

# CONTENTS

	<b>Page. No.</b>
<b>CERTIFICATE</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>CONTENTS</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xxiii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xxxv</b>
<b>NOTATIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xxxvii</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1                      INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 GENERAL	1
1.2 GEOTECHNICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF SOFT SOILS	2
1.3 GROUND IMPROVEMENT TECHNIQUES	4
1.4 DEEP SOIL MIXING	5
1.4.1 Working Principle	7
1.4.2 Design Steps of Deep Soil Mix Columns	8
1.5 GEOPOLYMER AS A SUSTAINABLE BINDER	9
1.6 SIGNIFICANCE AND IMPORTANCE	11
1.7 RESEARCH OBJECTIVE	13
1.8 ORGANIZATION OF THE THESIS	14
<b>CHAPTER 2                      LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>17</b>
2.1 GENERAL	17
2.2 DEEP SOIL MIXING (DSM)	18
2.2.1 DSM Installation Technique	18

2.2.1.1 Wet Mixing Method	19
2.2.1.2 Dry Mixing Method	19
2.2.2 DSM Column Installation Pattern	20
2.2.2.1 Block Type Improvement	20
2.2.2.2 Group Type Improvement	21
2.2.2.3 Wall Type Improvement	21
2.2.2.4 Grid Type Improvement	21
2.2.3 Applications of DSM Technique	22
2.3 Analytical Analysis	24
2.3.1 Bearing Capacity of a Single DSM Column	24
2.3.2 Bearing Capacity of a Group of DSM Columns	25
2.4 Critical Factors Influencing the DSM Technique	29
2.4.1 Influence of Binder Type and Binder Content on the DSM Technique	29
2.4.2 Influence of Curing Condition on the DSM Technique	36
2.4.3 Influence of Type, Characteristics, and Conditions of Soil	36
2.4.4 Influence of the Water-Cement Ratio	39
2.4.5 Influence of Area Replacement Ratio ( $A_r$ )	41
2.4.6 Influence of Depth Improvement Ratio ( $\beta$ )	46
2.4.7 Influence of Type of Loading	50
2.4.8 Influence of Stress Concentration Ratio ( $n$ )	53
2.5 Failure Patterns of the DSM Column Improved Ground	56
2.5.1 Single Column	56
2.5.2 Group of Columns	58
2.6 GEOPOLYMER	65

2.6.1 Literature Review on Geopolymer Technique	68
2.7 SUMMARY	72
2.8 RESEARCH GAP	74
<b>CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>77</b>
3.1 GENERAL	77
3.2 TEST MATERIALS	78
3.2.1 Kaolin Clay	78
3.2.2 Geopolymer Materials	80
3.2.3 Alkali Activators	84
3.2.4 Embankment Soil	84
3.3 SPECIMEN PREPARATION	85
3.4 EXPERIMENTAL METHODS	87
3.4.1 Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Test	88
3.4.2 pH Test	88
3.4.3 Static Triaxial Test	89
3.4.4 Cyclic Triaxial Test	89
3.4.5 Microstructural Analysis	91
3.4.5.1 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	91
3.4.5.2 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)	91
3.4.6 Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP)	91
3.5 SINGLE GEOPOLYMER COLUMN FOUNDATION MODEL TESTS	92
3.5.1 Test Setup	92
3.5.2 Similitude Law	94
3.5.3 Model Preparation and Column Installation	95

3.5.4 Instrumentation and Loading Procedure	98
3.5.5 Load Application under Static Condition	99
3.5.6 Load Application under Cyclic Condition	100
3.6 MODEL TESTS ON EMBANKMENT WITH GEOPOLYMER	104
COLUMN FOUNDATION	
3.6.1 Details of Model Tests	104
3.6.2 Test Setup and Modeling Considerations	104
3.6.3 Model Preparation and Group Column Installation	107
3.6.4 Instrumentation and Loading Procedure	110
<b>CHAPTER 4 OPTIMIZATION OF GEOPOLYMER MIX</b>	<b>115</b>
4.1 GENERAL	115
4.2 TEST CONDITIONS AND VARIABLES	116
4.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	118
4.3.1 Unconfined Compressive Strength of Geopolymer Treated Soft Soil	118
4.3.1.1 Effect of Precursor Content	118
4.3.1.2 Effect of S:D Ratio	119
4.3.1.3 Effect of NH:NS Ratio	123
4.3.1.4 Effect of Curing Time	128
4.3.1.5 Effect of Water Content of Soft Soil	130
4.3.1.6 Effect of L/P Ratio	133
4.3.2 Effect of Alkali Activator and GGBS-Dolomite on the pH value	134
4.3.3 Undrained Shear Response of Geopolymer Treated Soft Soil under Static Loading	136

4.3.4 Undrained Shear Response of Geopolymer Treated Soft Soil under Cyclic Loading	140
4.3.4.1 Effect of Change in S:D Ratio	143
4.3.4.2 Effect of CSR on Stress-Strain Response and Dynamic Parameters	145
4.3.4.3 Effect of Curing Time	148
4.3.4.4 Effect of Change in Frequency	150
4.3.4.5 Effect of Change in Confining Pressure (CP)	152
4.3.4.6 Empirical Model and Validation for Dynamic Shear Modulus	154
4.3.5 Microstructural Analysis	156
4.3.5.1 Evaluation of Chemical Bond using FTIR	156
4.3.5.2 Scanning Electron Microscopy Test Results	157
4.3.6 Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) Analysis	163
4.4 SUMMARY	164
<b>CHAPTER 5          SINGLE COLUMN MODEL TESTS</b>	<b>167</b>
5.1 GENERAL	167
5.2 TEST SERIES	167
5.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	169
5.3.1 Response under Static Loading	169
5.3.1.1 Behavior of GPSC with Different Area Replacement Ratios under Static Loading	169
5.3.1.2 Behavior of GPSC with Different <i>l/h</i> Ratios under Static Loading	174
5.3.2 Response under Cyclic Loading	178

5.3.2.1 Behavior of GPSC with Different Area Replacement Ratios ( $A_r$ ) under Cyclic Loading	178
5.3.2.2 Behavior of GPSC under Different Cyclic Stress Ratios (CSR)	182
5.3.2.3 Settlement Response under Cyclic Loading vs Static Loading	185
5.4 SUMMARY	186
<b>CHAPTER 6 EMBANKMENT MODEL TESTS</b>	<b>187</b>
6.1 GENERAL	187
6.2 TEST CONDITIONS AND VARIABLES	188
6.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	189
6.3.1 Response under Static Loading	189
6.3.1.1 Load-Settlement Behavior under Static Loading	189
6.3.1.2 Response of Excess Pore Water Pressure under Static Loading	192
6.3.1.3 Effect of Static Loading on the Stress Concentration Ratio	193
6.3.1.4 Ultimate Bearing Capacity of Soft Soil Treated with GPSCs	198
6.3.1.5 Failure Pattern of Soft Soil Treated with GPSCs under Static Loading	201
6.3.2 Response under Cyclic Loading	203
6.3.2.1 Load-Settlement Behavior under Cyclic Loading	203
6.3.2.2 Response of Excess Pore Water Pressure under Cyclic Loading	205
6.3.2.3 Effect of Cyclic Loading on the Stress Concentration Ratio	207

6.3.2.4 Failure Pattern of Soft Soil Treated with GPSCs under Cyclic Loading	208
6.3.2.5 Settlement Response under Cyclic Loading vs. Static Loading	210
6.3.3 Carbon Footprint Analysis and Cost Estimation	212
6.4 CONCLUSION	216
<b>CHAPTER 7 FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF EMBANKMENT</b>	<b>219</b>
<b>MODEL TESTS</b>	
7.1 GENERAL	219
7.2 PLAXIS 3D SOFTWARE	220
7.3 FINITE ELEMENT SIMULATION OF EMBANKMENT MODEL TESTS	220
7.4 MODEL TEST RESULTS ANALYSIS	226
7.4.1 Embankment Supported on the Group of End-Bearing GPSCs Stabilized Soft Soil	228
7.4.2 Embankment Supported on the Group of Floating GPSCs Stabilized Soft Soil	231
7.5 CONCLUSION	233
<b>CHAPTER 8 CONCLUSION</b>	<b>235</b>
8.1 GENERAL	235
8.2 ENGINEERING PROPERTIES OF SOFT SOIL STABILIZED USING GGBS-DOLOMITE-BASED GEOPOLYMER	236
8.3 MODEL TESTS ON SINGLE GPSC IMPROVED SOFT SOIL UNDER STATIC AND CYCLIC LOADING.	238

8.4 STATIC AND CYCLIC MODEL TESTS ON (GPSC <sub>s</sub> )	239
SUPPORTED EMBANKMENT RESTED ON THE SOFT SOIL.	
8.5 CARBON FOOTPRINT ANALYSIS AND COST ESTIMATION	240
8.6 PLAXIS 3D VALIDATION	241
8.7 LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE SCOPE	241
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>243</b>
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>279</b>