

# Chapter 2

## Inductive algebras for the affine group of a finite field

In this chapter we show that *each irreducible representation of the affine group of a finite field has a unique maximal inductive algebra, and it is self-adjoint.*

In Section 2.1, we recall the structure of the affine group of a finite field, and set up the notation. In Section 2.2, we recall its representation theory, and formulate our main result. The main result is proved in Section 2.3.

### 2.1 The affine group of a finite field

Let  $k$  be a finite field of order  $q = p^n$ , where  $p$  is prime. Let  $k^\times$  denote the multiplicative group of non-zero elements of  $k$ . Recall that the affine group of  $k$  is the group  $G$  of affine automorphisms of  $k$ . Thus an element  $g$  of  $G$  is a map  $g : k \rightarrow k$  of the form  $g(x) = ax + b$  where  $a \in k^\times$  and  $b \in k$ , and the group law is composition.

The group  $G$  may be identified with the group of matrices

$$\left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \mid a \in k^\times, b \in k \right\}.$$

Let  $\iota : k \rightarrow G$ ,  $p : G \rightarrow k^\times$  and  $s : k^\times \rightarrow G$  be defined by

$$\iota(b) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad p \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = a, \quad \text{and} \quad s(a) = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $\iota$ ,  $p$  and  $s$  are homomorphisms,  $\iota(k) \triangleleft G$  and

$$0 \longrightarrow k \xrightarrow{\iota} G \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p} \\ \xleftarrow{s} \end{array} k^\times \longrightarrow 1$$

is an exact sequence with splitting  $s$ . Thus  $G$  is a semidirect product  $k^\times \ltimes k$ . We note for future reference that  $s(a')\iota(b)s(a')^{-1} = \iota(a'b)$ .

## 2.2 Formulation

The irreducible unitary representations of  $G$  may be constructed using the *Mackey machine* (see [5, §3.9]). There are  $q-1$  characters (one-dimensional representations), and one  $(q-1)$ -dimensional representation (up to unitary equivalence).

Obviously, the characters have only the trivial inductive algebra  $\mathbb{C}$ , which is self-adjoint.

The  $(q-1)$ -dimensional representation is

$$\pi = \text{Ind}_{\iota(k)}^G \chi,$$

where  $\chi : k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  is a non-trivial homomorphism (i.e.  $\chi \neq 1$ ). The representation  $\pi$  acts on the space

$$V = \{f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f(\iota(b')g') = \chi(b')f(g') \quad \forall b' \in k, g' \in G\}$$

by

$$[\pi(g)f](g') = f(g'g).$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the Hilbert space of all complex-valued functions on  $k^\times$  equipped with the inner product

$$\langle F_1, F_2 \rangle = \sum_{a' \in k^\times} F_1(a') \overline{F_2(a')}.$$

Let  $F \in \mathcal{H}$ . Since every  $g' \in G$  may be expressed uniquely as  $\iota(b')s(a')$  with  $b' \in k$  and  $a' \in k^\times$ , we may define a function  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$f(g') = \chi(b')F(a').$$

Then for all  $b \in k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\iota(b)g') &= f(\iota(b+b')s(a')) \\ &= \chi(b+b')F(a') \\ &= \chi(b)\chi(b')F(a') \\ &= \chi(b)f(g'). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $f \in V$ . On the other hand, an element  $f \in V$  is determined by  $f \circ s$ . Indeed, if  $f \in V$  and  $g' = \iota(b')s(a')$  then  $f(g') = \chi(b')f(s(a'))$ . Thus  $f \mapsto f \circ s$  is an isomorphism from  $V \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ . Conjugation by this isomorphism gives an action of  $G$

on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Indeed, if  $g = \iota(b)s(a)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi(g)f)(s(a')) &= f(s(a')\iota(b)s(a)) \\ &= f(s(a')\iota(b)s(a')^{-1}s(a'a)) \\ &= f(\iota(a'b)s(a'a)) \\ &= \chi(a'b)f(s(a'a)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the representation  $\pi$  may be realized on  $\mathcal{H}$  by

$$(\pi(g)F)(a') = \chi(a'b)F(a'a), \quad g = \iota(b)s(a).$$

For each  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ , let  $m_\varphi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be defined by  $m_\varphi(F) = \varphi F$ . Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \{m_\varphi \mid \varphi \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a maximal-abelian subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  (see [21, Prop 4.7.6]), and  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $\pi$ -inductive. Therefore  $\mathcal{B}$  is a maximal  $\pi$ -inductive algebra. Moreover, it is self-adjoint.

Our main result is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.1.**  *$\mathcal{B}$  is the only maximal  $\pi$ -inductive algebra.*

## 2.3 The proof

If  $\chi$  is a character of the additive group  $k$ , and  $b \in k$ , let  $\chi_b$  be defined by  $\chi_b(b') = \chi(bb')$  for  $b' \in k$ . Observe that  $\chi_b$  is also a character of the additive group  $k$ . We need the following lemma in the course of the proof.

**Lemma 2.3.1.** *Let  $\chi$  be a non-trivial character of the additive group  $k$ . Every character  $\psi$  of the additive group  $k$  is of the form  $\chi_b$  for some  $b \in k$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $\Psi : k \rightarrow \widehat{k}$  by  $\Psi(b) = \chi_b$ . Since  $\chi_{b_1+b_2} = \chi_{b_1}\chi_{b_2}$ ,  $\Psi$  is a group homomorphism. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(\Psi) &= \{b \in k \mid \chi_b \equiv 1\} \\ &= \{b \in k \mid \forall b' \in k, \chi(bb') \equiv 1\} \\ &= \{b \in k \mid \forall b' \in k, bb' \in \ker(\chi)\} \\ &= \{b \in k \mid bk \subset \ker(\chi)\} \\ &= \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

because  $k$  is a field and  $\chi$  is not the trivial character. Therefore  $\Psi$  is injective. Since  $|\widehat{k}| = |k|$  (see [1, Proposition 4.6] and [1, Theorem 4.5(d)]), by the pigeonhole principle,  $\Psi$  is surjective, i.e., given  $\psi \in \widehat{k}$  there exists  $b \in k$  such that  $\psi = \chi_b$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a maximal  $\pi$ -inductive algebra.

**Lemma 2.3.2.** *There are no non-zero nilpotent elements in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{N}$  denote the set of nilpotent elements in  $\mathcal{A}$  (the nilradical of  $\mathcal{A}$ ). Let

$$\mathcal{K} = \{F \in \mathcal{H} \mid T(F) = 0, \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{N}\}.$$

By Engel's theorem [2, A.16],  $\mathcal{K} \neq 0$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{N}$  is normalized by  $\pi(G)$ , so  $\mathcal{K}$  is  $\pi(G)$ -invariant. However, since  $\pi$  is irreducible, it follows that  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}$ , whence  $\mathcal{N} = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.3.**  $\dim(\mathcal{A}) \leq q - 1$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.3.2, the Jordan-Chevalley decomposition [3, §4.2], and the fact that  $\mathcal{A}$  is abelian, it follows that there is a (not necessarily orthonormal) basis for  $\mathcal{H}$  in which each element of  $\mathcal{A}$  is diagonal. Since  $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = q - 1$ , the result follows.  $\square$

For  $b' \in k$ , define  $\kappa(b') : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  by  $\kappa(b')T = \pi(\iota(b'))T\pi(\iota(b'))^{-1}$ . Then  $\kappa$  is a representation of the finite abelian group  $k$  on the vector space  $\mathcal{A}$ , which decomposes, by the Peter-Weyl theorem and Lemma 2.3.1, as

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{b \in k} \mathcal{A}_b$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_b = \{T \in \mathcal{A} \mid \kappa(b')T = \chi(bb')T, \quad \forall b' \in k\}.$$

Observe that

1. if  $T \in \mathcal{A}_b$  and  $T' \in \mathcal{A}_{b'}$ , then  $TT' \in \mathcal{A}_{b+b'}$ , and
2. for each  $a \in k^\times$ , the map  $T \mapsto \pi(s(a))^{-1}T\pi(s(a))$  is a linear isomorphism  $\mathcal{A}_b \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{ab}$ .

**Lemma 2.3.4.**  $\mathcal{A}_1 = 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose not. Then there exists a non-zero element  $T \in \mathcal{A}_1$ . By the first observation above,  $T^p \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . Since  $T$  is not nilpotent (see Lemma 2.3.2), it follows that  $\dim(\mathcal{A}_0) \geq 1$ . For  $b \neq 0$ , we have  $\dim(\mathcal{A}_b) = \dim(\mathcal{A}_1) \geq 1$ , by the second observation.

Therefore

$$\dim(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{b \in k} \dim(\mathcal{A}_b) \geq |k| = q,$$

contradicting Corollary 2.3.3. □

**Lemma 2.3.5.**  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ .

*Proof.* Observe that  $\mathcal{H}$  is spanned by the set  $\{\chi_{b'}|_{k^\times} \mid b' \in k\}$ , hence  $\mathcal{B}$  is spanned by the set  $\{m_{(\chi_{b'}|_{k^\times})} \mid b' \in k\}$ . If  $T \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , then  $T$  commutes with  $m_{(\chi_{b'}|_{k^\times})}$  for each

$b' \in k$ , whence  $T$  commutes with  $\mathcal{B}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is maximal-abelian, it follows that  $T \in \mathcal{B}$ .  $\square$

By Lemma 2.3.4, and the second observation above, it follows that  $\mathcal{A}_b = 0$  for all  $b \in k^\times$ , whence  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is maximal, it follows that  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ .