
Abbreviations

CIP	Ciprofloxacin
MG	Methyl orange
DW	Double distilled water
MAPS	Materials and Process Simulation
MD	Molecular dynamics
RDF	Radial distribution function
LAMMPS	Large-scale Atomic/Molecular Massively Parallel System
XRD	X-ray diffractometer
VSM	Vibrating sample magnetometry
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
UV-vis	Ultra-violet visible Spectroscopy
UV-DRS	Ultra-violet diffuse reflectance spectroscopy
BET	Brunauer-Emmett-Teller
FT-IR	Fourier transforms infrared spectroscopy
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy
TOF	Turn over frequency
HOMO	Highest occupied molecular orbital
LUMO	Lowest unoccupied molecular orbital
TD-DFT	Time-dependent density functional theory
DFT	Density functional theory
•OH	Hydroxyl radical
•OOH	Hydroperoxyl radical

OH⁻	Hydroxide ion
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
O₂^{•-}	Superoxide radical
VB	Valence band
CB	Conduction band
TC	Tetracycline
EIS	Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy
MS	Mott-Schottky
IPA	Isopropyl alcohol
NBT	Nitro blue tetrazolium

Table of Contents

Description	Page No.
Abbreviations	ix-x
List of Figures	xvii-xxiv
List of Tables	xxv-xxvii
Preface	xxviii-xxxi
Chapter 1. Introduction and Literature Survey	1-28
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Adsorption process	5
1.2.1 Adsorption kinetics models	7
1.2.1 (a) Pseudo-first-order (PFO) kinetic model	7
1.2.1 (b) Pseudo-second-order (PSO) kinetic model	8
1.2.2 A brief review of adsorption isotherm models	8
1.2.2 (a) Langmuir isotherm model	9
1.2.2 (b) Freundlich isotherm model	9
1.2.2 (c) Langmuir-Freundlich isotherm model	10
1.3. Adsorption isotherm models for co-adsorption cases	11
1.3.1. Extended Langmuir isotherm model	11
1.3.2. Extended Freundlich adsorption isotherm	11
1.3.3. Extended Langmuir-Freundlich (ELF) adsorption isotherm	12
1.4. Heterogeneous Fenton catalysis	13
1.5. Heterogeneous Photo-Fenton catalysis	15

1.6. Research gap based on literature survey	16
1.7. Research gap based on literature survey on Fenton and photo Fenton activity	22
1.8. The objective of this thesis	25
Chapter 2. Experimental and Computational Methods	29-46
2.1. Introduction	29
2.2. The material characterization with instrumentation details	29
2.2.1. Powder X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)	29
2.2.2. Transmission electron microscope (TEM)	30
2.2.3. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) and Energy dispersive X-ray (EDX)	30
2.2.4. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS)	31
2.2.5. Vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM)	31
2.2.6. Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET)	32
2.2.7. Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)	32
2.2.8. UV-Diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (UV-DRS)	33
2.2.9. UV-visible spectrophotometer	33
2.2.10. Electrochemical analyzer/workstation	34
2.2.11. Zero-point charge analysis	35
2.3. Chemicals used in the sample preparation and different experiments	35
2.4. Procedure followed for various applications	35
2.4.1. Procedure followed for adsorption and simultaneous adsorption	36
2.4.2. Procedure followed for Fenton and Photo-Fenton processes	37
2.5. Computational part	38

2.5.1. Molecular dynamics simulation	38
2.5.2. Ensemble	40
2.5.3. Force Field	41
2.5.4. Water Models	41
2.5.5. Software Used in Molecular Dynamics Simulations	42
2.5.6. Density functional theory (DFT) calculation	42
2.5.7. Basis set and functional	44
2.5.8 Software used in the Quantum Mechanical calculations	44
2.5.9. Plane-wave density functional theory (DFT)	44
2.5.10 Software used in the plane wave DFT calculations	45
Chapter 3. Molecular dynamics simulation study of the adsorption of endocrine-disruptive chemicals (EDCs) on NiFe₂O₄ surface.	47-60
3.1. Introduction	47
3.2. Methodology	48
3.2.1. Computational methodology	48
3.2.2. Model building and computational setup	49
3.3 Results and Discussions	51
3.3.1. Adsorption studies	51
3.3.2. Density Profile Analysis	53
3.3.3 Adsorption isotherm	54
3.3.4 Radial Distribution Function (RDF) analysis	57
3.4 Conclusions	59
Chapter 4. Co-adsorption mechanism of organic pollutants on NiFe₂O₄/GO nanostructures: Experimental and molecular dynamics studies	61-90

4.1 Introduction	61
4.2. Experimental section	63
4.2.1 GO synthesis	63
4.2.2 Hydrothermal synthesis of the NiFe ₂ O ₄ /GO composite	64
4.2.3 Adsorption studies	64
4.2.4 Computational methodology	66
4.3 Results and Discussion	70
4.3.1 Material characterizations	70
4.3.2 Adsorption Studies	76
4.3.3 MD simulations results	85
4.4. Conclusions	88
Chapter 5. Simultaneous adsorption of organic pollutants on Mo-doped NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles: Experimental and computational studies	91-126
5.1. Introduction	91
5.2. Experimental section	93
5.2.1. Hydrothermal synthesis of Mo-doped NiFe ₂ O ₄ nanoparticles	93
5.2.2. Adsorption experiments	94
5.2.3. Plane-wave DFT modeling and methodology	94
5.2.4. DFT modelling and methodology	96
5.3. Results and Discussion	98
5.3.1. Material characterizations	98
5.3.2. Adsorption studies	107
5.3.3. DFT calculation results	117
5.4. Conclusions	124
Chapter 6. Photo-Fenton degradation on Mo-doped NiFe₂O₄ photocatalyst	127-154

6.1. Introduction	127
6.2. Experimental section	130
6.2.1. Materials used for experimental procedures	130
6.2.2. Hydrothermal synthesis of Mo-doped NiFe ₂ O ₄ nanoparticles	131
6.2.3. Control experiments on photocatalytic activity of the nanocomposites	131
6.2.4. Photo-Fenton catalytic activity	131
6.2.5. DFT calculations	133
6.2.6. TD-DFT calculations	133
6.3. Results and Discussion	133
6.3.1 Material Characterization	133
6.3.2. Photo-Fenton activity	137
6.3.3. DFT calculation results	144
6.3.4. Photo-Fenton degradation mechanism	150
6.4. Conclusions	153
Chapter 7. Design and Development of the AgI/NiFe₂O₄ Photo-Fenton Photocatalyst	155-188
7.1. Introduction	155
7.2. Experimental section	158
7.2.1. Synthesis of NiFe ₂ O ₄ nanoparticles	158
7.2.2. Synthesis of AgI/ NiFe ₂ O ₄ nanoparticles	158
7.2.3. Fenton activity of the nanocomposites	158
7.2.4. Photo-Fenton catalytic activity	159
7.2.5. DFT calculations	160
7.2.5. TD-DFT calculations	162

7.3. Results and Discussion	162
7.3.1. Material characterizations	162
7.3.2. Photocatalysis investigations	170
7.3.3. DFT calculations	179
7.4. Photo-Fenton mechanism	185
7.5. Conclusions	187
Chapter 8. Concluding remarks	189-194
8.1. Summary	189
8.2. Future scope	194
References	195-210
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	211-212
LIST OF CONFERENCES	213

List of Figures

Description	Page Number
<u>Chapter 1</u>	
Figure 1.1 The basic terms of the adsorption phenomenon	5
Figure 1.2 The general mechanism of the heterogeneous photo-Fenton catalysis process.	16
<u>Chapter 2</u>	
Figure 2.1. A UV-visible spectrum of the binary solution of CIP and MG.	36
<u>Chapter 3</u>	
Figure 3.1 (a) Simulation box containing NiFe_2O_4 (311) slab in the middle. Optimized structures of (b) bisphenol A, (c) phenol, (d) water molecule	50
Figure 3.2 Image (a) shows the initial configuration of a simulation run, with the simulation box containing 24 phenol and 1500 water molecules. Figure (b) displays the initial configuration of a simulation box containing 16 BPA molecules with 1500 TIP3P water molecules.	51
Figure 3.3 The snapshots of the simulation box containing NiFe_2O_4 (311) surface are surrounded by 24 phenols and 1500 TIP3P water molecules. (a) At the start and (b) at the end of the simulation.	52
Figure 3.4 Snapshots of simulation box containing NiFe_2O_4 (311) surface surrounded by 16 BPA, and 1500 TIP3P water molecules (a) at the start and (b) at the end of the simulation.	53
Figure 3.5 The time-averaged density profile plots for (a) phenol and (b) BPA adsorption on NiFe_2O_4 (311) surface.	54

Figure 3.6 Nonlinear adsorption isotherms fit for (a) Phenol and (b) BPA adsorption on NiFe ₂ O ₄ (311) surface.	56
Figure 3.7. The radial distribution function g(r) between different atom types of (a) phenol and (b) BPA with those on the NiFe ₂ O ₄ (311) surface.	57
<u>Chapter 4</u>	
Figure 4.1 The initial simulation box showing the NiFe ₂ O ₄ cluster placed adjacent to the GO sheet	66
Figure 4.2 Initial configuration of the simulation box after filling CIP, MG, and water molecules on both sides of the MNFGO surface.	68
Figure 4.3 (a)XRD patterns of the prepared GO, NiFe ₂ O ₄ /GO, and NiFe ₂ O ₄ samples, (b) HR-XRD of NiFe ₂ O ₄ /GO.	70
Figure 4.4 (a)VSM curves of NiFe ₂ O ₄ and NiFe ₂ O ₄ /GO particles, and (b) Graph for pH _{Zpc} of NiFe ₂ O ₄ /GO composite.	72
Figure 4.5 (a) TEM image of GO, (b) and c) TEM and HR-TEM images of the NiFe ₂ O ₄ , (d) and e) TEM and HR-TEM images of NiFe ₂ O ₄ /GO composite.	73
Figure 4.6 The XPS survey spectrum of NiFe ₂ O ₄ /GO composite, XPS deconvoluted spectra of (b) C1s of NiFe ₂ O ₄ /GO, (c) O1s of NiFe ₂ O ₄ /GO, (d) Ni2p, and (e) Fe2p of both pure NiFe ₂ O ₄ and NiFe ₂ O ₄ /GO composite.	74
Figure 4.7 BET (Brunauer, Emmett, and Teller) curve of the pure GO and NiFe ₂ O ₄ /GO composite sample.	76
Figure 4.8 (a) Effect of the amount of adsorbent (NiFe ₂ O ₄ /GO) on the co-adsorption of CIP and MG. (b) Effect of pH on the co-adsorption of CIP and MG.	78

Figure 4.9 Adsorption kinetics for (a) CIP and (b) MG from their aqueous solutions.	79
Figure 4.10 Extended adsorption isotherms for both (a) CIP and (b) MG adsorbates in a binary system. The binary solution is a mixture of equal volumes of CIP and MG.	82
Figure 4.11 FTIR spectra of (a) pure $\text{NiFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{GO}$, (b) CIP and MG adsorbed $\text{NiFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{GO}$.	84
Figure 4.12 (a) Recyclability graph of $\text{NiFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{GO}$ adsorbent up to 4 cycles, (b) XRD graph of the recycled $\text{NiFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{GO}$ sample.	85
Figure 4.13 Snapshots of parallelepiped simulation box containing NiFe_2O_4 cluster and GO sheet surrounded by 4 CIP, 4 MG, and 1000 water molecules (a) at the start and (b) at the end of the simulation.	85
Figure 4.14 (a) Time-averaged density profile of CIP and MG molecules adsorbed over MNFGO, and (b) RDF graphs show interactions between different atom types of adsorbent and the adsorbate molecules.	86
<u>Chapter 5</u>	
Figure 5.1. (a, and b) The optimized unit cell of NiFe_2O_4 .	96
Figure 5.2 Mo-doped NiFe_2O_4 unit cell models. (a) Mo substituting Fe(Oct), (b) Mo substituting Fe(Td), (c) Mo substituting Ni(Oct), and (d) Mo occupying an interstitial position	96
Figure 5.3 (a) XRD of pure NiFe_2O_4 , 1MNIF, 2MNIF, and 4MNIF samples. Part (b) of the figure displays the 25° to 50° (2θ) region only to illustrate the shift in peaks with Mo-doping.	100

Figure 5.4 (a)TEM and (b) HR-TEM images of NiFe ₂ O ₄ nanoparticles. (c) TEM and (d) HR-TEM images of the 1MNIF nanoparticles. Particle size distribution plots of (e) NiFe ₂ O ₄ and (f) 1MNIF samples.	101
Figure 5.5 (a) SEM images, (b) elemental mapping, and (c) EDX analysis with atomic percent of elements in the 1MNIF sample.	103
Figure 5.6 (a) Plot between Δ pH and initial pH to determine the point of zero charge (pH_{pzc}) of 1MNIF, (b) Magnetization versus applied magnetic field plots for NiFe ₂ O ₄ and 1MNIF samples, and (c) BET plots of pure NiFe ₂ O ₄ and 1MNIF nanoparticles.	104
Figure 5.7 High resolution comparative XPS spectra of, (a) Ni2p, (b) Fe2p, (c) O1s, and (d) Mo3d in pure NiFe ₂ O ₄ and 1MNIF samples	107
Figure 5.8 (a) Effect of the amount of adsorbent (1MNIF) on the co-adsorption of CIP and MG. (b) Effect of pH on the co-adsorption of CIP and MG, and (c) Effect of Mo dopant percentage in NiFe ₂ O ₄ on the adsorption of MG and CIP from the binary (CIP + MG) solution.	108
Figure 5.9 Adsorption kinetics for (a) CIP and (b) MG from their aqueous solutions.	110
Figure 5.10 (a, and b). Nonlinear adsorption isotherms for CIP and MG adsorbates in binary adsorbate system.	113
Figure 5.11 (I and II). FTIR spectra of (a) unused 1MNIF sample, (b) CIP adsorbed 1MNIF sample (CIP+1MNIF), (c) MG adsorbed 1MNIF sample (MG+1MNIF), and (d) CIP, MG adsorbed 1MNIF sample (CIP+MG+1MNIF).	114
Figure 5.12 (a) Recyclability test of 1MNIF adsorbent, and (b) XRD analysis of unused and recycled 1MNIF adsorbent.	116

Figure 5.13 The optimized structure of (a) NIF33 cluster, (b) MoNIF33 cluster, (c) CIP, and (d) MG molecule.	118
Figure 5.14 The labelling of atoms presents in (a) NIF33 and (b) MoNIF33 systems, used for NBO analysis.	119
Figure 5.15 The optimized structure of, (a, b) COOH_CIP_MoNIF33 system (front and side view), (c, d) F_CIP_MoNIF33 system.	121
Figure 5.16 The optimized structure of, (a, b) S_MG_MoNIF33 system (front and side view), (c) AZO_MG_MoNIF33 system.	122
Figure 5.17 The optimized conformation of (a) F_CIP_NIF33, (b) COOH_CIP_NIF33, (c) AZO_MG_NIF33, and (d) S_MG_NIF33 systems.	123
<u>Chapter 6</u>	
Figure 6.1 Tauc plots for (a) pure NiFe ₂ O ₄ , (b) 1MNIF, (c) 2MNIF, and (d) 4MNIF samples.	135
Figure 6.2 Nyquist plots of (a) pure NiFe ₂ O ₄ and (b) Mo-doped NiFe ₂ O ₄ samples.	136
Figure 6.3 Mott-Schottky plots for (a) pure NiFe ₂ O ₄ and (b) 1MNIF photocatalyst.	136
Figure 6.4 The UV visible spectra of tetracycline degradation in, (a) 1MNIF catalyst + H ₂ O ₂ (dark), (b) 1MNIF catalyst + Light system, (c) Effect of pH on photo-Fenton degradation of TC on 1MINF catalyst, (d) Effect of H ₂ O ₂ amount on photo-Fenton degradation of TC on 1MNIF catalyst at pH 3, (e) Comparison plot of photo-Fenton TC degradation activity of pure NiFe ₂ O ₄ , 1MNIF, 2MNIF, and 4MNIF, and (f) Linear plot	137

of pseudo-first order kinetics of pure NiFe_2O_4 , 1MNIF, 2MNIF, and 4MNIF photocatalyst.

Figure 6.5 (a) UV-visible spectra of TC degradation by 1MNIF photocatalyst, (b) bar chart presenting the scavenger test results, (c) NBT test for TC degradation, (d) Recyclability test of 1MNIF composite, and (e) XRD patterns of unused and recycled catalyst (1MNIF) after 5 cycles. 139

Figure 6.6 The calculated HOMO/LUMO (a) ground state, and (b) excited state (552 nm) using DFT and TDDFT methods. 143

Figure 6.7 Solid-state UV-visible reflectance spectrum of 1MNIF (solid red curve) and TD-DFT calculated UV-visible absorption spectrum of the MoNIF33 cluster model (blue). 145

Figure 6.8 The optimized structure of (a) $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\text{-Fe(Oct)-MoNIF33_GS}$, and (b) $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\text{-Fe(Td)-MoNIF33_ES}$ systems. 145

Figure 6.9 a) A 2-dimensional projection of the MoNIF33 model showing the abbreviations used for various atom types; (b) The optimized model of H_2O_2 molecule showing various bond lengths; (c) displays various interaction lengths in $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\text{-Fe(Oct)-MoNIF33_GS}$, and (d) depicts various interaction lengths in the $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\text{-Fe(Td)-MoNIF33_ES}$ systems. 146

Figure 6.10 Plausible mechanism for photo-Fenton degradation of TC by 1MNIF photocatalyst. 148

Chapter 7

Figure 7.1 Optimized structure of H_2O_2 , AgI cluster, and NiFe_2O_4 cluster 161

Figure 7.2 The optimized structure of the NIF30_AgI5 system 162

Figure 7.3 XRD pattern of pure AgI, pure NiFe ₂ O ₄ , and their nanocomposites.	163
Figure 7.4 TEM of (a) NiFe ₂ O ₄ , (c) 30AgINIF, and HR-TEM of (b) NiFe ₂ O ₄ , (d) 30AgINIF samples.	164
Figure 7.5 (a) SEM images, (b) Elemental distribution profile of 30AgINIF sample.	165
Figure 7.6 A comparison of the deconvoluted (a) Ag3d, (b) I3d parts of the pure AgI and 30AgINIF composite XPS spectra. A comparison of the (c) Ni2p, (d) Fe2p, and (e) O1s regions of XPS spectra of pure NiFe ₂ O ₄ and 30AgINIF composite.	167
Figure 7.7 (a) VSM plots of pure NiFe ₂ O ₄ and 30AgINIF samples, (b) UV-DRS spectra of pure AgI, pure NiFe ₂ O ₄ , and their composites, the Tauc plots of (c) pure AgI, (d) pure NiFe ₂ O ₄ .	168
Figure 7.8 Mott Schottky plots of (a) AgI, and (b) NiFe ₂ O ₄ samples, Nyquist plot for (c) AgI, (d) NiFe ₂ O ₄ , and (e) their nanocomposites.	170
Figure 7.9 (a) Effect of pH on photo-Fenton degradation of TC on 30AgINIF catalyst, (b) Effect of H ₂ O ₂ amount on photo-Fenton degradation of TC on 30AgINIF catalyst, (c) UV-Visible absorbance spectra of degradation of Tetracycline (TC) by 30AgINIF composite, (d) % photo-Fenton degradation of TC by physical blending mixture of 30% AgI and 70% NiFe ₂ O ₄ photocatalyst and the 30AgINIF photocatalyst, (e) Comparison plot of photo-Fenton TC degradation activity of pure AgI, pure NiFe ₂ O ₄ , and their composites, and (f) Linear plot of pseudo-first order kinetics of different catalyst.	172

Figure 7.10 (a) Scavenger test, and (b) NBT test for TC degradation on 30AgNIF nanocomposite, (c) Recyclability test of 30AgINIF composite, and (d) XRD patterns of unused and recycled catalyst (30AgINIF) after 5 cycles.	177
Figure 7.11 Solid-state UV-visible absorption spectrum of 30AgINIF composite (solid black curve) and TD-DFT calculated UV-visible absorption spectrum of NIF30_AgI5 model (blue).	179
Figure 7.12 HOMO/LUMO (excited state (599 nm) and ground state) calculated using DFT and TDDFT methods.	180
Figure 7.13 The interaction structure of (a) NIF30_AgI5_H ₂ O ₂ , and (b) H ₂ O ₂ _NIF30_AgI5 systems.	182
Figure 7.14 Interaction/bond lengths in (a) optimized H ₂ O ₂ molecule, (b) NIF30_AgI5_H ₂ O ₂ , and (c) H ₂ O ₂ -NIF30_AgI5 systems. Different symbols have been used to denote atom types in the H ₂ O ₂ , NIF30_AgI5 system.	183
Figure 7.15 Schematic Z-scheme mechanism for photo-Fenton degradation of TC on AgI/NiFe ₂ O ₄ nanocomposite.	186

List of Tables

Description	Page No.
Table 3.1 LJ 12-6 Potential Parameters used in MD simulations.	49
Table 3.2 TIP3P water model parameters.	50
Table 3.3 The isotherm fitting parameters for the MD adsorption data of phenol and BPA.	55
Table 4.1 TIP3P water model parameters	67
Table 4.2 Lennard-Jones (LJ) 12-6 potential parameters for different atom types of adsorbent (NiFe ₂ O ₄ and GO part), adsorbates (CIP and MG), and solvent (H ₂ O) for non-bonded interaction.	69
Table 4.3 Plots of adsorption capacity (mg/g) versus time for adsorption CIP and MG from their aqueous solutions.	79
Table 4.4 Different Adsorption isotherm parameters for CIP and MG in the binary system.	81
Table 5.1 The ionic radii of the cations in the undoped NiFe ₂ O ₄ and Mo-doped NiFe ₂ O ₄ .	99
Table 5.2 Structural parameters for NiFe ₂ O ₄ , 1MNIF, 2MNIF, and 4MNIF nanoparticles. Equations 5.5 and 5.6 were used to calculate these structural parameters.	100
Table 5.3 Various kinetics parameters obtained from the nonlinear fitting of pseudo-first and pseudo-second order kinetics models.	111
Table 5.4 Extended adsorption isotherm parameters obtained for the non-linear fitting of CIP and MG adsorption from their binary solution.	112
Table 5.5a FTIR peak assignment for different samples.	114

Table 5.5b FTIR peaks assignment for common peaks present in different samples.	115
Table 5.6 The change in lattice parameter for Mo substituted Fe(Oct) in NiFe ₂ O ₄ lattice.	117
Table 5.7 The delocalization energy ($E^{(2)}$ in kcal/mol) calculated for charge transfer from donor to acceptor NBO of the NIF33 and MoNIF33 systems.	119
Table 5.8 presents the potential energies of adsorbent, adsorbate, and adsorbent-adsorbate interaction models.	124
Table 6.1 Comparison of TOF of various photocatalysts obtained from literature survey.	139
Table 6.2 The calculated potential energy for different molecules and systems. (1 Hartree = 27.21eV).	147
Table 6.3 The delocalization energy ($E^{(2)}$ in kcal/mol) analysis of charge transfer from donor to acceptor NBO of the H ₂ O ₂ _Fe(Oct)_MoNIF33_GS, and H ₂ O ₂ _Fe(Td)_MoNIF33_ES systems.	149
Table 7.1 Efficiency Comparison data of 30AgINIF and other reported catalysts for TC degradation under photo-Fenton conditions.	173
Table 7.2 presents the potential energies of molecules, composite and composite-H ₂ O ₂ interaction models.	183
Table 7.3 The delocalization energy ($E^{(2)}$ in kcal/mol) analysis of charge transfer from donor to acceptor NBO of the H ₂ O ₂ _NIF30_AgI5 and NIF30_AgI5_H ₂ O ₂ systems.	184

Table 8.1 Comparison of adsorption capacity (q_m , mg g ⁻¹) of synthesized adsorbent for the simultaneous removal of CIP and MG from their aqueous solution.	190
Table 8.2 Comparison of TOF and HTOF of differently heterogeneous photocatalysts (reported) with our synthesized Mo doped NiFe ₂ O ₄ photocatalysts.	192
Table 8.3 Comparison of TOF and HTOF of differently heterogeneous photocatalysts (reported) with our synthesized AgI/NiFe ₂ O ₄ photocatalysts.	193