} \beta(j+s+1, m-j+1)$, where $\beta(a, b)$ is the beta function defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta(a, b) &= \int_0^1 t^{a-1} (1-t)^{b-1} dt, \quad a, b > 0 \\
&= \frac{(a-1)!(b-1)!}{(a+b-1)!}, \quad \text{if } a, b \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{aligned}$$

We know that, the relation: $u\beta(u, v) = (u+v)\beta(u+1, v), \forall u, v > 0$. So, we can write $(j+s+1)\beta(j+s+1, m-j+1) = (m+s+2)\beta(j+s+2, m-j+1) \implies j\beta(j+s+1, m-j+1) = (m+s+2)\beta(j+s+2, m-j+1) - (s+1)\beta(j+s+1, m-j+1)$.

Therefore, we obtain

$$G'(e_s; z) = \frac{1-m}{1-z}G(e_s; z) + \frac{(m+1)(1-\alpha)}{z(1-z)} \sum_{j=0}^m (1-z)q_{m-2,j}(z) \binom{m}{j} \\ \times \{(m+s+2)\beta(j+s+2, m-j+1) - (s+1)\beta(j+s+1, m-j+1)\}$$

and hence

$$z(1-z)G'(e_s; z) = (m+s+2)G(e_{s+1}; z) - \{(m-1)z + s+1\}G(e_s; z). \quad (5.6)$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$z(1-z)H'(e_s; z) = (m+s+2)H(e_{s+1}; z) - \{(m-1)z + s+2\}H(e_s; z) \quad (5.7)$$

and

$$z(1-z)J'(e_s; z) = (m+s+2)J(e_{s+1}; z) - \{(m-1)z + s\}J(e_s; z). \quad (5.8)$$

As a consequence of (5.6)-(5.8), we get the desired relation. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Let $|z| < r$ with $r \geq 1$. Then $\forall s, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, the relation $|\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; z)| \leq r^s$ holds.*

Proof. We note that

$$(m+1)\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{\beta(j+1, m-j+1)} \int_0^1 y^{s+j}(1-y)^{m-j} dy \\ = \frac{\beta(s+j+1, m-j+1)}{\beta(j+1, m-j+1)} = \frac{(s+j)!(m-j)!}{(m+s+1)!} \times \frac{(m+1)!}{j!(m-j)!} \\ = \frac{(j+1)\dots(j+s)}{(m+2)(m+s+1)} = \frac{[j+1]_s}{[m+2]_s},$$

where $[c]_i, c \neq 0$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$[c]_i = \begin{cases} c(c+1)\dots(c+i-1), & i \geq 1 \\ 1, & i = 0. \end{cases}$$

So, by (5.5), we can write

$$\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; z) = \frac{1}{[m+2]_s} \sum_{j=0}^m [j+1]_s b_{m,j}^\alpha(z).$$

One can also write $[j+1]_s = \prod_{k=0}^{s-1} (j+1+k) = \sum_{k=0}^s \varrho_k(s) j^k$, where $\varrho_k(s), k = 0, \dots, s$ are positive constants independent of j . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; z) &= \frac{1}{[m+2]_s} \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^s \varrho_k(s) j^k b_{m,j}^\alpha(z) = \frac{1}{[m+2]_s} \sum_{k=0}^s \varrho_k(s) m^k \sum_{j=0}^m b_{m,j}^\alpha(z) \left(\frac{j}{m}\right)^k \\ &= \frac{1}{[m+2]_s} \sum_{k=0}^s \varrho_k(s) m^k \mathcal{B}_m^\alpha(e_k; z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can easily deduce the identity

$$1 = \mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; 1) = \frac{1}{[m+2]_s} \sum_{k=0}^s \varrho_k(s) m^k \mathcal{B}_m^\alpha(e_k; 1) = \frac{1}{[m+2]_s} \sum_{k=0}^s \varrho_k(s) m^k.$$

Finally, using the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; z)| &\leq \frac{1}{[m+2]_s} \sum_{k=0}^s \varrho_k(s) m^k |\mathcal{B}_m^\alpha(e_k; z)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{[m+2]_s} \sum_{k=0}^s \varrho_k(s) m^k r^k \leq r^s. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used the inequality that $|\mathcal{B}_m^\alpha(e_k; z)| \leq r^k$, for $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, which is shown in [42]. This completes the proof. \square

5.3 Quantitative upper estimation

Initially, we establish a crucial result, using which we will proceed to prove the main results.

Proposition 5.3. For $m, s \in \mathbb{N}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, the following relation holds:

$$\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; z) = \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} \left[(1-\alpha) \sum_{p=0}^{\min\{m-1, s\}} \binom{m-1}{p} z^p \Delta_1^p F_s(0) + \alpha \sum_{p=0}^{\min\{m, s\}} \binom{m}{p} z^p \Delta_1^p E_s(0) \right], \quad (5.9)$$

where, $E_s(j) = \prod_{k=1}^s (j+k)$,
 $F_s(j) = \left(1 - \frac{j}{m-1}\right) E_s(j) + \frac{j}{m-1} E_s(j+1)$, $\Delta_1^p E_s(0) = \sum_{j=0}^p (-1)^j \binom{p}{j} E_s(p-j)$,
 $\Delta_1^p F_s(0) = \sum_{j=0}^p (-1)^j \binom{p}{j} F_s(p-j)$
and $\Delta_1^p E_s(0), \Delta_1^p F_s(0) \geq 0$ for all $p, s \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We know that

$$\mathcal{I} = \binom{m}{j} \beta(j+s+1, m-j+1) = \frac{m!}{(m+s+1)!} (j+1) \dots (j+s) = \frac{m!}{(m+s+1)!} \prod_{k=1}^s (j+k).$$

Let us denote $\prod_{k=1}^s (j+k) = E_s(j)$. Then, we have $\mathcal{I} = \frac{m!}{(m+s+1)!} E_s(j)$.

Clearly, $E_s(j)$ and its derivatives of any order are ≥ 0 , i.e., $\Delta_1^j E_s(0) \geq 0, \forall j, s \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence, one can have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; z) \\ &= \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^m b_{m,j}^\alpha(z) * E_s(j) \\ &= \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} \left[(1-\alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} q_{m-2,j}(z) (1-z) * E_s(j) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (1-\alpha) \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} q_{m-2,j-1}(z) z * E_s(j+1) + \alpha \sum_{j=0}^m q_{m,j}(z) * E_s(j) \right] \\ &= \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} \left[(1-\alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{m-1}{k} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{j}{m-1}\right) E_s(j) + \frac{j}{m-1} E_s(j+1) \right\} z^j (1-z)^{m-1-j} \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \alpha \sum_{j=0}^m q_{m,j}(z) * E_s(j) \Big] \\
& = \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} \left[(1-\alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} q_{m-1,j}(z) * F_s(j) + \alpha \sum_{j=0}^m q_{m,j}(z) * E_s(j) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where, $F_s(j) = \left(1 - \frac{j}{m-1}\right)E_s(j) + \frac{j}{m-1}E_s(j+1)$. By using the Binomial theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; z) & = \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} \left[(1-\alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{m-1}{j} z^j * F_s(j) \sum_{k=0}^{m-j-1} (-1)^k \binom{m-1-j}{k} z^k \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \alpha \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} z^j * E_s(j) \sum_{k=0}^{m-j} (-1)^k \binom{m-j}{k} z^k \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we let $k = p - j$ in the above relation to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; z) & = \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} \left[(1-\alpha) \sum_{p=0}^{m-1} \binom{m-1}{p} z^p \sum_{j=0}^p (-1)^{p-j} \binom{p}{j} F_s(j) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \alpha \sum_{p=0}^m \binom{m}{p} z^p \sum_{j=0}^p (-1)^{p-j} \binom{p}{j} E_s(j) \right] \\
& = \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} \left[(1-\alpha) \sum_{p=0}^{m-1} \binom{m-1}{p} z^p \sum_{j=0}^p (-1)^j \binom{p}{j} F_s(p-j) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \alpha \sum_{p=0}^m \binom{m}{p} z^p \sum_{j=0}^p (-1)^j \binom{p}{j} E_s(p-j) \right] \\
& = \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} \left[(1-\alpha) \sum_{p=0}^{\min\{m-1, s\}} \binom{m-1}{p} z^p \Delta_1^p F_s(0) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \alpha \sum_{p=0}^{\min\{m, s\}} \binom{m}{p} z^p \Delta_1^p E_s(0) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus our claim is established. \square

Now, we establish our first main result, which gives a quantitative upper estimation of the error in approximation by the complex α -Durrmeyer operators (5.3).

Theorem 5.4. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $|z| < r$. Suppose that $\Psi(z) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} w_s z^s$, $\forall |z| < R, 1 \leq r < R$. Then

$$|\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(\Psi; z) - \Psi(z)| \leq \frac{A_r(\Psi)}{m+2}, \text{ where } A_r(\Psi) = 2 \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |w_s| s(s+3)r^s < \infty.$$

Proof. Suppose $\Psi_i(z) = \sum_{s=0}^i w_s z^s = \sum_{s=0}^i w_s e_s(z), |z| \leq r, i \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, we apply the operator (5.3) on both sides and use its linear property, we get $\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(\Psi_i; z) = \sum_{s=0}^i w_s \mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; z), \forall |z| \leq r$. Hence for $|z| \leq r, r \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(\Psi_i; z) - \mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(\Psi; z)| \\ & \leq (m+1) \sum_{j=0}^m b_{m,j}^\alpha(z) \int_0^1 q_{m,j}(y) |\Psi_i(y) - \Psi(y)| dy \\ & \leq (m+1) \sum_{j=0}^m \left[(1-\alpha) \binom{m-2}{j} r + (1-\alpha) \binom{m-2}{j-2} (1+r) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \alpha \binom{m}{j} r(r+1) \right] \times r^{j-1} (1+r)^{m-j-1} \int_0^1 q_{m,j}(y) |\Psi_i(y) - \Psi(y)| dy \\ & \leq B_{r,m}^\alpha \|\Psi_i - \Psi\|_r. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} B_{r,m}^\alpha = & (m+1) \sum_{j=0}^m \left[(1-\alpha) \binom{m-2}{j} r + (1-\alpha) \binom{m-2}{j-2} (1+r) + \alpha \binom{m}{j} r(r+1) \right] \\ & \times r^{j-1} (1+r)^{m-j-1} \int_0^1 q_{m,j}(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

which is independent of i . Hence taking $i \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(\Psi_i; z) = \mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(\Psi; z)$, which is same as $\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(\Psi; z) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} w_s \mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; z)$.

This yields $|\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(\Psi; z) - \Psi(z)| \leq \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |w_s| |\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; z) - e_s(z)|$.

By observing (5.9), we split our calculations into two cases: (1) $2 \leq s < m$, (2) $s \geq$

m .

Case (1): In view of the Proposition 5.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; z) - e_s(z) \\
&= \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} \left[(1-\alpha) \sum_{p=0}^s \binom{m-1}{p} z^p \Delta_1^p F_s(0) + \alpha \sum_{p=0}^s \binom{m}{p} z^p \Delta_1^p E_s(0) \right] - z^s \\
&= \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} \left[(1-\alpha) \sum_{p=0}^{s-1} \binom{m-1}{p} z^p \Delta_1^p F_s(0) + \alpha \sum_{p=0}^{s-1} \binom{m}{p} z^p \Delta_1^p E_s(0) \right] \\
&\quad + \left[\frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} \left\{ (1-\alpha) \binom{m-1}{s} \Delta_1^s F_s(0) + \alpha \binom{m}{s} \Delta_1^s E_s(0) \right\} - 1 \right] z^s.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, taking the modulus on both sides and applying the triangle inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; z) - e_s(z)| \\
&\leq \left| \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} \left[(1-\alpha) \sum_{p=0}^{s-1} \binom{m-1}{p} z^p \Delta_1^p F_s(0) + \alpha \sum_{p=0}^{s-1} \binom{m}{p} z^p \Delta_1^p E_s(0) \right] \right| \\
&\quad + \left| 1 - \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} \left\{ (1-\alpha) \binom{m-1}{s} \Delta_1^s F_s(0) + \alpha \binom{m}{s} \Delta_1^s E_s(0) \right\} \right| r^s \\
&\leq \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} r^s \left| (1-\alpha) \sum_{p=0}^s \binom{m-1}{p} \Delta_1^p F_s(0) + \alpha \sum_{p=0}^s \binom{m}{p} \Delta_1^p E_s(0) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (1-\alpha) \binom{m-1}{s} \Delta_1^s F_s(0) - \alpha \binom{m}{s} \Delta_1^s E_s(0) \right| \\
&\quad + \left| 1 - \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} \left\{ (1-\alpha) \binom{m-1}{s} \Delta_1^s F_s(0) + \alpha \binom{m}{s} \Delta_1^s E_s(0) \right\} \right| r^s. \quad (5.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, substituting $z = 1$ in (5.9), we have

$$\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; 1) = \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} \left[(1-\alpha) \sum_{p=0}^{\min\{m-1, s\}} \binom{m-1}{p} \Delta_1^p F_s(0) \right.$$

$$+ \alpha \sum_{p=0}^{\min\{m,s\}} \binom{m}{p} \Delta_1^p E_s(0) \Big]$$

and then we can use the fact that, for the operator (5.3), $\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; 1) = 1$, to conclude

$$\frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} \left[(1-\alpha) \sum_{p=0}^s \binom{m-1}{p} \Delta_1^p F_s(0) + \alpha \sum_{p=0}^s \binom{m}{p} \Delta_1^p E_s(0) \right] = 1, \text{ for } 0 \leq s < m.$$

Hence the relation (5.10) yields

$$|\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; z) - e_s(z)| \leq 2r^s \left[1 - \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} \left\{ (1-\alpha) \binom{m-1}{s} \Delta_1^s F_s(0) + \alpha \binom{m}{s} \Delta_1^s E_s(0) \right\} \right]. \quad (5.11)$$

Now, we will use the relation derived in Lemma 3.1 of [44] to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} \left\{ (1-\alpha) \binom{m-1}{s} \Delta_1^s F_s(0) + \alpha \binom{m}{s} \Delta_1^s E_s(0) \right\} \\ &= \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} \left\{ (1-\alpha) \binom{m-1}{s} \left(1 + \frac{s}{m-1}\right) s! + \alpha \binom{m}{s} s! \right\} \\ &= (1-\alpha) \frac{(m-s)(m-s+1)\dots(m-2)}{(m+2)(m+3)\dots(m+s-2)(m+s)(m+s+1)} \\ & \quad + \alpha \frac{(m-s+1)(m-s+2)\dots m}{(m+2)(m+3)\dots(m+s+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 - \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} \left\{ (1-\alpha) \binom{m-1}{s} \Delta_1^s F_s(0) + \alpha \binom{m}{s} \Delta_1^s E_s(0) \right\} \\ &= [(1-\alpha) + \alpha] - \frac{(m+1)!}{(m+s+1)!} \left\{ (1-\alpha) \binom{m-1}{s} \Delta_1^s F_s(0) + \alpha \binom{m}{s} \Delta_1^s E_s(0) \right\} \\ &= (1-\alpha) \left[1 - \frac{(m-s)(m-s+1)\dots(m-2)}{(m+2)(m+3)\dots(m+s-2)(m+s)(m+s+1)} \right] \\ & \quad + \alpha \left[1 - \frac{(m-s+1)(m-s+2)\dots m}{(m+2)(m+3)\dots(m+s+1)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq (1-\alpha) \left[\left(1 - \frac{m-s}{m+2}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{m-s+1}{m+3}\right) + \dots + \left(1 - \frac{m-4}{m+s-2}\right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(1 - \frac{m-3}{m+s}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{m-2}{m+s+1}\right) \right] \\
&\quad + \alpha \left[\left(1 - \frac{m-s+1}{m+2}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{m-s+1}{m+3}\right) + \dots + \left(1 - \frac{m}{m+s+1}\right) \right] \\
&\leq (1-\alpha) \frac{(s-1)(s+3)}{m+2} + \alpha \frac{s(s+3)}{m+2} \\
&\leq \frac{s(s+3)}{m+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used an important inequality $1 - (x_1 x_2 \dots x_k) \leq (1 - x_1)(1 - x_2)(1 - x_k)$, where $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Hence from (5.11), we obtain

$$|\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; z) - e_s(z)| \leq 2 \frac{s(s+3)}{m+2} r^s.$$

Case (2): In view of Lemma 5.2, for $s > m$, we have

$$|\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; z) - e_s(z)| \leq |\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; z)| + |e_s(z)| \leq 2r^s \leq 2 \frac{s(s+3)}{m+2} r^s.$$

Now, considering both the cases as above we deduce that $\forall s, m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; z) - e_s(z)| \leq 2 \frac{s(s+3)}{m+2} r^s \\
\implies &|\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(\Psi; z) - \Psi(z)| \leq \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |w_s| |\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(e_s; z) - e_s(z)| \leq \frac{2}{m+2} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |w_s| s(s+3) r^s,
\end{aligned}$$

which is the desired assertion. \square

5.4 Voronovskaja type result

This section deals with the exact order of approximation of the defined operators in some open disc. In this sequence, for a being a positive real number, let us denote $\mathcal{B}_a = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < a\}$.

Theorem 5.5. *Suppose that $1 < R$ and Ψ be analytic function on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < R\}$ with $\Psi(z) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} w_s z^s$. Then*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} m [\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(\Psi; z) - \Psi(z)] = (1 - 2z)\Psi'(z) + z(1 - z)\Psi''(z)$$

uniformly on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r\}$, where $1 \leq r < R$.

Proof. We know that for the real case (see [68]),

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} m [\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(\Psi; x) - \Psi(x)] = (1 - 2x)\Psi'(x) + x(1 - x)\Psi''(x).$$

Now, with the aid of Vitali's theorem (as outlined in [58]), it is sufficient to prove that the sequence of functions $\left\{ m (\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(\Psi; z) - \Psi(z)) - (1 - 2z)\Psi'(z) - z(1 - z)\Psi''(z) \right\}_{m \geq 1}$ is bounded in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r\}$. Using Theorem 5.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| m (\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(\Psi; z) - \Psi(z)) - (1 - 2z)\Psi'(z) - z(1 - z)\Psi''(z) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{m}{m+2} A_r(\Psi) + (1 + 2r)\|\Psi'\|_r + r(1 + r)\|\Psi''\|_r \\ & \leq A_r(\Psi) + (1 + 2r)\|\Psi'\|_r + r(1 + r)\|\Psi''\|_r, \end{aligned}$$

for $|z| \leq r$ with $1 \leq r < R$. Also, it can be observed from Theorem 5.4 that $A_r(\Psi)$ is a finite quantity, and hence the right-hand side of the above equation is finite. This shows that the required sequence is bounded, and hence the proof is completed. \square

Lastly, we will establish the exact order of approximation for the complex α -Durrmeyer operators as well as for its derivatives in terms of Big- Θ notation.

Theorem 5.6. *Let Ψ be analytic function on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < R, R > 1\}$ with $\Psi(z) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} w_s z^s$, where $w_s, s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ are complex constants. then the following holds:*

1. *If there exists $s > 1$ such that $w_s \neq 0$, then for all $1 \leq r < R$, the sequence $\|\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(\Psi) - \Psi\|_r$ is of $\Theta\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)$ for $|z| \leq r$.*
2. *If there exists $s > \max\{1, n - 1\}$ such that $w_s \neq 0$, then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq r < r_0 < R$, the sequence $\|\mathfrak{D}_m^{\alpha(n)}(\Psi) - \Psi^{(n)}\|_r$ is of $\Theta\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)$ for $|z| \leq r$.*

Here, $\|\Psi\|_r = \sup\{|\Psi(z)| : |z| \leq r\}$.

Proof. (i). Considering the limiting relation derived in Theorem 5.5, we can get positive constants K_1 and K_2 not depending on m such that for $|z| \leq r$,

$$K_1 \leq m \|\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(\Psi) - \Psi\|_r \leq K_2$$

i.e., $\frac{K_1}{m} \leq \|\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(\Psi) - \Psi\|_r \leq \frac{K_2}{m}$.

Thus, the first part is concluded.

(ii). Let \mathcal{C} be the circle with center at 0 and radius r_0 . Clearly then $|u - z| \geq r_0 - r$, $\forall |z| \leq r$ and $u \in \mathcal{C}$.

Then, by Cauchy's integral formula,

$$\mathfrak{D}_m^{\alpha(n)}(\Psi; z) - \Psi^{(n)}(z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(\Psi; u) - \Psi(u)}{(u - z)^{n+1}} du.$$

Now, from Theorem 5.5, we can conclude

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} m [\mathfrak{D}_m^{\alpha(n)}(\Psi; z) - \Psi^{(n)}(z)] = \left[(1 - 2z)\Psi'(z) + z(1 - z)\Psi''(z) \right]^{(n)}$$

uniformly in $\{z : |z| \leq r\}$. Hence, there exist positive real numbers P_1 and P_2 not depending on m such that $P_1 \leq m \|\mathfrak{D}_m^{\alpha(n)}(\Psi) - \Psi^{(n)}\|_r \leq P_2$ or $\frac{P_1}{m} \leq \|\mathfrak{D}_m^{\alpha(n)}(\Psi) - \Psi^{(n)}\|_r \leq \frac{P_2}{m}$ in $\{z : |z| \leq r\}$.

Thus, we get the desired assertion. \square

Remark 5.7. Theorem 5.6 means that the errors in approximations i.e., $\|\mathfrak{D}_m^\alpha(\Psi) - \Psi\|_r$ and $\|\mathfrak{D}_m^{\alpha(n)}(\Psi) - \Psi^{(n)}\|_r$ are equivalent to $\frac{1}{m}$ and the constants in the equivalence depend only on Ψ , r , r_0 and n .
