

Contents

| | |
|---|-------------|
| List of Figures | xiii |
| Pre | xv |
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.1.1 Linear and Nonlinear Waves | 1 |
| 1.1.2 Hyperbolic system of PDEs | 5 |
| 1.1.3 Non-ideal Gases | 7 |
| 1.1.4 Dusty Gas | 8 |
| 1.1.5 Magnetogasdynamics | 11 |
| 1.1.6 The concept of Self Similarity | 13 |
| 1.2 Motivation and Overview | 15 |
| 1.3 Literature Review | 19 |
| 1.4 Aims and Thesis Objectives | 24 |
| 2 Shock wave solution for the planar, cylindrically, and spherically symmetric flows of non-ideal relaxing gas | 27 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 27 |
| 2.2 Governing equations and its characteristics | 32 |
| 2.3 Shock waves in characteristic plane | 34 |
| 2.4 Shock wave solution | 36 |
| 2.5 Results and discussion | 38 |
| 2.6 Conclusion | 45 |
| 3 Evolution of weak discontinuity waves in non-ideal interstellar environments | 47 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 47 |
| 3.2 Wave Propagation | 52 |
| 3.3 Acceleration waves solution | 55 |

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| 3.4 | Growth and decay of acceleration wave | 60 |
| 3.5 | Conclusion | 69 |
| 4 | Evolution of shock waves in dusty non-ideal gas flow with magnetic field | 71 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 71 |
| 4.2 | Mathematical Model of the problem | 75 |
| 4.3 | Transport equation for shock wave | 78 |
| 4.4 | Propagation of shock wave | 80 |
| 4.5 | Results and discussion | 81 |
| 4.5.1 | Plane beak Case ($n = 0$) | 81 |
| 4.5.2 | Axisymmetric Case ($n = 1$) | 85 |
| 4.6 | Conclusion | 88 |
| 5 | A self similar solution for imploding shocks in non-ideal gas with gravitational effects | 91 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 91 |
| 5.2 | Governing equations | 96 |
| 5.3 | Similarity Transformation | 98 |
| 5.4 | Approximate Method | 100 |
| 5.5 | Results and discussion | 101 |
| 5.6 | Conclusion | 107 |
| 6 | Summary and Future scope | 109 |
| 6.1 | Summary | 109 |
| 6.2 | Future Scope | 112 |
| | Bibliography | 115 |
| | List of Publications (SCI/SCIE) | 137 |

List of Figures

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 2.1 | Effect of relaxing gas parameter R_0 for $\delta < 0$ with $m = 0, \gamma = 1.67, \delta = -0.5, \bar{b} = 0.2$ | 39 |
| 2.2 | Effect of relaxing gas parameter R_0 for $\delta > 0$ with $m = 0, \gamma = 1.67, \delta = 0.5, \bar{b} = 0.2$ | 39 |
| 2.3 | Impact of non-ideal parameter \bar{b} for $\delta < 0$ in planar relaxing gas ($R_0 = 1.0$) and non-relaxing gas ($R_0 = 0.0$) with $\gamma = 1.67$ | 40 |
| 2.4 | Impact of non-ideal parameter \bar{b} for $\delta > 0$ in planar relaxing gas ($R_0 = 1.0$) and non-relaxing gas ($R_0 = 0.0$) with $\gamma = 1.67$ | 41 |
| 2.5 | Impact of non-ideal parameter \bar{b} for $\delta < 0$ in cylindrically symmetric flow of relaxing gas ($R_0 = 1.0$) and non-relaxing gas ($R_0 = 0.0$) with $\gamma = 1.67$ | 42 |
| 2.6 | Impact of non-ideal parameter \bar{b} for $\delta > 0$ in cylindrically symmetric flow of relaxing gas ($R_0 = 1.0$) and non-relaxing gas ($R_0 = 0.0$) with $\gamma = 1.67$ | 42 |
| 2.7 | Impact of non-ideal parameter \bar{b} for $\delta < 0$ in spherically symmetric flow of relaxing gas ($R_0 = 1.0$) and non-relaxing gas ($R_0 = 0.0$) with $\gamma = 1.67$ | 43 |
| 2.8 | Impact of non-ideal parameter \bar{b} for $\delta > 0$ in spherically symmetric flow of relaxing gas ($R_0 = 1.0$) and non-relaxing gas ($R_0 = 0.0$) with $\gamma = 1.67$ | 43 |
| 2.9 | Comparative analysis of the geometry of non-ideal relaxing gas flow for $\gamma = 1.67, \delta = -1, \bar{b} = 0.2$ | 44 |
| 3.1 | Effect of self gravitating parameter \bar{g}_0 for $\delta < 0$ with $\gamma = 1.67, \delta = -1.5, m = 0$ (<i>planar case</i>), $\bar{b} = 0.3$ | 58 |
| 3.2 | Effect of self gravitating parameter \bar{g}_0 for $\delta > 0$ with $\gamma = 1.67, \delta = 0.5, m = 0$ (<i>planar case</i>), $\bar{b} = 0.3$ | 60 |
| 3.3 | Effect of self gravitating parameter \bar{g}_0 for $\delta < 0$ with $\gamma = 1.67, \delta = -1.5, m = 1$ (<i>cylindrical case</i>), $\bar{b} = 0.3$ | 61 |
| 3.4 | Effect of self gravitating field \bar{g}_0 for $\delta > 0$ with $\gamma = 1.67, \delta = 0.5, m = 1$ (<i>cylindrical case</i>), $\bar{b} = 0.2$ | 63 |
| 3.5 | Effect of net volumetric cooling rate \bar{L}_0 for $\delta < 0$ with $\delta = -0.5, \gamma = 1.67, m = 1$ (<i>cylindrical case</i>), $\bar{b} = 0.3$ | 63 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 3.6 | Effect of net volumetric cooling rate \bar{L}_0 for $\delta > 0$ with $\delta = 0.5, \gamma = 1.67, m = 1$ (<i>cylindrical case</i>), $\bar{b} = 0.3$ | 64 |
| 3.7 | Effect of non-ideal parameter \bar{b} for $\delta < 0$ with $\bar{L}_0=0.4, \bar{g}_0=0.2, m=1$ (<i>cylindrical case</i>), $\delta=-0.5$ | 65 |
| 3.8 | Effect of non-ideal parameter \bar{b} for $\delta > 0$ $\bar{L}_0=0.2, \bar{g}_0=0.8, m=1$ (<i>cylindrical case</i>), $\delta=0.5$ | 65 |
| 3.9 | Effect of self gravitating parameter \bar{g}_0 for $\delta < 0$ with $\gamma = 1.67, \delta = -1.5, m = 2$ (<i>spherical case</i>), $\bar{b} = 0.3$ | 67 |
| 3.10 | Effect of self gravitating parameter \bar{g}_0 for $\delta > 0$ with $\gamma = 1.67, \delta = 0.5, m = 2$ (<i>spherical case</i>), $\bar{b} = 0.3$ | 67 |
| 3.11 | Comparative study of the geometry of non-ideal interstellar gas cloud for $\gamma = 1.67, \delta = -1.5, \bar{b} = 0.3, \bar{g}_0 = 0.5$ | 68 |
| 3.12 | Comparative study of the geometry of non-ideal interstellar gas cloud for $\gamma = 1.67, \delta = 0.5, \bar{b} = 0.3, \bar{g}_0 = 0.5$ | 68 |
| 4.1 | Convergence of the characteristics for planar and axisymmetric supersonic flow. | 82 |
| 4.2 | Influence of dust particles and magnetic field in non-ideal gas on y_r with $\gamma = 1.67, Z = 0.01, \beta = 0.8$ | 84 |
| 4.3 | Influence of dust particles and magnetic field in non-ideal gas on y_r with $\gamma = 1.67, Z = 0.01, \beta = 0.8$ | 85 |
| 4.4 | Comparative study of geometry of ideal ($\bar{b} = 0$) dusty magneto-gasdynamics for $\gamma = 1.67, Z = 0.01, \beta = 0.8, \tilde{Y}_s''(0) = 0.7$ | 87 |
| 4.5 | Comparative study of geometry of non-ideal ($\bar{b} = 0.5$) dusty magneto-gasdynamics for $\gamma = 1.67, Z = 0.01, \beta = 0.8, \tilde{Y}_s''(0) = 0.7$ | 87 |
| 5.1 | Density profile for $K= 1.4, M=5, Z_0 = 0.004, 0.01, 0.02, 0.1$ and $\gamma = 1.4$ | 102 |
| 5.2 | Pressure profile for $K= 1.4, M=5, Z_0 = 0.004, 0.01, 0.02, 0.1$ and $\gamma = 1.4$ | 103 |
| 5.3 | Velocity profile for $K= 1.4, M=5, Z_0 = 0.004, 0.01, 0.02, 0.1$ and $\gamma = 1.4$ | 103 |
| 5.4 | Density profile for $\gamma = 4/3, M = 5, \Theta_0 = 1.78, 2.19, 2.19, 2.19, D_m = 0.5, 0.5, 0.65, 0.80$ | 106 |
| 5.5 | Pressure profile for $\gamma = 4/3, M = 5, \Theta_0 = 1.78, 2.19, 2.19, 2.19, D_m = 0.5, 0.5, 0.65, 0.80$ | 106 |
| 5.6 | Velocity profile for $\gamma = 4/3, M = 5, \Theta_0 = 1.78, 2.19, 2.19, 2.19, D_m = 0.5, 0.5, 0.65, 0.80$ | 107 |